

GNSO Council Presentation: PDP Continuum/Board Readiness



Sunday, 03 March 2024

Strategic Planning Session Outcome and Action Items

Outcome 4: *The Council agreed that it should seek to limit the likelihood of the Council approving PDP recommendations and the Board is unable to adopt the recommendations.*

Action Item 4.1: Staff to document existing steps and measures already in place throughout the PDP continuum that better ensure recommendations are able to be adopted by the ICANN Board. [COMPLETE]

Action Item 4.2: Council to review documented steps and measures and perform gap analysis.

Action Item 4.3: Council to include Outcome 4 as an agenda item for the Council's joint meeting with the ICANN Board at ICANN79. [COMPLETE]




Existing Steps in the PDP Continuum for Board Readiness


Pre-PDP	PDP	GNSO Council & Board Adoption
Conduct workshops/webinars	Include GAC and ALAC representatives in (representative) working groups	Council: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow sufficient time for SG/C consideration (but address in a timely manner)
Engagement with Board & GAC – particularly if request for Issue Report comes from Board	Include Board and org (GDS) liaisons on working groups	Board: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide public notice of recommendations for comment • Hold Public Forum (if feasible)
Issue Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research & outreach • GAC Quick Look Mechanism 	Solicit formal input from SOs/ACs and SGs/Cs	Board & Council: Letter to GAC if recommendations affect public policy
	Initial Report: Public Comment	
	Final Report: Rationale for recommendations	




Pre-PDP: Outreach, Scoping, Input



PDP: WG Participation & Initial Report





GNSO Council Adoption, Board & GAC Consideration

Gap Analysis: Questions



- **Are current measures of outreach sufficient?**
- **Are there other ways to get feedback from stakeholders in addition to public comment/requests for input?**
- **Should the Board be obligated to review at any of these points?**
- **Should a Board liaison be mandatory?**
- **Is recent GAC participation improving GAC input on recommendations?**
- **Should a Public Forum on the recommendations be mandatory?**
- **Other?**



Discussion