

Council Accuracy Small Team Recommendations



Recommendation 1

Rec # 1 - Examine Process for Validation/Verification

RECOMMENDATION OVERVIEW



1. Recommends examining existing process for validating/verifying registration data under RAA
2. Small Team does not prescribe how this could happen but provides examples (refer to DNS abuse small team, narrowly-tailored PDP, etc.)

RATIONALE



- INFERMAL study reported that validation/verification of contact details before or during registration resulted in 70% reduction in malicious registrations.
- Note: small team does not necessarily endorse study, but believes this warrants examination.

Recommendation 2

Rec # 2 - Creation of Educational Materials

RECOMMENDATION OVERVIEW



1. Recommends creation of clear and user-friendly educational materials that could be provided before, during, and after registration re: importance of accurate data
2. Recommend assembling diverse group of stakeholders to work on this.

RATIONALE



- Education could lead to improved registrant provision of accurate data.

Recommendation 3

Rec # 3 - Further work on outstanding on Review Team's CC.1

RECOMMENDATION OVERVIEW



1. Recommends further consideration of outstanding cross-community recommendation regarding including notation in Whois record for inaccurate data.
2. Does not prescribe how or where this work could occur, but is flagging.

RATIONALE



- Council previously committed to work on this rec in 2020 when further work on accuracy occurs, and Small Team is ensuring rec is not lost.

Recommendation 4

Rec # 4 - Proceed with the above recs and discontinue the Scoping Team

RECOMMENDATION OVERVIEW



- Discontinue further work of the Accuracy Scoping Team in light of the work of the Council Small Team.

RATIONALE



- Scoping Team's work was a helpful starting point for the Council Small Team.
- While there may be additional scoping work in the future (through a scoping team or otherwise), the Small Team is not recommending proceeding with the existing or another scoping team at this time.

Questions + Discussion

High-level Alignment of Alignment/Divergence in Responses

- Lack of evidence to support inaccuracy issue
- Current requirements in RAA are sufficient
- Further policy work is not immediately necessary; await NIS2
- Accuracy = RAA requirements (contactability)

- Accurate reg data is important to the DNS
- Starting point for definition: current RAA/policy requirements
- More data needed
- Consider additional improvements to accuracy, keeping all stakeholders in mind.

- Inaccurate reg data remains a significant problem
- Current requirements in RAA are insufficient to combat inaccurate data
- Further policy work should begin immediately
- Accuracy should include identity verification
- Inaccuracy linked to DNS abuse