

GNSO Council AC Chat Transcript 14 April 2016

Marika Konings: (4/14/2016 20:25) Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of 14 April 2016

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (22:40) hi

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (22:40) is this the right place???? :)

Josh Baulch: (22:41) @ Carlos - Sorry i disconnected your Mic, you can reconnect.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (22:48) txs Josh

Amr Elsadr: (22:56) Hi all.

Keith Drazek (RySG): (22:57) Hello everyone!

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (22:57) Hello All!

James Bladel: (22:58) joining the brdge.

Julf Helsingius: (22:58) Hello

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (22:59) bridge over troubled waters.....

Heather Forrest: (23:00) As I always like to say, James, it's always tomorrow where I live :)

Wolf-Ulrich Knobon: (23:00) Hi everybody

James Bladel: (23:00) Hi Wolf-Ulrich.

Susan Kawaguchi: (23:00) dialed in but waiting

James Bladel: (23:00) Let's give everyone a min or two.

Susan Kawaguchi: (23:00) for the operator

Terri Agnew: (23:01) @Susan, alerting the op

Keith Drazek (RySG): (23:01) Took a few minutes but I got in.

Stefania Milan: (23:01) Hello everyone

Paul McGrady - IPC: (23:01) Waiting for the operator too. Great music though.

James Bladel: (23:01) same here. slow today

James Bladel: (23:02) Paul & Susan, can you let us know when you've arrived on the bridge?

Susan Kawaguchi: (23:02) I am in!

James Bladel: (23:02) :)

James Bladel: (23:03) Paul, are you in?

Paul McGrady - IPC: (23:03) On the phone now!

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (23:03) David, the audio bridge seems to indicate you are the echo source...

Philip Corwin: (23:03) Hello. 3 minute wait for operator

James Bladel: (23:04) echo.

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (23:07) thanks, Glen.

Glen de Saint Gery: (23:09) I missed Larisa Gurnick, welcome Larisa

Mary Wong: (23:10) Glen's already taken care of the name change for Jen on mailing lists :)

Glen de Saint Gery: (23:10) Jennifer, forgive me I did!

Heather Forrest: (23:15) Sounds sensible, James - happy to support that approach

Julie Hedlund: (23:15) Per the SSAC's procedures, anyone participating in the SSAC must be accepted as a member.

Stephanie Perrin: (23:15) My apologies for being late, had technical issues.

Mary Wong: (23:16) This item was not referred to the SCI as the Council has not decided whether to do so or not.

Julie Hedlund: (23:16) For example, the ALAC has a liaison to the SSAC, Julie Hammer, who applied and was accepted by the SSAC as a member. I should note that the SSAC does not consider her a liaison to the ALAC -- that role is only from the ALAC's side.

Amr Elsadr: (23:16) @Mary: That was my understanding as well. Thanks for confirming it.

Glen de Saint Gery: (23:16) Welcome Stephanie!

Stephanie Perrin: (23:17) Thanks Glen, sorry for tardiness!!

Amr Elsadr: (23:17) Also lost David.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (23:17) I lost David too.

Glen de Saint Gery: (23:19) we are getting David Cake back on the line

Edward Morris: (23:19) NCUC

Mary Wong: (23:19) NCUC Executive Committee
Amr Elsadr: (23:19) The statement was by the NCUC executive committee.
NIGEL HICKSON: (23:19) good evening
Amr Elsadr: (23:20) Hi Nigel. Thanks for joining us today.
Jennifer Gore: (23:20) I think Monday at the latest
Jennifer Gore: (23:20) re. letter
Edward Morris: (23:20) That would be great. Thanks James.
Edward Morris: (23:21) And thanks Jennifer for your great work on this.
Jennifer Gore: (23:21) welcome
Stefania Milan: (23:22) Thanks Jennifer, and apologies for bailing out (unexpected family issues but still)
Philip Corwin: (23:25) I'm comfortable with sending a letter indicating general support for developing a meeting harassment policy. But the policy itself needs to be very carefully developed.
Amr Elsadr: (23:26) @Phil: +1
Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (23:26) @Phil +1
Donna Austin, RySG: (23:26) Agree Phil
Edward Morris: (23:28) I have also worked with tgese policies as a lawyer in the private sector. I wholeheartedly support thge current dicument.
Philip Corwin: (23:28) I raised a number of questions about the initial draft policy that was shared, and have yet to see an updated version.
Edward Morris: (23:29) (sorry for the typos)
Philip Corwin: (23:29) There has also been no consultation within the BC on what a proper policy should look like.
Edward Morris: (23:30) a reference marker
Jennifer Gore: (23:30) agreed with Phil
Stephanie Perrin: (23:31) As I said, it would not be accurate to say that the NCSG has reflected on what needs to be in our "input document" or points for consideration or whatever we call this.
Stephanie Perrin: (23:34) Councillors may wish to look at what the IETF did.
<https://www.ietf.org/blog/2016/04/team-to-help-regarding-harassment-concerns/>. I am not recommending it, I am recommending we take our time on this and take a look at best practice.
Amr Elsadr: (23:35) Apologies, but who is the speaker right now?
Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (23:35) Red Cross
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (23:36) apologies for joining late
Amr Elsadr: (23:36) Yes..., I gathered. Was wondering who from the RC?
Mary Wong: (23:36) Charlotte Lindsey Curtet, from the Red Cross - invited to provide a brief presentation today and answer any questions from the Council.
James Bladel: (23:36) Charlotte Linsay-Curtet.
Amr Elsadr: (23:36) Thanks Mary and James.
NIGEL HICKSON: (23:37) Charlotte is the director of ICRC here in Geneva.
Amr Elsadr: (23:37) Thanks Nigel.
NIGEL HICKSON: (23:39) Lots of bacground here <https://www.icrc.org/fr>
Mary Wong: (23:47) To clarify - the GNSO policy recommendations under discussion here came from a single PDP that ran till Nov 2013 (the PDP that was chaired by Thomas Rickert).
Amr Elsadr: (23:48) Not for our guest, but am also looking fwd to hearing what the thinking is on the future of the GNSO on this topic.
Mary Wong: (23:48) That PDP nevertheless distinguished between the different basis for protection for the Red Cross and the Int'l Olympic Committee, and for the IGOs and well as other international NGOs.
Stephanie Perrin: (23:48) We lost you there a bit James
Donna Austin, RySG: (23:50) It is not possible to enforce any policy on ccTLDs
Paul McGrady - IPC: (23:50) Does that mean we need a CCWG to sort this out?

Donna Austin, RySG: (23:50) Even policy developed under a ccNSO PDP cannot be enforced on ccTLDs

Amr Elsadr: (23:50) The GNSO's mandate is limited to the gTLD name space.

Amr Elsadr: (23:50) @Donna: Right.

Paul McGrady - IPC: (23:50) Doesn't seem fulsome to address gTLDs only - ccTLDs are just as important

Amr Elsadr: (23:51) Not much ICANN can do about ccTLD policies Paul.

NIGEL HICKSON: (23:51) ICRC recognise that any solutions not perfect; but start

Paul McGrady - IPC: (23:51) Thanks Amr. This is the historic doctrine, I suppose, but I'm not sure where that comes from. I suppose we would have to look at ICANN's mission and relationships to ccTLDs post-transition.

Donna Austin, RySG: (23:52) National sovereignty rule ccTLDs

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (23:53) we should not get involved in ccTLD business. If they want to create such policies for the cctlds, they better start talking to the GAC, because outside legislating this in every country, this is not going to happen

Philip Corwin: (23:53) FYI, what we have learned from our CRP WG legal expert is that the scope of sovereign immunity accorded to IGOs varies from nation to nation.

Paul McGrady - IPC: (23:53) Thanks Donna. Where is the national sovereignty rule codified in ICANN documents or the law governing ICANN? I think we may be conflating historic practices with actual rules or law.

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (23:53) ayr

Marika Konings: (23:54) Please note in bold and cursive the amendment that was proposed by Wolf-Ulrich and supported by Amr

Amr Elsadr: (23:55) @Marika: Well..., not objected to by Amr, but "supported" is a little strong. ;-)

Marika Konings: (23:55) OK, not objected to then ;-)

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (23:55) does the priority of #21 change?

Philip Corwin: (23:55) @Paul--I know of no ICANN policy iof sovereign immunity. As for ccTLDs, they have always been subject to government control and have no obligation to even participate in ccNSO

Amr Elsadr: (23:56) @Carlos: 21 had no priority until now, because it was in the "do not implement" bucket. It now has a "low" priority.

Donna Austin, RySG: (23:56) @Paul, I think the first recognition to this effect was during WSIS some 10 years ago. I

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (23:56) @Amr thks

Amr Elsadr: (23:58) Was dropped off the call. May I please get dialled back in?

Paul McGrady - IPC: (23:58) @Phil - understood, but ccTLDs do get benefits from their relationship with ICANN. I understand the USG giving them some space as a courtesy, but after the transition, it is just a matter of private contract. Would be a shame if we fixed the abuse problems for the RC/RC in the gTLD space, only to have the bad guys set up shop in the cc's. All of that said, it would be nice to move this issue forward quickly for the RC/RC. They do great work.

Larisa Gurnick: (4/15/2016 00:01) Rec. 21: That the GNSO Council should regularly undertake or commission analysis of trends in gTLDs in order to forecast likely requirements for policy and to ensure those affected are well represented in the policy-making process.

Amr Elsadr: (00:01) Rec21: That the GNSO Council should regularly undertake or commission analysis of trends in gTLDs in order to forecast likely requirements for policy and to ensure those affected are well represented in the policy-making process.

Amr Elsadr: (00:02) Whoops. Larisa faster than I am.

Larisa Gurnick: (00:02) Just trying to anticipate....

James Bladel: (00:02) Thank you, Larisa & Amr.

Marika Konings: (00:03) Note that resolved 4 was indeed inadvertently left off from the wiki page, it has been added here now as this was how it was submitted by Wolf-Ulrich.

Marika Konings: (00:10) Please note that this phase focuses on feasibility and priority of the recommendations, the discussions on how to implement the recommendations is the next phase of the process (and there should be plenty of opportunity to provide input on that phase).

Donna Austin, RySG: (00:10) I agree with Amr. The changed language was a recent late addition and may be at the expense of other comments received throughout the process.

Edward Morris: (00:11) Agree with Amr.

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (00:13) The OEC would be happy to consider the input from the Council in addition to that of the Working Party.

Amr Elsadr: (00:13) @Susan: The WP assessment does not preclude a future discussion on the role of constituencies. If anything, the WP really just said that more considerations need to be worked through before a recommendations like this is implemented.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (00:14) For completeness, Rec. 23 as it was on the final version, not the draft version, which was the one that received public comments from the community.

Amr Elsadr: (00:14) @Rubens: Yes. The "revised" rec#23.

Heather Forrest: (00:15) @Rinalia, thank you very much for your earlier comment inviting Council's input

Amr Elsadr: (00:16) Yes..., thank you Rinalia, and please convey thanks to the OEC for being so patient with the GNSO on this work.

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (00:16) it is important to the OEC that there is full ownership of the recommendations that are to be implemented.

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (00:17) by the GNSO as a whole. Otherwise we risk failure.

Amr Elsadr: (00:18) I think we're endorsing more than adopting the assessment. At least, that was my impression.

Stephanie Perrin: (00:19) Thanks for articulating that Paul, it is a concern.

Amr Elsadr: (00:19) These are not PDP WG recommendations.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (00:19) structural review shall be a different topic for the future

Stephanie Perrin: (00:20) Some of those recommendations we graded while somewhat holding our noses, in my view.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (00:21) option one: vote just on the amendment

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (00:22) @WUK on the amendmet of #21 only????

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (00:23) @Carlos: yes

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (00:23) Danke

Amr Elsadr: (00:23) If I'm not mistaken, we only need to vote on the amendment alone before voting on the motion if the motion maker or seconder objects, which is not the case.

Marika Konings: (00:23) @Amr - you are correct

Heather Forrest: (00:25) In light of time I'll put my comment into the chat - I think Rinalia has given us a very helpful path forward by giving Council an opportunity to make its own comments, while also considering the analysis of the WP.

Amr Elsadr: (00:27) @James: That is correct, which is why I am not objecting to the amendment.

Jennifer Gore: (00:27) no objections

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (00:27) IF we can get the work done on list...

Amr Elsadr: (00:27) The motion says "adopts". :)

Keith Drazek (RySG): (00:27) No objection, James.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (00:28) yes, Paul

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (00:28) we have tried to push work onto the list, which turned out as "leave it till the next meeting" too often

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (00:28) leave

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (00:28) no objection

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (00:29) aye

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (00:29) timeline consideration in the council input: OEC will meet on 15 May to discuss the GNSO review recommendations and feasibility + prioritization assessment.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (00:29) Thanks all!!

Paul McGrady - IPC: (00:30) Thanks WU!
Amr Elsadr: (00:30) Thank you WUK.
Keith Drazek (RySG): (00:30) Thanks Rinalia
Keith Drazek (RySG): (00:33) Thanks indeed to Mason!
Donna Austin, RySG: (00:33) Many thanks to Mason
Mason Cole: (00:33) Thanks!! Always a pleasure to serve the council.
Amr Elsadr: (00:36) Indeed. Many thanks to Mason. Having a first liaison who carried out his/her role well was crucial during the pilot phase. Thanks for doing that Mason.
Glen de Saint Gery: (00:36) Marilia Maciel is unable to attend and sends her apologies- she has serious connectivity issues
Mason Cole: (00:36) Thank you Amr.
James Bladel: (00:37) Thanks for setting the standard, Mason.
Amr Elsadr: (00:38) @Heather: Good point. Also worth noting that we may, every now and then, have a NCA who has experience in the GAC. :)
Heather Forrest: (00:38) Quite right, and it would be foolish to exclude such a person from the running
Amr Elsadr: (00:39) @Heather: Like Carlos. :)
Heather Forrest: (00:39) Good point, Amr. Someone with his GAC experience would be very valuable to us.
Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (00:39) Carlos is "Full House"
Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (00:40) @WUK :)
Marika Konings: (00:40) Note that there is no requirement for candidates to be a member of a constituency or SG -they only requirement is that a SG/C is willing to put him/her forward as a candidate.
Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (00:41) I would certainly would like to be considered WUK, thank you
Heather Forrest: (00:41) Good point, Marika - so the requirements are not an obstacle
Marika Konings: (00:41) Correct
Heather Forrest: (00:41) I definitely support balance! Let's ensure that the requirements give us that flexibility
Paul McGrady - IPC: (00:42) All, I'll have to step away in 18 minutes or else risk missing my flight. Sorry!!
Heather Forrest: (00:42) In my view "balance" gives more flexibility than a hard and fast rotation.
Marika Konings: (00:42) I am happy to share the evaluation sheet again so everyone has a chance to provide input on the criteria used for evaluation
Paul McGrady - IPC: (00:43) 18 minutes remain
Amr Elsadr: (00:43) @Marika: I don't recall exactly, but was there a recommendation from the CG that the liaison not be a sitting councillor? Something about conflicts between GAC meetings and Council meetings? I recall a discussion about this, but don't remember how it ended.
Stefania Milan: (00:43) no objection
Heather Forrest: (00:43) @Amr, yes, I recall non-sitting councillor
Marika Konings: (00:43) @Amr - correct as the role is not compatible during ICANN meetings as the GAC meets at the same time.
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (00:43) aye
Jennifer Gore: (00:43) i just got cut off the bridge, calling in again now
Amr Elsadr: (00:43) @Marika: That's what I thought. Thanks.
Jennifer Gore: (00:44) aye
Glen de Saint Gery: (00:44) All in favour thank you
Glen de Saint Gery: (00:44) I have been cut off
Glen de Saint Gery: (00:44) motion passes
Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (00:44) No objections.
Paul McGrady - IPC: (00:45) Congratulations and thanks to Mason!
Glen de Saint Gery: (00:45) thank you

Glen de Saint Gery: (00:45) I am back on line
Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (00:45) Could people hear me or just Glen couldn't ?
Mason Cole: (00:45) Thank you Paul
Marika Konings: (00:46) @Rubens - we couldn't hear you either
Terri Agnew: (00:46) @Rubens, I confirm you are connected on the telephone and unmuted on our end.
Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (00:47) Thanks Marika, will only post in chat for the remaining of the session instead of dialing back.
Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (00:47) Thanks Terri.
Marika Konings: (00:48) Note that Mary circulated an updated version as yesterday's version indeed still had a comment in it
Marika Konings: (00:48) what is up now is the 'final' draft
Donna Austin, RySG: (00:48) I think that was fixed Heather
Heather Forrest: (00:49) Ah, great - I thought maybe I was imagining things
Mary Wong: (00:49) Yes, that "loose end" was a question/comment and is part of the set of bullet points for discussion.
Heather Forrest: (00:49) Great that this is the final version.
Heather Forrest: (00:49) Thanks for confirming!
Mary Wong: (00:49) @Heather, yes
James Bladel: (00:50) Yep, good catch, Heather.
Donna Austin, RySG: (00:52) And we don't need an answer now, just something to think about.
Heather Forrest: (00:53) You are connected James
Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (00:53) I still hear you James.
Paul McGrady - IPC: (00:54) Next time James says "hopefully I'm still connected" let's none of us answer - just for fun.
James Bladel: (00:54) That would work.
Stefania Milan: (00:54) no objection
Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (00:54) Eye
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (00:54) aye
Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (00:54) (Eye for Valerie)
Stephanie Perrin: (00:54) Aye
Marika Konings: (00:56) Please note that any additional questions should ideally be submitted as soon as possible so these can be passed on to the finance team.
Donna Austin, RySG: (00:56) Comments on the budget look good to me.
Berry Cobb: (00:56) 30 April
Keith Drazek (RySG): (00:56) Big thanks to Berry for helping with our preparation of the Budget draft comments.
Edward Morris: (00:56) April 30
Berry Cobb: (00:56) 30 April
Paul McGrady - IPC: (00:57) dropping off, but I've asked Terri to ring my mobile in a few minutes so I can listen on the way to O'Hare
Edward Morris: (00:57) Sounds good James
Donna Austin, RySG: (00:59)
<https://community.icann.org/display/ccmeetschedplan/Meeting+Schedule+Planning>
Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (01:01) That sounds like good progress, Donna.
Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (01:03) Thank you GNSO Council.
Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (01:03) Thanks all, bye!
Amr Elsadr: (01:03) Was dropped off the call. didn't hang up early. :)
Jennifer Gore: (01:03) thanks James
Philip Corwin: (01:03) Bye
Amr Elsadr: (01:03) Thanks all. Bye.
Julf Helsingius: (01:03) Thank you all!

Keith Drazek (RySG): (01:03) Thanks all
James Bladel: (01:03) Thanks, all. Good progress.
Heather Forrest: (01:03) Thanks James, by all
Heather Forrest: (01:03) bte
Stefania Milan: (01:03) thanks, bye!
Heather Forrest: (01:03) bye, I mean (typing issues!
James Bladel: (01:03) :)