



# **RDRS STANDING COMMITTEE FINDINGS REPORT**

Sebastien Ducos  
GNSO Council Meeting - 13 November

# RDRS SC Findings Report



**Advisory Report on RDRS Experience**



**6 recommendations to GNSO Council**



**4 recommendations reached full consensus 2 recommendation reached consensus**



**11 Public Comments received and incorporated**



**Public Comment did not change original recommendations but additional rationale and summary of PC was included under each recommendation**

# Recommendations to GNSO Council

# Recommendation 1

## Continue the RDRS beyond the Pilot period

- Maintaining the RDRS pilot service beyond its initial two-year term until a long-term permanent solution or a successor system is agreed upon.
- The Council should ensure the tool remains available and consider improvements (as noted in Assignment 2).

**PC:** Majority of public comments generally agreed with this recommendation. The minority of commenters noted RDRS should be discontinued. One commenter notes that the RDRS should only be continued as a cost-recovery system that remains voluntary for registrars.

# Recommendation 2

Allow for authentication of interested requestor groups, beginning with law enforcement.

- The SC is not recommending mandatory accreditation or authentication of all requestors. Instead, SC recommends ICANN org explore authentication of specific user groups, beginning with law enforcement.
- RDRS pilot did not include the concept of authentication or accreditation
- RDRS or its successor system should include authentication of other specific user groups in order to be a viable system.



# Recommendation 3

Implement Key System Enhancements to sustain and evolve RDRS post-pilot while more policy work is underway.

- API (Application Programming Interface) integration for both registrars and requestors to enhance system interoperability and streamline data exchange between users and the RDRS.
- Improve request forms by engaging a UX designer to ensure forms are simple and intuitive for requestors.
- Optional participation for ccTLD operators who use RDAP.
- Inclusion of link to Disclosure Request Information/Systems for Non-Participating Registrars

# Recommendation 4

Consider further policy work in the following areas.

- **Privacy/Proxy:** Consider the question of if there should be a requirement for registrars to consider requests for underlying data from Affiliated P/P Providers in the RDRS or its successor for further policy work.
- **Inclusion of links to RDRS in RDAP responses** to ensure discoverability across all registrar systems. Although the technical ability to include RDRS links in RDAP responses exists, updates to the RDAP profile would require a new policy recommendation.

**PC:** Some commenters noted that this does not make sense from a technology perspective, and an RDAP client (such as [lookup.icann.org](https://lookup.icann.org)) is the appropriate place to put the link where it can be displayed in human readable format.

# Recommendation 5

## Next steps on EPDP Phase 2/SSAD Policy Recommendations

- The SC recommends:
  - 18 SSAD recommendations **be considered by Board as one package.**
  - GNSO Council recommend to Board to reject the recommendations (as a package) and send back to GNSO Council to produce **supplemental recommendations.**
  - In producing supplemental recommendations, GNSO Council **consider specific guidance from SC on each SSAD recommendation.** (table included)



# Recommendation 5 (Cont'd)

Based on lessons learned, SC recommends keeping and/or modifying the SSAD recommendations.

## Keep or Modify

KEEP

**8 total:** Recs. 3, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 16, 18

MOD

**10 total:** Recs. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 14, 15, 17

# Recommendation 5 (Cont'd)

Based on lessons learned, SC recommends keeping and/or modifying the SSAD recommendations.

## Estimated Level of Effort to adjust recommendations

**LOW**

**11 total:** Recs. 3, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18

**MED**

**2 total:** Recs. 4, 5

**HIGH**

**5 total:** Recs. 1, 2, 6, 8, 10

# Recommendation 6

**Maintain the current Standing Committee with narrowed Scope.**

- The SC recommends maintaining the current Standing Committee with a narrowed scope in advising ICANN on the continued operation, maintenance, and potential enhancement of the RDRS while it continues to be in operation.