

ICANN Transcription
Standing Committee on Improvements Implementation Subteam B
Thursday 25 February 2016 at 1700 UTC

Note: The following is the output of transcribing from an audio recording of Standing Committee on Improvements Implementation Subteam B call on the Thursday 25 February 2016 at 17:00 UTC. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

The audio is also available at:

<http://audio.icann.org/gnso/gnso-sci-25feb16-en.mp3>

Attendees:

Angie Graves – BC - Primary
Anne Aikman-Scalese – IPC – Primary – Chair
Lawrence Olawale-Roberts – BC - Alternate
Sara Bockey – RrSG - Primary
Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen – ISPCP – Primary

No Apologies

ICANN Staff:

Julie Hedlund
Mary Wong
Michelle DeSmyter

Coordinator: Recordings are now started.

Michelle Desmyter: Great, thanks (Tessa).

Good morning, good afternoon and good evening. Welcome to the SCI 13 Recall on the 25th of February at 1700 UTC. On the call today we do have Sarah Bockey, Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen, Anne Aikman-Scalese, Lawrence

Olawale-Roberts and Angie Graves. We have no apologies. And from staff we have Mary Wong, Julie Hedlund and myself Michelle Desmyter.

I'm going to remind you all to please state your names before speaking for transcription purposes. Thanks you and over to you.

Julie Hedlund: Thanks Michelle. This is Julie Hedlund. And Anne did you want to lead the call?

Anne Aikman-Scalese: I thought maybe Wolf-Ulrich should continue since he's been doing some work. And I was way out of the loop. I'm so sorry for being - I was very ill for about ten days at least. And so maybe Wolf-Ulrich could let us all know what he's been doing. And I see a very pretty chart here.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Yes, Wolf-Ulrich speaking. Thank you. And well I could do that. I'm happy to do that. Let's just update all of us well that we have the same knowledge where we are at the time being. Julie did a nice summary last time from the outstanding issues that was shared on the list.

And so we have still three issues to be discussed here. The one is with regards to the question what should happen if it happens like it happened liked last time that is at the chair election date we don't come to a result, the council doesn't come to a result and at the same meetings, the annual meeting the vice-chair terms is ending. What does it mean?

So we discussed several options at the table. On the one hand we had it's a very limited situation because that could in various years conflict could arise just only if it happens at both vice chairs terms and at that meeting in other cases we would have a vice chair one vice chair that's available. That is one situation.

Then the question was could the vice chair election be done or suggested before the chair election is going to be done? That was a question raised.

And the third one was whether amendment of the bylaws with regards to the term of counselors could be taken into consideration in such a case which means well to extend the term of those counselors who are vice chairs at the time being from the old council could extended until the election is - has been successful. That is one open issue still.

The second issue still open is the method for posting the election results. There is not extensively worked out within the procedures. That is a question still. And then the question of a timetable at all, the interference of different events with regards with review to the election of the chair before that those elections take place, for example the nomination of candidates and the question when are the NCAC NonCom appointees available - they should be available for the new council and all these things. So we need it seems to be a new to go into those details and then come up with a suggestion of a new timeline. So these are the three options, the three open issues.

What I did right now and very short term today is well and Julie I think she also put yes, the what was written to the on the screen Julie if you could go to the issue number two at first what I was describing there, issue two, yes.

There the first thing as well I mentioned already I think there is really the case in if both VCs, vice chair terms is going to end that meeting where a council chair is going to be elected. Because in other cases there will be still one vice chair available from the old council but which term is available. And my suggestion was only to think over the question if there is one elected vice chair still available so that the mailing then he or she could serve than for the as interim chair on the one hand and a - an opportunity should be given to the house where the vice chair terms ends well to allocate immediately a member of that house or to nominate a member of that house just doing that job until the next - until the election is more successful.

So it wouldn't mean this house should be under pressure well to elect immediately a vice chair but just nominate a person who could deal with that

job and the vice chair election is different - a different thing to do so. That is my - one of my suggestions here.

And in case as it happened last time both vice chairs terms ends this opportunity should be given just both houses well to nominate members in the same way as what was suggested just nominating them to do that job and that is could be different. It could be the same people as could continue as vice chairs but it's not necessary to do so.

So that was a suggestion I put in and we should discuss that I think at first. And then we could go to the issue number three.

So please raise your hand if there are questions with regard to that or any suggestions or meetings or opinions on that please. I'm open to that. Anne, I see your hand please.

Anne Aikman-Scalse: Yes thanks Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen. That's very helpful to have alternatives lined up. It certainly makes sense to me that if a vice chair whose term is ending is actually continuing on council it would seem to be a good rule if the chair is not elected that the person was previously elected as vice chair and is continuing on council could serve in an interim chair capacity until the chair election is complete.

With respect to the idea that in a situation where there's neither one of the vice chairs is continuing on council I'm a little concerned that a process involving nominating a vice chair at that time from either one of both houses is a situation that we're actually trying to avoid since when you have nomination and election periods it takes time and there's no one in charge. I was thinking that our goal it to be ought to be that someone can act as vice chair and interim chair by some method we could devise that yes in fact it might require a change to the bylaws but that it would meet the problem of avoiding a period of where no one is in office.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Thanks Anne. Maybe I was not fully clear about my suggestion. I would like to also avoid such a situation that we have to officially nominate a vice chair when the election of the chair has been not successful, seen successful.

So what I was meaning is well to overcome that situation because the only to my understanding the only task for the person who is then chairing let me say that or leading the council to the next time is well to run successful election. That's the only task until a chair is going to be elected, nothing else.

So this task from my opinion could be done by anybody any person of the council could be fulfilled. It could be well for example the elder, the elder councilmember let me say the guy who is the oldest one or whatever, you know, and whatever criteria you take.

So it doesn't mean that he or she is going to be then the vice chair, the elected vice chair by that house because that's a different thing.

The house is going to elect and nominate through their rules a vice chair for the council for other tasks as well. So this person is it about. And then was the question isn't that -couldn't that be helpful just to decide on that and to ask to request from the council from the houses one person from each house who could do that job. That was the question. It - was that understandable and are there any opinions to that suggestion?

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Thanks. It's Anne again. You were just saying then that it's not really a nomination and an election process. It would be that each house would simply designate...

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Yes.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: ...a person like it's candidate to serve as interim chair?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Interim person while running the election or call it chair or what else. But designation might be better word.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: I see so designation. And then if each house were doing that how would it be determined which designated individual would act as the interim chair?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Well it's up to the houses. Well they could - house means council members. It doesn't mean well to go back to the - an obligation to go back to the stakeholder groups or whomever. It could be done.

Well if that seems to be necessary as we did, you know, the last time then we had a break of the council meeting and the respective constituency stakeholder groups had some consultation internally the houses should consult about if that is necessary or they could also do it by acclamation if, you know, there is - if there is not no objection to that. So that is - that's just a procedure, a very informal procedure I would say to do so just for that job. It should be very clear it's just for that job, nothing else, not to lead the council doing other meetings with regards to policy development all these things just for the election.

So what I see Lawrence both in the chat I couldn't follow though. I - in case where both vice chairs have that (unintelligible) ending a design time the chairs resolve to conclude the election we could suggest that the oldest serving councilmember yes gets to conclude or oversees the conduct of an accepted election. Lawrence thank you. I was also thinking about that.

Here we have a difference because so we have several elders serving councils because, you know, according to the bylaws council terms ends after it's the latest after four years I would say. I think so. That is that.

So and because there's not a never-ending, you know, reelection of councilors. So there is not really a - an oldest one. So we would have several oldest councilors. Thank you. I see Anne. Anne please.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Yes thanks Wolf-Ulrich. This is Anne. I had a question in terms of how such a person might be selected to step in. And I realize that when we say the oldest serving councilmember it's quite - I suppose it's possible that there could be people having equally long terms that there may not be a clear well I've been on council the longest person. What I'm wondering about is whether it would make any sense to consider a NonCom appointee to act as chair, you know, that being perhaps a category of councilmember that is, you know, not necessarily aligned with either house really and that presumably, you know, act in a very neutral capacity during the election.

And maybe I'm totally wrong about that. Maybe I just don't know how, you know, the NonCom appointees work. But I was wondering whether we could designate a noncom appointee for this purpose and achieve our goal for that short period of time?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Thanks Anne. I was also thinking about that. The - and the most recent case we had so we had also two new NCAs available so they may not be experienced or willing I'll say well to step in immediately because they are very new to the council. Maybe. It could be the other way also around, you're right.

I was also thinking about that. So I would say it is - it shouldn't be excluded that the NCAs could be designated to do so because it's up to the house to designate somebody. But the one who should do that should be - should also confirm that he's willing or she's willing to do that.

Believe that I would say from that point of view it might be better off to leave it open to the houses but including the NCAs of the houses.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Okay and then yes thanks. This is Anne again. And so each house then designates someone what would we say is the result of having two people designated?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Well thank you Anne. Wolf-Ulrich Knoben speaking. The results would be that the according to the election rules we are going to continue under the leadership of the two designated persons until a new council chair is going to be elected. And the procedure to doing so is described in the rules.

So we did it last time with the formal council vice chairs so we could not do it as well as continue as we did.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Thanks Wolf-Ulrich Knoben. This is Anne with a follow-up question. Just a hypothetical that based on the two chair candidates that were put forward and no clear election results what would occur if each house decided to designate simply the individual that was running for chair from that house?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Sorry Anne I didn't follow that. I was just reading Mary's message. And that's okay. We should also think about including the nonvoting NCA in the process if it comes to that. I'm sorry, could we just say it again?

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Sure. And by the way I do appreciate Mary's suggestion. I think it's a good one. The hypothetical that I was struggling with was when we talk about having each house designate someone to act in an interim capacity it looks as though it would be quite possible that each house would for that purpose just designate whoever their chair candidate is. And it, you know, and then you would have a, some kind of situation where these two chair candidates are supposed to be who are actually, you know, still competing each other in an election or supposed to be chairing the next election. I - so I don't know how that would work if they...

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Well...

Anne Aikman-Scalise: ...designated the chair candidates.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Well very good point Anne. Now they should just be excluded on the job so that's because to exclude them from any the conflict interest.

Anne Aikman-Scalise: Right, right.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: So that's a very easy well but it's a very good point.

Anne Aikman-Scalise: And then I was just noticing of course that it takes each house to come up with, you know, the alternatives designee. So in a way I'm really liking Mary's suggestion about the nonvoting NonCom appointee.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Well thanks Anne. Wolf-Ulrich Knoben speaking. I would really say so we should write it down as well that if the designation is necessary of two persons that should be done at a meeting where the elections - the chair election failed in order to have a continuation of this process. And I don't think that this is a political let's say issue, a council political issue to do that because it's just for the job. It's not about a vice chair position in the wider sense.

So we should fix that and we should suggest it to the SCI and then to the council if that is accepted by the SCI that this - there should be a result of that or that meeting and the results should at least be the designation of the two people including the all NCAs.

Okay. And then when there are two people designated are you saying that the bylaws specify how those two people need to act for this limited purpose that they already specified?

No there is no bylaw implication. It's a procedural act of the council to do so. We could think about how to implement it in the procedures if it is accepted

that way. But well I think may be a modification of procedures is also is something which is - has to be - to the - has to go to the board level. I'm not sure about that but maybe. And that's it's then. So but that could be - so it could be evaluated by staff. What do you think about...

Anne Aikman-Scalese: I'm sorry so the procedure then once these two people are designated and it's clear that they cannot be the candidates who are running for chair right...

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Yes.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: ...so that was one rule. And then they're designated by each of the houses. And so I guess councilors we would have to come to the council meeting with a backup in mind in case a chair doesn't get elected each house has to have a backup in mind to be designated because it's too occur at that same meeting?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Yes.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Yes, okay. And then the third think being that once each house designates that second person who is not a chair candidate then the two of those designated individuals work together to co-chair the election?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Yes. Yes.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Okay I think I understand it now. Thank you. I wasn't super clear but I think I understand what your structure is.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Yes. I think that could be a way to be discussed right to bring it up at first the SCI and then well we'll see if it has more input and questions from others. But I think for this step it seems a viable solution. And as I can see from the chat Julie is saying that the board is not touched here and the bylaws are also not involved because these people, these members would be - would

have a continuing term on the council. They are not a difference level discussed last time. They've got to extend the term of the so far vice chairs which term are ending. Okay...

Anne Aikman-Scalese: And let me ask one more question. I'm sorry Wolf-Ulrich.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Yes?

Anne Aikman-Scalese: But in the designation process would the candidates for vice chair also be ineligible to be designated or would they be eligible to be designated even though their election has not...

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Well...

Anne Aikman-Scalese: ...proceeded?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: ...Julie - and well the vice chair election nomination, designation whatever is tasked for the houses. So they may deal with it how they deal it - how they do it.

So if they like well to exclude to potential vice chair candidates from that job let's do them. I wouldn't prescribe them anything in this regard.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Okay. And so they could put up their vice chair candidate as this designated individual but that person is not guaranteed to be vice chair and the actual vice chair election because the process of electing a vice chair is dependent upon the chair being first elected. Is that correct?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: So Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen speaking. Maybe the - from the procedural point of view Mary or Julie could chime in. But as to my knowledge the procedures are not implicating any dependency between the vice chair and the chair election. Well it is a usual the vice chair is going to be elected or - yes or nominated or elected after the chair election. But I'm not sure about it.

I think in the last time the contracted party house announced the vice chair before the chair election. So both as possible if I'm right here. Mary or Julie do you know exactly what's going to happen here? Yes Julie please?

Julie Hedlund: Hi Wolf-Ulrich Knoben. This is Julie Hedlund. So I'm sort of trying to keep up with the chat too because I think we're may be trying to address some of these things on the chat.

It is I think our understanding that there isn't a dependency between the chair election and the vice chair election. I know Mary is noting here that it's very unlikely that there would be vice chair candidates before the chair election. Mary's noting that the CPH appointment was an exception that she's agreeing with you there. That is correct. I'm not sure if that's helpful. I'm afraid of got a little bit of background noise. I'm hope it's not keeping you guys from hearing me.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Yes thanks Julie. I think well the - what's usually done is well the vice chairs are elected after the election because it could be that one chair candidate who fails is going to be appointed or nominated as vice as it used to be here. So it's (Heather) for example.

So and if you do it before that so you must be clear, the house must be clear that this candidate is not going to run for the vice chair, for the chair position then which is up to the houses board to decide but it's in most cases it's open both it's liable.

So well but coming to the point and I think it's clear that there is no dependency, no procedural dependency in - written in stone here.

Anne Aikman-Scalse: Okay. I think I am understanding. This is Anne again. I'm understanding it much better in that you can't just go ahead and hold the vice chair election rather than doing the designation process because in all truth

the party that on the house that whose chair candidate loses is going to be that house's candidate for vice chair.

So the only restriction you need here is just that if you're running for chair you can't be the designated person.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Yes.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: And then if you're running for chair you can't be the designated person. And then once the two people are designated they work together as co-interim chairs then there's a successful election for chair. And after that there's a presumably a successful election for vice chair. Yes. Okay. I think I finally get it. So...

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Great, thank you.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Yes.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: It took me also some time to explain it and come to that point. Thanks. Well Julie is that an old hand or...

Julie Hedlund: Sorry this is Julie yes. That's an old hand. Let me take that down.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Great. Thank you. So well I would suggest if you - could you follow at - I think you could follow Julie and Mary that you put something in writing here with regard to the suggestion of the issue two so as related to that procedural point that you pointed out here. And that would be good as well to share that on the list so the next day so that we can - well have if you - and provide that for the report to the SCI then. So thanks very much for that regarding issue two.

So let's stop over to issue three let's see what the - I think the communication of the chair election result whatever is successful or non-successful. And the question was well how to do that and yes do that.

I don't think that it is a complicated issue here. It's a little bit nebulous in that procedure as I learned because it's really that it's just set well in an appropriate way it should be communicated as I - and the one definition is or it's definitely said that it was in two business days after election the results should be published so in an appropriate way.

So well everything could be appropriate from well I'm just collecting news on that. It could be done on the TV. It could be done on the internal mailing list. It could be done on whatever list or how to do that. So any suggestion is welcome here. So I just put in the - in my writing on the GNSO Web site at least.

Then the SOAC leaders should be informed and the - well I do hope and I guess internally ICANN internally the leaders are going to be informed as well. But if that should be necessary well to put that in writing we should do so. So any suggestion here is any question or suggestion please. Mary please?

Mary Wong: Thanks Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen. So from the staff perspective I think the current (unintelligible) corrected you be informing appropriately as you noted whatever that means within two business days. I don't recall that it's been an issue for us. So the point to that I wanted to make here was that in terms of going ahead and being specific about the mechanism for publishing might be more limiting than anything else.

And I realized that is not what you're suggesting Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen. I'm saying this for the benefit of the group not just because of a prior SCI discussion where we talked about being technology neutral so that we

wouldn't have to go back and, you know, amend the operating procedures if there's another way to do something that's more effective. That's one reason.

But I guess the other reason is that they inform the community broadly. And I think the current process already says bought in community. So I'm not sure that there has been a problem identified that requires us at this point to go ahead and do more than what's already in the operating procedures.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Thank you. Thank you Mary. Well I also don't recall a big problem. I think the only one what I remember is because of the confusion after the last election I personally was looking at the Web site houses also have been. And while it wasn't just not published - so that was a case after RICO.

So that is just for saying well it should keep the rates in the consideration well because if that happens somebody and people would like to see the result and I couldn't find that. That was something - and then I was thinking about is there - are there other things to take into consideration like who should be informed about that in addition and so that it's - so that was my question about that. That was not a real issue.

So the question is then what (unintelligible) to me is that the two business days is that too short? What any issue with that to publish or was it just forgotten? Well that could happen all the time so if there is some issue with the time because internally, ICANN internally you have to go through a process of what else now and you need some more days we could be open too so, Mary please?

Mary Wong: Thanks Wolf-Ulrich Knoben. And just thinking it through here. And Julie I'm sure will correct me if I'm missing anything.

I don't personally think it's a problem if the election is successful because that just goes out an announcement and then the board gets a note about it. The

problem or potential problem is when you've had something like what happened this past round when it's unsuccessful.

First of all at this - the question the council knows because there is no specific process I think more importantly what do you publish? Typically as you know what happens is that of course all these actions and votes and outcomes are recorded in the minutes. However the minutes don't come out till, you know, I think I can't remember the exact calendar but there's a seven calendar day review period, et cetera, et cetera.

So necessarily when we rely on the minutes there will be a time lag that will not meet the two business days. So I think this is practically where we are with the process. And I don't know if that's sufficient for us to propose something else here. But that's been my experience. It could be that if it's an unsuccessful election that that needs to get posted somewhere. But again it's a very specific instance. So hopefully this is helpful as background for your consideration.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Yes thanks Julie. That's helpful. So it tells me okay that your - there is no modification is needed that we just should follow what is written. And so well sometimes it's - it may help or also it may help so if after election fails the people who are going to continue this task while the designated vice chairs they could raise their fingers and say okay what we need is publication or that result as soon as possible or following the rules and blah, blah, blah and that is what is it about.

So if there is no need wait for anything so I'm the latest one well to insist. Thank you. And I see Anne's hand but I see also still Mary's hand. Would you like to answer it is an old hand Mary?

Mary Wong: Actually it's a new hand. And if I may and I just wanted to follow-up on one. Your point Wolf-Ulrich Knoben. Because the chair election happens during a council meeting and of course it happens during the council meeting at the

annual as long as that is the case then aside from the minutes one practice that the staff and the current leadership team of the council has started to do as you've noted is to have a list of notes and action items go out to all the councilors pretty much immediately after the meeting.

So this does not of course fulfill the obligation of publishing on the Web site. But to the extent that one of the questions you highlighted Wolf-Ulrich Knoben was kind of looking for the information right after the meeting and that didn't happen that leaves the practice now would likely reduce the risk of that. Again this isn't a solution but more background. Thank you.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Yes thanks Mary. Anne please?

Anne Aikman-Scalase: Yes thank you Wolf-Ulrich Knoben. It's Anne for the recording. I think listening to Mary what my question became was the degree to which the publishing of the information is dependent upon the finalization of the minutes. And it made me wonder whether the timeframe you know is realistic or if it's the two business day's needs to be tied to, you know, the finalization of the minutes as opposed to some other event. I just have to ask staff. I'd just have to ask Mary what would make this practically, you know, implementable?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Mary please?

Mary Wong: Hi Anne and everyone. This is Mary. That's a good question Anne. And, you know, one of the reasons I'm struggling is that while this may be a gap it isn't a gap that caused any problem if you know what I mean. And you recall that the SCl did talk about the question of minutes. And I cannot remember at the moment on which particular issue that we're working at the time. But the fact is that it does take some time to get the minutes published because they first have to be prepared and then they have to be reviewed by the council so necessarily. But that is a timeframe that certainly would not happen within two (eventually) business days.

That said like I noted earlier the problem would be when you have an unsuccessful election or as a meet or a run off. So that to the extent that a solution is required than I think the staff suggestions for now would be to make that very specific and limited. Just like I said when there's a successful election that's not a problem. The announcement does go out. It will go on, you know, twitter the Web site et cetera, et cetera. So maybe just form of a notation somewhere that is accessible to the public might be something to consider. Does that help?

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Sure yes.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Yes thank you Mary. Well I was just thinking, you know, not - we are talking about the one thing, it's a formal thing about in minutes, taking minutes and publishing minutes or informing the council of the minutes.

Well publishing is a different thing than the - then minutes to deliver or to distribute the minutes and inform the council because publishing includes the community outside the GNSO and the council, outside the council let me say this way.

So and only I know that if there's no problem if a chair is elected then there, you know, the next day there'll be the announcement on the first page of the Web site, it's the ICANN Web site that's the new council chair has been elected. So that's positive.

So you won't place a rather negative news on that to do that location to that Web site so but you need it, you know, for internal purposes. So that's the other way so you can publish means publish. So it means so we'll say it should be made public that's elections took place but well no result not yet.

But you know the specific results meaning what is announced by (Glen) normally after that, you know, so many voices from votes from this house and

the other house so that should be made available on a short - on short term. So maybe we could distinguish between those two lines. And well I have a suggestion for those.

Mary is writing or something like requiring staff to follow up on every election. If we're not is a note to the full council enclosing the results. Yes I would agree to that. But in addition publication means could mean something. At least we should - leave that question open to when it comes to the discussion on the SCI whether there are also suggestions or opinions on that.

Well not this publication yes but since (unintelligible) my concern of client publication is that it could just get lost on a Web site. Well that's why we have a Web site. We have a GNSO Web site. That's the main Web site for the GNSO and it's about GNSO council chair election. So why not publish it on that Web site? That's my question.

Any further opinion on that otherwise if I may I would summarize with is that. That's here that is some - yes we should. We should not (unintelligible) those and not modify those or would in that way there is something within this which means it should be - the results should be published within two business days. We have several - we have a suggestion as well to publish that in the Web site - on the GNSO Web site -- the result -- but make the details available to the councilmembers.

So that is so far to I would say to issue number three. I see people are starting to leave because of other appointments you may have. Well thank you Sarah in that respect. And I - but if you don't mind I would really come to the last issue number four because I would like to go to the SCI within the report to have a draft really small timeline which we are going to propose to them and which show - which shows all the dependencies of that timeline. Julie put up to the screen the timeline which is the NonCom is normally falling - following.

And what is important to us can you all take that, Julie that - the chart? What important to us (sic) is the I think in if you look at June and July the ICANN meeting which takes a normally place that time (sic) then the normal, you know, later after that meeting in August NonCom selectees are going to be announced according to that timeline in August maybe beginning of August or whenever.

So that - I was wondering if they really follow that. I think the last time the - our NonCom appointees have been announced very late, relatively late so not - but I think after we started well the nomination of chair candidates and so on and so if I'm right. So that was my opinion on that.

But following to that we should put all these things to gather in one timeline this - the addition of the dependencies cc. And while I put in my email and Julie put that together here on that the nomination of the house candidate nomination, candidate statements available and then the election. And we should in addition but the NonCom date to that charter to that timeline, that's my suggestion, and should think about any safeguards the stakeholder groups or constituencies may need in order to get in contact to the new council members may it be - well they know they are their own councilmembers but maybe within the stakeholder groups, you know, in the non-commercial parties house we have the both stakeholder groups which have to convene and then maybe also together with the house NonCom appointees to get acquainted with the chair candidates.

So this is what I would like to suggest while that people are looking to that timeline and think about necessary safeguards we need for that.

I'm open well to continue for further five minutes if that's - if you would agree and take questions here with regards to that proposal in order to be prepared well to follow on the list that we have something in writing for the report. Are there any opinions questions with regards to that?

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Wolf-Ulrich Knoben it's Anne. I'm sorry I must drop off but I think...

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Yes.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: ...that a lot of progress has been made...

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Okay.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: ...and would appreciate seeing a, you know, written summary of the Sub Team B work. And maybe we should be talking about sending the work thus far back to the full SCI. What do you think about that?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Thanks Anne. Yes, I was going to follow that. So what (I suggest) but I will try it myself well to update the timeline. Is that - as a proposal to that. And I would like to ask - well it could be included then that we share that on the list and that could be included into the report to the SCI. And I think that it - and it could be discussed on the SCI and further on.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: That sounds perfect.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Yes?

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Yes.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Okay so I do hope well, Julie could see you could follow-up within your notes with that. And if you provide us with your notes why we can also follow and update that if necessary. So let's do it that way. And I will try as well to update the timeline until the weekend so that we can provide that over the weekend and have some opinion sharing for that. And Julie if you find time before the Marrakesh meeting well then to update and to put together the small report of that it would be very helpful and then we can go to the SCI meeting. Okay Julie thank you very much.

Okay last question anything else? Any more questions? No not yet. So thank you very much for this helpful meeting and please stay in contact and see you in Marrakesh.

Woman: Thanks Wolf Ulrich.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Bye-bye.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Thank you everyone. Safe travels for those going to Marrakesh and I look forward to seeing you soon.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Thank you. Bye-bye.

END