Date:

Dear Tripti,

We write to update you and the ICANN Board of Directors on the status of the facilitated dialogue on closed generic gTLDs. On 7 August 2023, as a result of discussions amongst the three of us, we sent the following joint letter to the dialogue participants in response to several questions they had referred to us in our capacity as Chairs of the three groups represented in the dialogue: [INSERT LINK WHEN AVAILABLE].

You will see from the letter that we have collectively determined that:

1. It is not necessary to resolve the question of closed generic gTLDs as a dependency for the next round of new gTLDs. As such, we request that the Board remove it as a dependency and allow the next round to proceed.

2. In the Board’s March 2022 invitation to the GAC and GNSO Council to initiate a facilitated dialogue, the Board had included a Framing Paper indicating the Board’s understanding that “should the dialogue not result in a mutually agreed framework [to be further developed through an appropriate GNSO policy process], it may be presumed that the Board will need to decide on what the most appropriate action is, within the Bylaws-defined roles and respective remits of the Board, GAC and GNSO.” Accordingly, because the facilitated dialogue did not reach a mutually agreed upon framework, we believe it is appropriate for the Board to decide how to move forward on this topic.

3. Given the good faith discussions and work that has been accomplished through the facilitated dialogue, we believe that any future decisions taken on this topic should consider the good work that has been done to date in the facilitated dialogue.

We understand, of course, that our decision to conclude the dialogue at this stage and for the reasons described in our letter cannot bind the Board to any decision on the handling of any applications for closed generic gTLDs for the next and any subsequent rounds of new gTLDs. We acknowledge that under the Bylaws the Board has a “duty to act in what they reasonably believe are the best interests of ICANN” (Section 7.7).

We encourage the Board to take into account our letter to the dialogue participants in coming to a decision on the topic of closed generic gTLDs. In particular, we wish to draw the Board’s attention to the extensive good faith deliberations that took place in the facilitated dialogue which nevertheless seemed to reveal a number of fundamental issues in the community on the topic, as well as the fact that the decision not to continue with the dialogue at this point was a decision that we took jointly after carefully considering the facts of the matter.
For clarity, as noted in our letter to the dialogue participants, our intention is not to prevent or restrict applications for gTLDs that applicants intend to operate in a restricted manner, where the string in question is not a “generic” one as currently defined in the Registry Agreement.

At our request, the dialogue participants are now making sure that their work is documented thoroughly, including preparation of an outcomes report that will also include lessons learned from the techniques and methods they used in their attempts to reach agreement on this long-standing issue. We look forward to receiving the group’s report and to transmitting it to you for your further information.

Finally, we wish to express our and our communities’ deep appreciation to Alan Barrett and Patricio Poblete, the Board liaisons to the facilitated dialogue, whose regular attendance and thoughtful contributions to the group’s work were extremely helpful. We would also like to thank the ICANN staff members from the Policy Development Support and Global Domains & Strategy functions who facilitated, supported, and contributed input to the dialogue in their respective roles and in their usual professional manner.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have questions or wish to discuss the matter further.

Best regards,

Jonathan Zuck, ALAC Chair
Nicolas Caballero, GAC Chair
Sebastien Ducos, GNSO Chair