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Background – IRTP Part A PDP

• Part A PDP – part of the overall Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy review process
• First in a series of five upcoming PDPs
• Part A addresses the following issues:

  Issue I
  Whether there could be a way for registrars to make Registrant Email Address data available to one another. Currently there is no way of automating approval from the Registrant, as the Registrant Email Address is not a required field in the registrar Whois. This slows down and/or complicates the process for registrants, especially since the Registrant can overrule the Admin Contact.

  Issue II
  Whether there is need for other options for electronic authentication (e.g., security token in FOA) due to security concerns on use of email addresses (potential for hacking or spoofing).

  Issue III
  Whether the policy should incorporate provisions for handling “partial bulk transfers” between registrars – that is, transfers involving a number of names but not the entire group of names held by the losing registrar.
The Working Group

- Working Group started its deliberations on 5 August 2008
- Representatives from the Business, IPC, Registrar and Registry Constituencies and the At-Large Advisory Committee
- Group decided to discuss issues in parallel to public comment period and Constituency statements completion
- Activities to date:
  - Developed Constituency Statement Template (compl. 2 Sept)
  - Launched public comment period (compl. 29 Sept)
  - Constituency Statements submitted (compl. 3 Oct)
  - First draft of Initial Report (compl. 16 Oct)

Draft Initial Report

- Work in progress – Group has first exchange of views on 21 October and will continue its deliberations in the weeks to come
- Report covers input provided by the constituencies as well as overview of the deliberations of the Working Group
- Some of the items covered under:
  - Issue I – Options for exchange of Registrant E-mail data
    Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP), Internet Registry Information Service (IRIS), Registrant vs. Admin contact approval, Thin vs. Thick registries, Whois, AuthInfo code
  - Issue II - Need for electronic authentication
    Incidence of hacking / hijacking, additional security measures
  - Issue III – Need for Partial Bulk Transfer provisions
    Definition / requirements, security considerations
# Timetable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone Event</th>
<th>Due Date (Actual / Expected)</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDP Launched</td>
<td>26 June 2008</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Group Formed</td>
<td>5 August 2008</td>
<td>In Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize Constituency Statement Template</td>
<td>2 September 2008</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launch of Public Comment Period on the Initiation of the PDP</td>
<td>8 September 2008</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Comment Period on the Initiation of the PDP complete</td>
<td>29 September 2008</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constituency Statements to Staff Manager</td>
<td>3 October 2008</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Report Complete</td>
<td>16 October 2008</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final review of Initial Report by WG*</td>
<td>28 October 2008</td>
<td>Not Started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start of Public Comment Period</td>
<td>29 October 2008</td>
<td>Not Started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Comment Period Complete</td>
<td>9 December 2008</td>
<td>Not Started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Report Complete &amp; Submitted to GNSO Chair</td>
<td>19 December 2008</td>
<td>Not Started</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>