

Appendix 4: Voting record of the GNSO Council

GNSO Council Voting Pattern 2003

Y= Yes, in favour N= No, against , A= Abstention, NV= Person did not vote, no proxy given, YPV= Yes, in favour, proxy vote, APV= Abstention proxy vote (In constituency columns person count,) (Vote Status = vote count, Registrars and gTLD registries count for double votes)

Date	Vote	CBU C	NCU C	IPC	ISPCPC	gTLD Reg- istries C	Regis- trars	Nominat- ing Com	Vote Status
16-Jan-03	A committee of the GNSO Council will be formed to address the question that Stuart Lynn has asked on the top level name space. This committee will be chaired by Philip Sheppard who will prepare a timeline to respond to the question put forward by Stuart Lynn.								Unanimously carried motion carried
19 Feb 03	ITU Resolution; http://www.dnso.org/notes/20030220.GNSOteleconf-minutes.html	3Y	1A 1 NV 1Y	3Y	3Y	1A 2 Y	3Y		motion carried
	Accept Final report of the Transfer Task Force http://www.dnso.org/dnso/notes/20030212.NC-TransferTF-gaining-and-losing-registrars.html								Unanimously carried motion carried
	Final Report of the WHOIS TF with the 4 consensus policy recommendations was accepted by the GNSO Council and will be forwarded to the ICANN Board http://www.dnso.org/dnso/notes/20030219.WhoisTF-accuracy-and-bulkaccess.html	3Y	3N	3Y	3Y	3Y	3Y		Motion carried 21 Yes 3 against (N)
	Immediate release of \$59,400 in funds to AFNIC								Unanimously carried motion carried

	Process to elect ICANN Board members to fill seats 13 and 14. The nomination process starts immediately 20 Feb. 2003, for the next 14 days							Unanimously carried	motion carried
25 March 03 Rio Meeting	GNSO Council archives be opened to te public and that a separate mailing list be created for the purpose of managing elections going forward							Unanimously carried	motion carried
	To schedule initiation of a policy development process on additional accuracy for 6 months after the implementation of the current Whois recommendations or until completion of a policy development process on privacy, whichever comes first	3Y	3Y	3A	3Y	3Y	3Y		motion carried 21 Yes 3 Ab- stentions
	That the GNSO Council move to the next step in the policy development process, wich is to request the Staff manager to produce an Issues Report on Privacy. That we request that the report be produced within 45 days and that Council members consult with their constituencies to idnetify possible members of a future task force.								
	The Whois Task Force will close on May 1, 2003	3Y	1A 1N 1Y	3Y	3Y	3Y	3Y		motion carried 22 Yes, 1 Against 1 Ab- stention
	That Mr Roger Cochetti, as an individual continue to chair the Budget committee for 30 days following 1 July 2003 in the context of approving invoices relating to the current budget agreed for the year finishing 30 June 2003.							Unanimously carried	

Adjust the remuneration for the Secretariat function from US \$3200 to US \$4500 per month which would be effective from the first of April for the duration of the GNSO itself taking over that function.

Unanimously carried

The GNSO Council request 2 full time resources from ICANN to support the GNSO activities and that the GNSO Chair forward the request to the ICANN budget process

Unanimously carried

17 April
03

Ratify that the email vote was correctly recorded. If the vote is ratified, Michael palge will be elected to the ICANN Board seat 14

3Y

3Y

2Y 1
YPV

3Y

3Y

2Y 1
YPV

The Chair of the GNSO Council would liaise with the Chair of the eletes task Force for the creation of an implementation group which will provide input to the Deletes Task Force which will assimilate the input and present a revised Final Report to be voted on by Council

Unanimously carried

That Council reponds back to the ICANN Board with the following advice:
To consider the WIPO recommendations separately from the review of the existing Universal Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) which is aimed at trademarks.

Unanimously carried

That the WIO recommendations associated with Names and Acronymns of International Intergovernmental Organizations and Country Names should be subject to a policy process to look at how they can be implemented taking into account a thorough examination of the issues surrounding the recommendations.

	To thank the current UDRP task force for the work done. To close down the current UDRP task force that was created under the Names Council.								Unanimously carried
	To initiate the policy development process To seek an issues report from the ICANN Staff Manager and that one of the inputs to the issues report is the existing material and analysis from the current UDRP task force That the Issues Report be done in a 45 day period.								
22 May 03	Declare the vote ratified, Alejandro Pisanty elected to ICANN Board seat 13 from mid 2003 to the second quarter of 2004	3Y	1N 1A 1Y	3Y	3Y	3Y	3Y	3Y	22 votes in favour, 1 abstention, 1 against secret Ballot
	Elect a GNSO Council Chair until the conclusion of the ICANN annual meeting in 2003 with a week nomination period starting immediately	3Y	3Y	3Y	3Y	3Y	2Y 1A		
	Procedural Motion: To delay voting on the conclusions of the gTLD committee as well as the summary report on the possible criteria until June	3Y	1N 1Y 1YP V	3Y	2Y 1YPV	3 N	3N	13 against, 11 in favour: motion failed	
	In response to the question asked of it by the Board, the GNSO Council concludes:								Unanimously carried

Expansion of the gTLD namespace should be a bottom-up approach with names proposed by the interested parties to ICANN. Expansion should be demand-driven. Furthermore, there should be a set of objective criteria to be met in any future expansion. The development of this set of objective criteria should be the subject of a new Policy Development Process (PDP). These ideas are expanded in a report together with the responses of the GNSO Constituencies and the ALAC which will be forwarded to the Board in June.

Procedural Motion : To request the gTLD committee to complete the summary of the discussions and of the issues related to the objective criteria for finalization at the time of the June meeting, with a comment period of 7 days starting immediately after which the circulated draft gTLD report would be the of the report put forward at the June meeting

Unanimously carried

Requesting the ICANN president to organize a workshop for the Montreal meeting which should incorporate the GNSO constituencies as well as the Government Advisory Committee and other groups.

Unanimously carried

That the GNSO Council agree forming a Whois Steering group

to take the Issues report which recommends:
to take the outcome of the Montreal ICANN Workshop
to develop a set of terms of reference for one or more task forces on the critical issues

to make recommendations to the GNSO Council
 Suggested timeline: 60 days following the Montreal ICANN meeting there should be a clear set of one or more task forces and a clear set of terms of reference to take these issues and this Issue Report forward

Unanimously carried

5 June 03

Motion to recommend to the ICANN Board to adjust the quorum requirements for the GNSO Council in the ICANN bylaws:
 Council recommends that the ICANN Board change the ICANN by-law relating to quorum in the GNSO (Article X, section " (8)) from the present text:
 "Members entitled to cast a majority of the total number of votes of GNSO Council members then in office shall constitute a quorum for the transactions of business..."
 with
 "A majority of the total number of Council members in office, provided that those members are entitled to cast a majority of the total number of votes of the
 GNSO Council , shall constitute a quorum".

3Y 2NV 1A 1Y 1Y1YPV-INV 3N 3A

7 in favour, 6 against, 7 abstain, 4 voters absent

without proxy

motion failed

Each constituency could appoint one or two members to the Whois Steering Group

The members may be from outside the Council

Unanimously carried

Each constituency would have one vote in any vote proposed in the Whois Steering Group

24 June 03

Ratify email vote on GNSO Council Chair: Bruce Tonkin elected as GNSO Council Chair until the conclusion of the ICANN annual meeting in 2003

3Y 1NV 2Y 3Y 3Y 3Y 2Y 1 A 2Y

Motion carried

Montreal

Deletes Task Force Final Report was recorded as a consensus recommendation to be forwarded to the ICANN Board with the appropriate changes in paragraph 3.2.1

3Y 1Y 1Y V 1Y 3Y 3Y 3Y
1NV 2YPV

Amadeu not yet seated
25 Votes in favour, 1 voter absent without proxy

motion carried

GNSO Council advises the ICANN Board that the namespace should be market driven and that organizations were free to propose names that they believed would be of

3Y 1Y 1Y V 1Y 3Y 3Y 3Y
1NV 2YPV

25 Votes in favour, 1 voter absent without proxy

motion carried

use to DNS users
<http://www.dnso.org/dnso/notes/20030612.gTLDs-committee-conclusions-v7.html>

The GNSO Council Chair requests the Chair to communicate with the ICANN President to seek some mechanisms for improving the communication between

3Y 1Y 1Y V 1Y 3Y 3Y 3Y
1NV 2YPV

25 Votes in favour, 1 voter absent without proxy

motion carried

the Board and the GNSO Council

14 Aug 03

GNSO Council requires each constituency to nominate one person to represent the GNSO Council on the President's working group to deal with the WIPO II recommendations.

Unanimously carried

That the GNSO requests each constituency to review the list of issues presented in the Staff Manager's report on UDRP and identify the top 5 issues from the report that the constituency believes has the highest priority for policy development. This should be done by the next GNSO Council meeting on September 25, 2003

Unanimously carried

Whereas, the names Council resolution of 1st August 2002 called for "three representatives per constituency on the GNSO Council".

Whereas, ICANN core value 2.4 is: - "Seeking and supporting broad, informed participation reflecting the functional, geographic and cultural diversity of the Internet at all levels of policy development and decision-making".

Whereas, ICANN core value 2.7 is: - Employing open and transparent policy development mechanisms that (i) promote well-informed decisions based on expert advice,

and (ii) ensure that those entities most affected can assist in the policy development process." Whereas, by-law article XX.5.8 states: " In the absence of further action on the topic by the New Board, each of the GNSO constituencies shall select 2 representatives to the

GNSO Council"... ..no later than 1 October 2003"

The GNSO Council resolves that:

Three representatives per constituency is consistent with ICANN core value 2.4 on geographic and cultural diversity within the constituency as the majority of ICANN

regions are represented. Three representatives per constituency is consistent with ICANN core value 2.7 on well informed decision-making. Experience has shown that three representatives improves the constituencies ability to share the workload of a council member, to be able to participate in task forces of the council, and to more effectively communicate with multiple regions.

And therefore the GNSO council requests the Board to make 2 changes in its review timetable:
 1.. To change the transition article to allow three representatives per constituency on the GNSO council until the end of the ICANN annual meeting 2004

2. To perform a review of the GNSO council in or around June 2004 which should include among other aspects of the review criteria, an analysis of the efficacy of having

3Y 1N 1A 1NV 1 Y 2 YPV 2Y 1YPV 3Y 3Y 3A

21 in favour 1 against, 4 Abstentions 1 voter absent

three representatives from each constituency.

without proxy
 1 Abstention
 NCUC
 changed to 1Y
 by email

1. When all payments had been made the DNSO/GNSO account should be closed.
2. With the closure of the account, the Council budget committee would be disbanded
3. Balance of funds transferred to new GNSO administration miscellaneous account
4. Procedure be established for management of the new account

Unanimously carried

That Council authorize the additional \$1980 expense incurred by AFNIC for the transition of the DNSO/GNSO website to ICANN administration

Unanimously carried

25-Sep-03

The GNSO Council

Supports ICANN's actions to:

- 1) monitor community reaction and experiences with the new service
- 2) request advice from the Security and Stability Advisory Committee and from the IAB on the impact of change introduced by the registry service of Verisign.

	3) encourages board participation by the community in the upcoming meeting hosted by the Security and Stability Advisory Committee	3Y	2Y 1NV	3NV	3Y	3A	3Y	3Y	17 in favour 6 abstentions 1 voter absent without proxy
	Pledges to: 1) Support the recommendation of the Security and Stability Advisory Committee, 22 September 2003. 2) work cooperatively to ensure full opportunity to fully understand the service, its implications for the DNS, and any implications for the need for policy development within the scope of the gNSO								
16-Oct-03	The GNSO Council requests that the Staff Manager produce an Issues Report, consistent with article 1: Mission and Core values of ICANN's bylaws, on the need for a predictable procedure for the introduction of new Registry Services (as defined in the gTLD registry agreements).	2Y 1YP V	2A 1AP V	3YPV	3Y	2A 1APV	3Y	3Y	18 in favour 9 abstentions
29-Oct-03 Carthage Annual Meet.	The description of work in Area one: Restricting access to Whois data for marketing purposes, accepted as the guidelines for starting the policy development process.								Unanimously carried
	The description of work in area two:	2Y 1YP V	1Y 1A 1AP V	2A 1APV	3Y	3Y	3Y	3Y	22 in favour 5 Abstentions

subsequently
1 NCUC ab-
stention indi-
cated support

Review of data collected and displayed

The description of work in area three

Unanimously carried

Improving accuracy of collected data

That the three task forces be formed to look at the
3 areas of Whois;

That the Council has procedures in place to en-
sure that the 3 task forces communicate wit each
other;

Unanimously carried

that the Council will request those task forces to
come back to the council with a timetable for
achieving their work
and that it will be in the context of the ICANN by-
laws process

Procedural motion to table the motion proposed
by Milton Mueller:

In order to facilitate compliance with Section II.C.8
of the memorandum of understanding between the
US Department of commerce and ICANN, the
GNSO Council requests that the ICANN Staff
Manager produce an Issues Report on the cre-
ation and implementation of a regularly scheduled
procedure and objective selection criteria for new
TLD registries.

Unanimously carried

The Council, as the bottom up policy development body for the gTLDs, requests clarification as to whether the Board is changing its Amsterdam 2002 commitment to the community to go forward with the process for an interim round of sponsored TLDs, and if so why? The Council expresses strong concern that the Board may have taken a decision in this policy area without consulting Council.

Unanimously carried

The GNSO secretariat could authorize expenditure from the GNSO account as authorized by the GNSO Council chair to a limit of \$1,000 U.S.

20-Nov-03	Bruce Tonkin elected GNSO Council chair for one year, November 20,2003 to November 20, 2004.	2Y 1YP V	1Y1YPV1NV 1Y1YPV1NV	1Y1YPV1NV	2Y 1YPV	2Y 1A	2Y 1NV	motion carried 21 in favour, 2 abstention 4 voters without proxy
	That for the purpose of the Whois task forces, constituencies be allowed to appoint more than one person to listen in on teleconferences and to participate in the mailing list but in any single teleconference or physical meeting, there is only one person from the constituency to represent the constituency's views.	2Y 1YP V	1Y1YPV1NV 1Y1YPV1NV	1Y1YPV1NV	2Y 1YPV	3Y	2Y 1NV	motion carried 23 in favour 4 voters absent without proxy
	Amadeu Abril I Abril, as an independent Council member, be appointed to task force 2, on Whois Review of Data Collected and Displayed							Unanimously carried

Procedural process:

That Council accept the Staff manager summarizing the Issues Report on Registry Services, and a teleconference be scheduled in 7 days to vote on the initiation of a policy development process.

Unanimously carried

2 Dec
03

Procedural Motion:

To defer the vote to initiate the policy development process on the terms of reference for developing a procedure for use by ICANN Staff in managing contractual approvals or amendments to allow changes in the architecture or operation of a gTLD registry, for a period of 14 days for further refinement of the terms of reference.

3 N 1N 2 NPV 3NPV 2N 1NPV 3Y 2N 1 NPV 2 N 1 NPV

Motion failed
21 votes
against 6 in-
favour (gTLD
Registry C)

To initiate the policy development process on the issue of registry services based on the terms of reference as amended on the call

3Y 1Y 2YP V 3YPV 2Y 1YPV 2N 1A 2Y 1YPV 2Y 1YPV

Motion carried
21 in favour, 4
against 2 Ab-
stentions

Council undertakes the policy development process as a committee as a whole and follows the policy development process with the requirements of public notice in compliance with the ICANN by-laws and procedure when no task force is formed.

3Y 1Y 2YP V 3YPV 2Y 1YPV 2Y 1A 2Y 1YPV 2Y 1YPV

Motion carried
25 in favour 2
abstentions

GNSO Voting pattern 2004 Y
 =Yes, N= No, against,A =
 Abstention, NV= person did
 not vote, no proxy, YPV=
 Yes proxy vote, NPV= No,
 proxy vote, APV=Abstention
 proxy vote. (Constituency
 columns, person count) Vote
 status, # of votes, registrars &
 gTLD registries 2 votes each

Date	Motion	CBUC	NCUC	IPC	ISP	gTLD Reg- istries	Regis- trars	Nom. Com	Vote Status
22-Jan-04									
19 Feb 04	<p>Approve timelines for Whois task forces: March 19 2004 Submission of Constituency Statements April 9 2004 Prepare Preliminary report April 29 2004 Public comment period closes May 20 2004 Final Report</p> <p>Adoption of the Proposed guidelines for the ICANN Staff Manager's Issue report http://www.gnso.icann.org/committee/pdp-guide-v1.shtml</p> <p>Dr. Alejandro fills the ICANN Board seat #13 for one term.</p>		<p>Unanimous approval</p>						<p>All in favour of endorsing</p>
		3Y	1Y 2YPV	3Y	2Y 1 NV	3Y	2Y 1 NV	2Y 1 YPV	<p>Motion carried 24 in favour 3 votes absent</p>
		3Y	1Y 2YPV	3Y	2Y 1 NV	3Y	3Y	2Y 1 YPV	<p>26 in favour</p>

Dr. Alejandro Pisanty was reelected for his third and final term in accordance with the ICANN bylaws, and this term is to run through 6 months following the ICANN annual meeting in 2006

1 vote absent – carried

3 March
04
Rome

Elisabeth Porteneuve was thanked and recognized by the GNSO Council for her contributions to the DNSO/GNSO

Unanimous approval

1 April 04

The deadline for the submission of constituency statements to the three Whois task forces extended to APRIL 16 2004 and the deadline for the Preliminary reports extended to MAY 6 2004

Unanimous approval

6 May 04

The deadline for the submission of the preliminary reports for the three Whois task forces be extended to May 28, 2004 and that a joint task force meeting take place before the deadline.

Unanimous approval

16 June
04

Procedural Motion:

Unanimous approval

The public comment period on the Whois task force reports be extended to Monday 5 July 2004 Whois task forces are encouraged to initiate public teleconferences to stimulate public comment during the public comment period Whois task forces are encouraged to work internally towards a complete consensus position

12 July
04

No motions passed

20 July
04

Whois task forces one and two combine and work together, in particular looking at tiered access option and developing further up-front advice to registrants about their obligations and the fact that none of the data becomes public.

Unanimous approval

KL Meeting

Whois task force three proceed to clearly identify its recommendations for new policy and work on determining what are the implementation issues for work done by ICANN and work done by registrars.

5 August 04	The work output of the two groups, combined Whois task forces one and two and Whois task force three, be combined before next going out to public comment.	3Y	2Y 1YPV	1Y 2NV	1Y 2YPV	1N 1A 1Y	3YPV	3Y	21 in favour 2ab- stentions 2against, 2 votes absent
	The resolution on designating a successor operator for the .net registry was approved as a consensus statement, having a greater than two-thirds majority vote.								
	The GNSO believes that all material provisions of the new .net Agreement should be made known to the public in the draft and final RFPs. This includes, but is not limited to, any information regarding the proposed fee structure to be paid by the subsequent .net registry operator to ICANN. Only with such information can an applicant truly propose a realistic, viable, and appropriate business, technical and financial model. In addition, such information known in advance by the applicants will also help in ensuring a smooth negotiation process with the successor operator after its selection.	3Y	1A 1APV 1NV	2Y 1YPV	1Y 2YPV	3Y	3YPV	3Y	24 in favour, 2 ab- stentions

Whereas the GNSO Council .net subcommittee had completed its work, the subcommittee be formally dissolved.

Unanimous approval

19 August 04

No motions passed

The ICANN staff will prepare a revised draft of the report on "Procedure for use by ICANN in considering requests for consent and related contractual amendments to allow changes in the architecture operation of a gTLD registry" that addresses the issues discussed during the teleconference on September 9, 2004 and the revised draft would be produced by the 24 September 2004. The revised draft will be posted for review by the Council members and if there are no substantial objections to that draft, the aim would be to publish the revised draft of the initial report on Monday 4 October 2004.

Unanimous approval

9-Sep-04

21 October

Procedural motion:

To proceed with the election of chair for the GNSO Council and open nominations starting 21 October 2004 for a two week period, ending 4 November 2004 at 12 noon UTC. The term of office will be for one year.

2Y
1YPV 3 NV

2Y 2Y
1YPV 1YPV 3Y

3Y

2 APV
1NV

21 in favour, 2 ab-
stention
proxy votes
4 absent without
proxy
motion carried