

JULIE BISLAND:

All right, for the transcript this is Julie Bisland. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening, everyone. Welcome to the Transfer Policy Review PDP Working Group call taking place on Tuesday the 30th of July 2024. For today's call we have apologies from Jim Galvin, RySG, and Rick Wilhelm, RySG. As a reminder, the alternate assignment form link can be found in all meeting invite emails. Statements of interest must be kept up to date. Does anyone have any updates to share? If so, please raise your hand or speak up now. And seeing no hands, all members and alternates will be promoted to panelists.

Observers will remain as an attendee and will have access to view chat only. Please remember to state your name before speaking for the transcription. As a reminder, those who take part in the ICANN multi-stakeholder process are to comply with the expected standards of behavior. Thank you. And over to our chair, Roger Carney. Please begin, Roger.

ROGER CARNEY:

Thanks, Julie. Welcome, everyone. Welcome to our last meeting for a little while until after public comments get through. So, we'll probably have a couple month break here. So, welcome, everybody. And thanks for everybody to getting to this spot. It's a big step for us to get to our full initial report after several years of work now. It's truly kind of flown by for me because I thought we would get through this really quickly. And every time I look at it, it seems like we're doing a lot of work quickly, even though the time has gone by just as quickly, but I want to

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

---

thank everybody for all their time and effort into this because it is a lot of work and we've gotten a lot accomplished. And we're at a very good spot right now. So, I just wanted to thank everybody for their time and effort on that.

I think we'll jump in here really quick and get through our agenda here, but I wanted to open the floor up first to any of the stakeholder groups to have anything they want to bring forward, any comments or questions or discussions they want to introduce to the working group. I'll open the floor up now to any of the groups. Ken, please go ahead.

KEN HERMAN:

Hi. Thanks, Roger. Ken Herman, for the record. A couple of things that I just wanted to bring up quickly as I was reading through the document, some of them very simple, very straightforward. My first question, I guess, is, is it common to have a list of acronyms in these kinds of documents? I mean, we have tons of them and some of them are defined, some of them not. And so, my suggestion is if we can, if it's common to do that, then certainly we can put one in there.

My second question, my second, well, first one is a point, I guess the second is a question. Reading through the document, I was kind of confused between a registered name holder and a registrant. Do we use those interchangeably? Somehow, I don't think so, but if we can get some clarity or at least somewhere in the document for somebody who's not that familiar with the different nuances of some of the terms might be helpful. And I didn't get a chance to kind of put this in the document, but line number 232 refers to the updated registration data

---

policy. And perhaps there could be a footnote that refers people to somewhere on the website that talks about that, because it's an important point when you're talking about removing different types of contacts. That's all I have. Thanks, Roger.

ROGER CARNEY:

Great. Thanks, Ken. I really appreciate that. And good topics there. I don't think, well, start at the beginning. I don't think there generally is an acronym decoder page or anything. I kind of chuckled when you said that because it's something that gets constantly internally, business-wise, not necessarily ICANN, but at GoDaddy that people ask for because ICANN has a lot of things. So, when we try to explain things to people internally, we do have to publish a decoder page for everyone, but I don't think that's typical, but I'll let staff talk to that on that.

On your second point of the registrant versus registered name holder, I think most people do interchange those. I thought the more recent discussions have tried to lean toward registered name holder as much as possible, but again, I'll let staff talk to any discrepancies there, but I think that the preferred identification now is registered name holder, but I'll let any staff talk to that as well. Oh, thanks, Berry, for the acronyms list. Okay. Staff, did I butcher that or are we good on those topics? And thanks, Berry, for pushing the acronyms. Okay. Thanks, Ken, for that. Again, great points. So, Jothan, please go ahead.

JOTHAN FRAKES:

Thank you. Jothan Frakes for the record. So, we're starting to break ground on the long in the freezer PPSAI, the Privacy Proxy Obligations of

---

the Registrar Accreditation Agreement from 2013. And my comment here is that as we start to explore it, there are some places where change of registrant and or transfer are mentioned. And those may have some effect or impact here or interdependencies. As many good machines do, some of the gears connect. And there are some areas within the rights and obligations of a privacy proxy provider that do touch on change of registrant and or the affectation of transfer or handling the messaging related to an end user. So, I just want to call that to the attention of the group. Thank you.

ROGER CARNEY:

Great. Thanks, Jothan. And just for those that don't know, the privacy proxy has been a process in process for quite a while. It started many years ago and was paused for specifically the registration data policy stuff. And as Jothan mentioned, it's coming back up. And there are some things that the privacy obviously crossed over into transfer and mentioned things in the transfer policy. And I'll just remind everybody that obviously consensus policy is policy until a new policy updates it. So, as the PPSAI is now reestablishing, they'll have to look at the new things that are coming via new policy.

So, again, new policy updates old policy, and that's how it goes. So, anything that is relooking at it will have to look at the newer policy. Any other comments? Yeah. And thanks, Ken, for the chat. It's probably a good thing to include in our docs as a link to the acronyms. I think that makes sense. Correct, Jothan. Exactly. All right. I think we'll go ahead and jump into our agenda then. And maybe I'll turn this over to Caitlin.

---

I don't think we had any updates from this week, but I'll let Caitlin take us through the current graph. So, Caitlin, please go ahead.

CAITLIN TUBERGEN:

Thank you, Roger. I checked the document right before this call, and I don't believe anything came through. So, thank you to everybody for putting a lot of comments in the first three documents of going through all of the phases, because it looks like the group is satisfied that at least the large things were caught. And thank you to Rick last week, having his long flight that caught some of the other grammatical things that were left over, but seeing no comments here, it looks like the report is in a position to publish now, unless anyone has anything else to bring up before staff finalizes it.

ROGER CARNEY:

Awesome. It's time to publish. Yes, after many years now, we are at that point. And again, 20-some of our recommendations already hit publication, so that's good. And public comments, and we've worked those public comments, but yeah, we've got another 20-some that are brand new to the public anyway. So, I think being in two years, one month, perfect. All right. And to Caitlin's point, I don't see any hands, so I think we're good with this. I know that Rick had mentioned on list that he may have some nits coming in over the next day or so, but I think besides those, if we don't get those, then it looks good, and we're ready to move forward.

Anything else to go over here? I don't know. One thing I'll mention, staff and I have been working on creating some webinars to go over our

---

recommendations. We're looking at the first week or two in September to have some open webinars for the community. So, if anyone has questions, they can get them answered before public comment closes. So, we're looking at the first week, I think someone can correct me, the 4th and 10th or something like that of September to do them. Thank you, Christian. 4th and 9th. Thank you.

So, we'll be publishing this out so that everybody has a chance to register and get on and view it. Again, this is going to be geared very toward community members that have questions and things like that. So, the plan is to go over group 1A in the first session, and then group 1B and group 2 in the second session, just to split it up so it's not terribly long for everyone. So, I think that that's probably the big things for me. I don't know if staff wanted to bring anything else out. Thanks, Ken. We're planning a 60-day, and I'll let Berry talk to maybe the details around that and anything else, but Berry, please go ahead.

BERRY COBB:

Thank you, Roger. Berry Cobb for the record. So, yes, the webinars. The original plan was to maybe have three webinars, but that seemed a little ambitious, especially since we're waiting or deferring until early September to do the webinars. So, the reasoning for waiting until early September is usually August is a slower month from a community participation perspective. So, that was factor one.

The second factor is to allow community groups and the community at large to have time to review through the report with the desire or hope that participants come to the webinar to ask clarifying questions or

---

some of those kinds of things that can help better inform the comments they intend to submit, but the three-webinar format, which was going to be 1A, 1B, and group 2 didn't seem to work. So, we consolidated down to two webinars, both of which will be scheduled for 90 minutes.

Webinar one will have a more extensive introduction as to where we came from, where we're at, what we're wanting to do, and then dive deeper into just the group 1A recommendations. We have some initial thoughts about what the format will look like, but we'll come back to the group when we get that more solidified. And then the second webinar will be less of an introduction and a verbal pointer back to the recording of the first webinar, but then the substance of it will be groups 1B and 2.

So, we hope at least breaking that apart it can be a little bit more specific versus trying to cram everything into a single webinar, and that hopefully we can get some more collaboration amongst the webinar participants as opposed to just a one-directional or unidirectional presentation. As noted, we're using the new engagement calendar on ICANN.org. So, you may have seen previous requests for enrollments to other webinars that utilize the Zoom registration format.

We're using this version because first the engagement calendar has a lot more eyeballs on it. We'll of course also rely on our traditional communication channels to advertise and announce that this is available. So, you'll probably towards the end of August start to see these show up in the Community Digest and through the other communication channels that we have through social media.

---

Please, feel free to register for these through this mechanism, and if for anything it's kind of just a new experience about where ICANN is migrating to handle events that are outside of our typical daily, weekly, community event types of calls. And it does require you to have an ICANN account to register and to note that we will communicate back to all registered participants and post the link to the webinar rooms 24 hours before they occur.

In terms of the overall schedule, so correct, we're actively working to launch the first. It'll hopefully be midday Thursday the 1st. If Jim can get his submissions in by the end of today, it would be very helpful so that we can do that, if not early Wednesday morning, but we've got to basically draw a line on changes, and if he can't make those, then they can be submitted as part of public comment, or we can circle back on those when we reconvene.

So, given the density of all of the recommendations, the schedule is going to be for 60 days, calendar days, so that means that this would conclude on Monday the 30th at 23:59 UTC. This is a structured proceeding, or I can't, I always forget the term, but each recommendation will basically have two questions in the proceeding.

The first will be a structured format in that with options, do you support, do you support it with change, don't support that kind of quantitative type of indicator to gauge the level of support. It's not a vote or anything like that, but it's helpful for us when we're consolidating all of the comments to at least find some initial indicators of support versus disagreement. And then there will be a follow-on qualitative response for each of the recommendations, especially where



---

the recommendation isn't supported, so we'll be asking for revised possible text, or a change to the recommendation language, or to explain rationale why you don't support the particular recommendation, and so on.

So, when this closes on the 30th, staff, it'll take us several days to compile all of the responses into our public comment review tool. We hope to have that by the close of the week, or October 4th, so that gives the working group a few days to at least absorb the materials. And as you saw earlier today, calendar invites went out for our first meeting to reconvene is the 8th of October. Those meetings are the 15th, 12th, and 29th, and then the 5th of November, by which we'll be starting to travel for ICANN81 in Istanbul, where we do have one session scheduled. So, that's it for the logistics and what we're doing for the announcing of the webinars. Happy to answer questions. Thank you.

ROGER CARNEY:

Great. Thanks, Berry and Caitlin, for the chat there. Yeah, is there any other question on what we're doing from here until we get back together in early October? And as Berry mentioned, I think we have four or five meetings scheduled when we get back together prior to ICANN81, so hopefully we can make good progress on the comments that come in during that time. Okay. And thanks, Caitlin, for answering Zak's question in chat. Okay. Any other comments? I think we're going to have a quick meeting today. I think we're in a good spot. And again, I want to thank everybody for their time and effort.

---

We started this a little more than three years ago, and we've gotten to a really good spot with our 40-plus recommendations, changes to the policy that's been in place for quite a while now. So, I just want to thank everybody, and hopefully the next couple months, everyone takes some time off. And if their groups have comments, please issue those in the comment period as early as possible so we can see what's coming in, but next time we get together officially will be early October to cover those comments. Again, thanks, everyone, and have a nice two-month break here until we get back together. All right. Bye, everybody.

**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**