

**ICANN Transcription
Next-Gen RDS PDP Working Group
Tuesday 12 April 2016 at 1600 UTC**

Note: The following is the output of transcribing from an audio recording of the Next-Gen RDS PDP Working Group call on the Tuesday 12 April 2016 at 16:00 UTC. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

The audio is also available at:

<http://audio.icann.org/gnso/gnso-nextgen-rds-12apr16-en.mp3>

Coordinator: Your recording has started, you may now proceed.

(Michelle): Okay, thank you. Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, and welcome to the Next-Gen RDS PDP Working Group Meeting on Tuesday April 12th at 1600 UTC. In the interest of interest of time today there will be no roll call, as we have quite a few participants, attendance will be taken by the Adobe Connect. So if you are only on the audio bridge, please let yourself be known now.

James Gannon: Hi, this is James Gannon and I'm on audio only.

(Michelle): Thank you (James). I would also like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Please keep your phones and microphones on mute when not speaking to avoid any background noise. With this, I'll hand it back over to you Chuck, please begin.

Chuck Gomes: Thank you very much, this is Chuck and welcome to everyone to our (RDS NexGen) who is the working group call today. As (Lisa) communicated, we're

hoping this call will not go any longer than an hour. So you - some of you will get a little bit of time back unless you're going to use that to work on all the teamwork that's going on. Let me start off by, again, saying what I said on the list, the amount of effort that all of you are putting in on these three teams is nothing short of impressive and a very good indication of our ability this is succeed going forward in and the challenging efforts in front of us as this - in this working group.

So thank you very, very much for the commitment that so many have you - have of - you have made. You can see the agenda there in Adobe, we've already taken care of roll call, is there anyone who needs to update a statement of interest? Not seeing any hands or hearing from anyone, we'll go to agenda item 2. And agenda item 2 is to get a readout from each of the three teams that I have been working so hard -- and thanks again to (Lisa) for supporting all three of these teams in a magnificent way as, it's been nothing short of exemplary (Lisa), so thanks a lot.

And I know the teams really appreciate that as well. So I see that there may be some echoing, I'm not hearing it and it looks like others - some others aren't hearing it, so I'm not sure as (Michelle), said at the beginning, remember to keep your phones on mute, if you're not speaking, that sometimes will solve that problem. Okay, let's start off with the David Cake and ask David to give us a status update and anything else he wants to share, or anyone from his team, would like to share regarding the privacy and data protection team. David, it's all yours.

David Cake: Right, so the - it's been quite a staple in (unintelligible) worked down in the privacy and data protection team. I know it's like trying to (unintelligible) everyone who's done great work or miss someone out. It's certainly been a rather fair good quality summaries and (unintelligible) done, we still need to be - we still seem to be, have a lot of work ahead of us. There's a number of documents that we have not yet even assigned someone to summarize yet, so we still have got to a fair bit of work to go on.

We even still turning up a few extra documents to add to the list. So I think we're finding that there's a lot of work - it is quite a lot of work in this area around (unintelligible) we'd be struggling to, I think, sort of struggling (unintelligible) have been within a week that we're working hard. And I think quite - there's been a lot of really good work done in summarizing some quite complex documents. We've also found that some documents - I think others have found that some of these documents at the least need to be - they've already been looked at by other teams but need to be looked at again to highlight the data protection and privacy relevant aspects particularly large documents, comprehensive one like the - some of the (ADWG) (unintelligible).

So, yes, we're working quite hard but I think there's still fair bit of work to be done on the privacy type. We're hoping to start moving sort of prioritizing and organizing those documents soon but not quite yet. Yes, thanks.

Chuck Gomes: Okay, David, this is Chuck, a question for you, am I correct in assuming that if there are those in the working group who haven't volunteered that they could still volunteer to help your team out in summarizing some of the documents?

David Cake: Yes, absolutely. We still got some documents that have not - not only not summarized but not yet assigned to someone to summarize, and rather than overload a few people with a lot of work, it would be great to see some new people volunteering.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks, David, Chuck again. And so, let me just open it up right now, if anybody if you would either raise your hand or put mark the agree or if you're not in Adobe to speak up if you'd be willing to help the privacy and data protection team out. It's not a long-term effort, in fact we're hoping that most the work can be wrapped up in the next week or at most couple weeks. So if you've got a few minutes you could spend and help the team out, that would

be great. (Vicki), thank you. So let's make sure that (Vicki) is added to the team list for the privacy and data protection group.

Anybody else? And I forgot to mention like - do what (Vicki) did and just speak up in the chat, that's fine. So any other volunteers would be greatly appreciated, and let's send out a message immediately after this meeting to the full working group soliciting any other volunteers and attached the latest status report for that team to show what documents are - have not been done yet. And of course (Vicki) will appreciate that too. So thanks (Vicki), appreciate you stepping up right on this call and certainly if somebody thinks they can right after the call that would - so I notice that (Andrew) is willing to do something at on Friday if you still have needs then David. So please keep that in mind and just for safety just to make sure the logistics work let's - well I won't say add (Andrew) to the list team, he may not want that.

But if you are (Andrew) just let us know and we'll just add to the list and then if they need you, you're ready to go. So - but I'll leave it up to (Andrew) to say whether he wants to be on that list at this point in time, understanding that he's available to help out on Friday. So thanks for that, I appreciate it. Okay, does anybody in that team want to add anything as to what David has shared with us? Please raise your hand if you do or speak up if you're not in Adobe. But David noticed that it's whether you want to just have (Andrew) added to the list, he gets so much email already that it doesn't make any appreciable difference. I bet you do actually.

So okay, very good, let's go then to (Susan) who is heading up the purpose team. And ask (Susan) to give us an update and share anything she wants to share, and again (Susan) feel free to open it up to other people on your team if they'd like to communicate anything.

(Susan): Thanks Chuck. I think the purpose team is doing very well, and I want to thank (Lisa) again, I was late up with the flu last week and could barely read emails so she rounded up a lot more people and it kept us organized last

week. So, I appreciate that thanks (Lisa). I think we have all the identified documents assigned with so - to some team members have been very good about stepping forward and agreeing to review. And I would say about 80% of those are reviewed, approximately 80. So we - the few that - the last 20% or so that have not been reviewed yet, I have commitments from the individuals that agreed to review them.

So you should have those in the next couple of days, hopefully. And so we'll wrap up the reviewing part shortly, and be ready to summarize the documents at - some of that discussion has already sort of happened on some of the threads, so we can utilize that - the previous discussion but we're ready to move forward and get our work done. And thanks to all the team members. Does anybody have a - any of the team members one make a comment or? Kathy.

Kathy Kleiman:: Hi (Susan), and everybody, can you hear me?

(Susan): Yes.

Chuck Gomes: Yes.

Kathy Kleiman: Great. For (Susan), sorry to hear you were sick. That's how anyone likes to spend a week, and I hope you're feeling better. So, here's the question, I raised it on the last call as well, which is the templates allow - the - have the section for additional information, and different questions are being raised about - you know, some of the material we're looking at is very old, so (Greg) Aaron) raised the question in one of the subgroups -- and they're all getting mixed up my mind now -- of, you know, has this been superseded? Is it still good information or has the same group taken a different position?

That's a very valid question, in some of the summaries, you know, somebody saying the purpose that - you know, such and such group, you know, set out with X but others -- including those participating in the group -- may say, you

know, this group never looked the purpose. So this kind of commentary would be very useful I think in our summaries, and I wanted to know how we could include it, and again, let me throw out the ideas that the additional information section might be a good place for that. So, I wanted to just to talk about or ask about timing and opportunity to kind of - for people to put in edits and questions and maybe information about superseding or insights that they actually participate in the drafting of that particular document, thanks.

(Susan): Those are all great questions, and actually the leadership team met yesterday -- and Chuck might want to talk further to this -- but, and have developed a plan and questions for summarizing the documents, which I think Chuck is introduced after we've all, given our download today, so we do have a - we have created a plan that will be flexible to include all of those discussions and those points and, you know, so the point of view from lots of the community on purpose, for example, since that's our sub-team. So, I think we do - I think is important to integrate that into our summary, and to take all perspectives into account. But he'll introduce that in today's call (unintelligible)...

Kathy Kleiman: (Susan) can I respond? This is Kathy Kleiman, again, for the record. There seem to be different definitions of summaries. The summaries that you would - individual documents versus what I think may be a summary of the summaries. And so, what I'm suggesting is within the specific document that we collect - allow, you know, that we encourage people to add additional information if they have it. Like I've summarized certain documents of the Council of Europe, and we got Council of Europe participants.

Now, specifically sending the additional information, you know. I welcome the guidance and expertise of, you know, the Council of Europe because they're the expert on this. So there may be an ambiguity on summary and summaries. Thanks.

(Susan): Okay, thanks Kathy. Anybody else have a comment? And we'll take that all into account Kathy in how we move forward. So Chuck, I think that's it.

Chuck Gomes: Okay, thanks (Susan) and thanks Kathy. And I do want to respond a little bit to Kathy before we go to the data group. The - and maybe even before I do that, I want to back up to something that (Farell) said in the chat. Certainly, we had a deadline for the summaries of Monday -- which was yesterday -- but you know, not all the summaries were achieved by that, an amazing number did and we're very pleased with that. So, whereas we do want to get this wrapped up as quickly as possible, for those of you that are still willing to take on some other documents don't let that that deadline that's passed stop you, please help us get those finished.

So thanks (Farell) putting that into the chat. And Kathy with regard to your comments, you may want to jump back when we get to agenda item 3, where we - where we're going to go over a plan that the leadership team has - is putting out to the group today. And will be distributed to the group because it - at least in part talks about what you're doing. But certainly, I would encourage people to document things like you're talking about so that even if something doesn't end up in the, maybe, concise summary that's done for certain documents, it would be good to still have a record of those things so we can pull them out later.

Unless anyone thinks that all this work is just an exercise to keep people busy, I want to counter that right now. At least the way I see it, I think all of this work, not just the summaries, the summaries will help us use - find and use the information more quickly, but that will not prevent us from going back into the documents as we - in more detail as we see it's helpful when we start doing our deliberation on the questions that we have to cover. I think the work that everyone is doing is going to really facilitate us in our discussions, in our detailed deliberation discussions, several weeks down the road when we get into that.

So, the - where we're going next, and I won't jump to that yet, but we'll talk about some ways of how we can pull all of this together and then make it readily available as we actually are deliberating. And we'll be relying on those of you who have done the detailed work the last few weeks to help point out when those particular documents are particularly relevant to what we're talking about, so. I think enough for now on that, but Kathy if you want to jump back in when we talk about the plan, that would be great. Let me now turn to Lisa, unfortunately (Michele) cannot join us today, he had a conflict but I think also he's a - has a health issue as well. So but Lisa is going to jump in cover it for (Michele) and I will let her take over that right now.

(Lisa Phifer): Thanks Chuck. So, this is (Lisa Phifer) for the record, and I don't sound anything like (Michele) but I'll try to do my best. So, the data team has been plugging along all assignments were made shortly after our call last week. Many of the documents that were assigned to be summarized, those summaries have been turned in. I just did a quick count, and I think it's about 75% have been turned in now. Others that are remaining -- actually maybe it's more like 80% now that I'm looking at one that just came in this morning -- there is just, maybe four people that have a couple of summaries still yet to turn in.

In many cases those people already turned in some summaries and just have one or two left. So I think the data team is moving along on summaries, there has been some on-list discussion of which of the many input documents might and ultimately end up being the most helpful to this working group's task, particularly when it comes to data elements. And that I think -- as Kathy noted -- it's useful to incorporate that somehow in the teams' output and I'm sure that the data team will include that in the its consolidated output. And I'll let Chuck cover what that output might look like when we get to agenda item 3. Anyone on the data team would like to add anything? Seeing no hands, I guess, I'll turn it back to you Chuck.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks (Lisa) and thanks to all of you again for all that you're doing. And I think, over the next few months as we get into our deliberation that all of us will benefit tremendously from the hard work that's going on the last few weeks, and in the next week or so. So thank you very much. All right let's go to agenda item 3, and a document will be put in Adobe now. And thanks to (Lisa) for taking the lead on this but, with support from the leadership team we've been grappling with, "Okay, now we've spent all this time, a bunch of people have made great contributions. How do we now capitalize on that in the weeks and months ahead to most effectively and efficiently use that work the help us make progress in the task in front of us?"

And so what is going to be shown on the screen here shortly, and what will be distributed immediately after this call with any edits that we might make on the call, we will - it'll be a plan going forward. It's not in concrete, so in other words we can modify it but I think it's in pretty good shape and will help us. Now, the first task of course for the three teams are to do everything in their power to get the summaries finished. But in addition to that, we want to start pulling it all together. So the document that just came up on screen now is designed to help us do that and if you have suggestions or questions on this, please communicate them today. We are more than open to that, and we want to make this process work as well as possible, and some of you may have really good suggestions in that regard. You can see at the beginning of the document that the tasks that have been completed -- I won't go through those, you can glance up at them there -- and then there are a couple tasks that are in progress, that we've kind of already talked about in agenda item number two.

The part of the document I really want to focus on, is the proposed plan and I emphasize, the word propose, it's the leadership team is proposing as a way to consolidate summaries and complete and present the team outputs. And again, I want to emphasize that in any consolidation we do in - it may be concise, okay? I think that'll help the broader group use it, but we're not going to be limited to those concise summaries. Okay, so please keep that in mind,

we're not trying to leave out data as we see it's necessary, we can always go back and go into these documents in more detail if it helps us do our work.

So one of the first objectives that the leadership team has is, "Okay, now how can we make this readily usable so we can see when something is pertinent and we need to maybe dig in deeper?" So, that's why we want to consolidate the summaries in a - as concise a way as possible so that we know when they're relevant to other work and at those times we can dig in a little deeper into the documents. And we will reply, as I've already said, on all of you that have done these summaries to help point us the key elements of the documents and issues that we may need to discuss further.

So what we would like to do, as you can see at the bottom of the screen right there under the proposed plan, and - is to first of all, get this work to a point in the next week or two, where we can finalize our work plan, okay, you recall when we started all this, the teamwork here, that we had started to work on a work plan -- which is one of our deliverables in the early part of the working group --- and we got stuck a little bit because there were some conflicting views, which is expected and fine. So we do need to finish that work plan and to the extent that what comes out of these three teams can help us do that, that will be very helpful.

But also we need to do an outreach to stakeholders, to supporting organizations and advisory committees in ICANN, as well as the G-NSO stakeholder groups and constituencies. That's something that's required, in fact, we're going to we're planning to do that multiple times throughout this working group going forward. But we need to get the first one out, so hopefully whatever we come up with out of these three teams with regards to summaries and a plan here, we want we want to be able to get that first outreach to the (SOACs) and (SGs) and (Cs) done in the next several weeks.

As I've already said and if you - oh, I see I have control of this you, have control, so I'm scrolling down now so that I see -- just in case you wanted to

look at what I'm looking at -- I've got the proposed plan showing the down to item D on there right now. And you can see there for next steps, step A, is this staff will update the checklist. Actually I think (Lisa) is doing that on a - multiple times a day right now, she's been doing a really good job, and so she will continue to do that. (Lisa), I don't think I've informed you that you're not allowed to get sick or incapacitated in any way, so up please understand that's part of your job description.

The we are greatly appreciative of what you're doing and dependent on that. So what we'd like the teams to do, and again, you can provide input to this or questions, as a next step -- in addition to finalizing the summaries -- we would like each team to consider taking a look at all of the output that you've produced and are producing to address the six questions that are listed there. The first one is, "Did this input inventory produce any insights to inform the working groups work plan?" If you - think about that one because that's going to be one of the first action steps we're going to have to take once we finish this - the team exercises here, is to finalize our work plan.

Okay, so if you've discovered anything in all the input, not just what each of you didn't individually, but what you've done collectively on your teams, that might inform how we finalize the work plan. We would like you to share those insights with the full working group. Now we're hoping - before I go to the other questions -- we're hoping that in our meeting next week on Tuesday -- and actually I guess it's on Wednesday for probably most people, and it'll be very early on Wednesday for me because I will be on the East Coast next week -- but the - so we're hoping that that meeting the primary focus will be to discuss what each of the teams a came up with in terms of responses to these questions.

The second question, as you can see is, "Which inputs are likely to be the most important during working group deliberations and why?" One of the things I've noticed when looking at the - each of the three team lists, is that many of you are observing things, some of you are noting, "Oh, this

document it's been superseded by a more recent one, or this one may be outdated." Or - you know, you're observing lots of things. It'd be really helpful for the full working group going forward, especially when we get into the deliberations, if the - if your teams can come back next week and say which of the documents are probably the most critical for the working group deliberations. That doesn't mean we have to restrict ourselves to those, but if you can identify the ones that really stand out, that will help the full working group. Now, let me pause after a question to there and let Steve jump in. Steve Metalitz, go ahead.

Steve Metalitz: Yes, thank you Chuck, this is Steve Metalitz. I just had a couple of thoughts about this. And really just cautionary notes, in the privacy team where we have roughly 40 or so documents we're summarizing and the summaries I'm preparing, I'm summarizing documents that are in some cases 100 pages. And so my doc - my summaries are a page, so we're going to have 40 page document to look at here that will be, roughly, that will be produced area in point A of your outline here. So, I'm just suggesting let's give these teams enough time - and I don't see how this could be done by next week, let's give these teams - because in our case, that document won't even be complete, if we're fortunate it will be complete by next week.

But let's give the teams enough time to look at these because the questions you're posing here, there are a lot of different views in our group about the substance here, and I think at the level of summarizing, you know, everybody taking on their longer the role of trying to summarize what the document says, that's relatively smooth. When it gets to the point of deciding which ones are more important than others and when you see the contemplate in Roman at three that there will be discussion about them within the small team, I think that's going to take - that may take some time, a lot longer than it took to summarize. So, I just - let's I think if we follow this to this pathway, let's just have some realistic time frames involved, thank you.

Chuck Gomes: Thank you Steve and points well taken. We're going to come back to that after we go through this document because I know I myself - well, I mean I, I'm trying to push us so that we make reasonable progress, at the same time we really don't want unrealistic time frames so your points are well taken and we will come back to that at the - after we go through this document and talk - get other people involved in talking about that, especially for a number three, the discussion item that we're getting to now and the third question, your point is really valid there. Which inputs, if any generated the most discussion within the small team.

And by the way, Steve sent since you brought it up, I want to say the responses to these questions don't have to have consensus on the team. It's okay to share differing points of view on these, that will benefit the - in fact, I think we'd be surprised if there was consensus on the teams. For example of which documents are most important. Some people will think certain documents, others will have different ones, and in a few cases you might all agree that this is a really important document, so.

Okay, and question number four, "Which inputs may be obsolete or superseded by subsequent work?" Question five, "What input gaps, if any, may need to be addressed later?" And question six, "Other key takeaways from this input inventory the team wishes to share with the working group." Now, Kathy I can't help but come back to what you shared earlier, and I think this at least in part relates to what you were talking about. And I'll - you know, we want you to identify some other takeaways that might benefit the full working group.

And all these questions are being asked in the in the contact -- except for maybe number one, where we're really looking at what will help us finalize the work plan -- but the rest of them all really are going to be helpful as we start deliberations. So this is real - going to be really helpful for us it for months in advance. And it doesn't need - mean that what you provide in

response to these questions is the end of it. I'm sure we'll learn more things continually as we go through this.

So the - and please understand that this is a preliminary step before we do our deliberations. And we will - the working - the deliberations in full working group mode. So for example, the discussions that you may have in your in your working groups on number three, we're not expecting you to start deliberating. If you do that -- Steve's right -- we're going to need several months, okay, to do that. Please, don't start deliberating, it's okay to share points of disagreement and so forth, but please save the deliberation for the full working group because that's what our charter expects us to do and we're obligated to do based on the directions we been given.

So we do want each of the teams, though, to discuss the six questions over email, over the next week or so. And we'll talk about, you know, "Do we need more than a week? Should we not try to do it?" Now, I'm cautious about any change to the meeting next week -- at least in terms of timing -- because we've already by - canceled one meeting that was that at the alternate time for those who are in, especially the Asia-Pacific region. So, but we do want you to start discussing that. I think probably even if we decide to not make next week the deadline, I think it would be helpful next week if we can at least get a preliminary view of the progress of each team on the six questions even if it is at an early stage.

So, I'm going to be hesitant to want to cancel the meeting next week. So thanks for shutting off the music I appreciate that. You can see at item D, there what it says the - there's - the output template, we - it would be nice if by the end of April we could complete that. I don't know if that's going to be enough time, let's see I'm looking it at a calendar right now. So we've got two more meetings in April after today, so that may be aggressive, I respect what Steve Metalitz said and certainly if we need more time we'll take it. But again, please avoid getting into deliberation because if you start doing deliberations

in your team, I guarantee you, you won't get it done by the end of April. So try to control that constructively so that it doesn't stretch out too long.

And hopefully then we can finalize our phase one work plan, keep in mind we're not trying to do work plan for phases two and three, we're only doing it for phase one. And then we also want to do our outreach to the (SOs) and (ACs) and constituencies and stakeholder groups. So let me stop, I've done way too much talking but hopefully you understand what we're trying to accomplish now going forward, and I'll come back to the timing issue after I open it up for general discussion. Any questions or comments about this plan to consolidate the summaries and complete and present team outputs? Is there anything that's not clear in this a plan? Kathy, go ahead.

Kathy Kleiman: Hi, Chuck, I'm hoping that, you know, only the pushing of the working group is of course on the call right now, so I'm hoping this gets to go out and we can ask for edits. It's hard to kind of review a document in real time, so (unintelligible) sit with it and think about it. But I did raise two questions in the chat and I wanted to ask you. One is that on my first read at least, I didn't see - I didn't understand the differing views could be reflected in the subgroup output, so I think that would be great to memorialize that as a note, that becomes part of the written plan here on the input teams planning, I guess we should call it.

And the other one was, I'm still not sure where we memorialize fundamental disagreement or additional information on the interpretation of the document, not that I want to an enormous amount of time on that. But when someone says X and a member of the group says, "No, it was really Y." Or someone says, X from 15 years ago and the group has since come out with an entirely - actually that one's already there, sorry, the superseding. But different interpretations and that ability to memorialize that so you don't have to go through thousand emails to find it's within.

You know, easy reach of the summary if someone pulls out a document, you know, pulls up a summary in the future and so they want to read this document. And it has that same - you know, I would like to capture kind of the discussion of the working group or the subgroup that shows, you know, someone says X - you know, someone reading it says X but someone else says Y. You know, let's just put it all in the same document. And that is my request, and I still don't see that there. But it seems like it to be a reasonable condition but I just I'd raise it, thank you.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks Kathy, very good points. First of all, (Lisa) let's, if you can help me, let's memorialize the point in this document before we send it out to everybody after this call, about the fact that there can be disagreement within the group and disagreement interpretations and so forth. So now with regard to the second point, I think a nice place for that -- and we could add a seventh question -- but I think it fits pretty well in question number three, "Which inputs, if any, generated the most discussion within the small team?" The - I think it fits pretty nicely there. So I encourage - now, somebody has a better idea, perfectly open to that.

But - and Kathy, I think you're right, we want to capture those so that we don't have to go back and search for them, okay. That doesn't mean we can't bring up new points as we're going forward. But again, let me caution, as you're doing that on question number three, it's important to capture the fact that there are differing opinions there. But don't start trying to resolve them yet, let the full working group do that when we confront those in our actual deliberation. Is that - Kathy is that - does that make sense?

Kathy Kleiman: That makes a lot of sense Chuck, thank you very much. I appreciate it.

Chuck Gomes: You're welcome. Now let's go to (Marian), let's see, I'm just reading your, your input now. "Do you think would be a good idea to ask the team members to define the importance relevance level of all or some of the documents on a scale from 1 to 5 as they perceive it?" Let me pass the buck on that one, in

your teams, if you think that's a helpful - if that would help you do what we're asking in number two, do it. But I'd rather not be prescriptive, each team could handle that question number two in whatever way works for you, if that facilitates answering number two, do it.

If you have a different idea, do that. Something like that might actually help, like you suggest, save time. So that would be (unintelligible) good, thanks for suggesting that. And I'll let each team, you know, take that and use it or not use as they see fit. Okay and I notice - (Aidan) put up a concern there, again, I'll let the teams decide how they want to use that or deal with the concern that's expressed there. You're right (Aiden), when we start putting numbers, you can still get the diversity of opinions, somebody thinks something is a one, somebody else thinks it's a five and so you end up with a bunch of threes.

But we understand those kind of things. I'll let you as teams and the team leads to work with you to see how you want to do that. Now I'm - let's come back now to timeframe. It would - first of all again, for the sake of our meeting next week, it would really be nice if we can use the meeting next week to at least do a progress check on these questions and how each group is doing, and maybe even share some of the insights you're coming up with, understanding that they probably won't be final next week. So I do want us to plan to do that in the meeting next week, even though it's going to be a 1 o'clock in the morning meeting for me if I have my time frames right.

So, but what kind at time - and we may have to deal with this next question I'm going to ask next week after we see the progress it's made in the next seven days, but I mean is it maybe realistic to try and wrap this part up by the end of April? In other words, in on 26th April? Which would be the last meeting of April. Any comments on that? Do we need to just weigh in until next week and see how it goes and take a read - I'm sure we're going to have to do that, but any initial thoughts on that would be appreciated.

Okay, so I think probably, (Holly) I think you nailed it there and let's - we'll talk about it next week too to see how we're going in and to see how many things that each group and each team is learning. Certain teams may come up with some ideas and approaches that help the other teams and please be prepared to share those next week as well. Okay, all right. And by the way, with regard to the outreach to the (SOs) and (ACs), one of the things that the leadership team (unintelligible) talked about, one of the things we quite possibly want to reach out to them, other than just giving them initial status of what's been going on, would be to share the results of what all of you are working on right now and that will come back to the full working group, and get any feedback - allow them a chance to provide input, those who are not on the working groups - the working group and so forth, so this will help us in that regard.

Any questions about what the tasks are in front of us? Okay. Well, our next meeting then will be at 500 UTC Wednesday the 20th of April, for any of you on the West Coast in the US, that will be Tuesday night for you on the 19th -- normally that's what it would be for me but I will be in Virginia next week, so. And, (Allen) are you going to be on - oh yes, it is - it will be for me too (Allen), that's what I'm saying, 1:00 am. So that's - to benefit those like (Holly), notice the terrific she said there, who every other meeting has a terrible time. So she's not having any sympathy for us (Allen) sorry about that.

(Allen): I wasn't asking for sympathy, when you said 1:00 am, I presumed that meant 4 am my time which is worse for me...

Chuck Gomes: No, I'm going to be the East Coast just like you next week. Bad time for me to be on the East Coast. I didn't time that very well. Okay, alright so I think we've covered our agenda. (Lisa) please let me know if I've missed anything, same with (Susan) and David, is there anything any of you want to add before we adjourn the call? Okay, well everyone thanks again and look forward to seeing the progress that will be made and in the next seven days, understanding that -- like Steve said very well --- you're not going to get this

done in a week, but please be prepared to, you know, share the progress that's made and some preliminary thoughts on some of the questions at least next week so we can get a good feel for how it's going, and see how maybe we can help one another to wrap this part of our work up. With that, we actually beat the one hour estimate. And I wish all of you a good rest of the day, whatever time it is in your location, and a good rest of the week. Thanks again, the meeting adjourned.

(Allen): Thanks.

(Michelle): Thank you again, this meeting has been adjourned. Operator, please stop the recordings and disconnect all remaining lines. Enjoy the rest of your day everyone.

END