

GNSO AC Chat Transcript 18 February 2016

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (2/18/2016 12:28) will the room allow for pc speaker activation later on or will we need to dial in separately?

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (12:28) and a very good noon to you, btw

Terri Agnew: (12:30) Hi Volker, the room will allow for PC speaker shortly.

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (12:31) perfect

Terri Agnew: (12:36) Microphones are active if you would like to test your audio

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (12:38) do you hear background noise?

Terri Agnew: (12:39) Yes

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (12:39) hi Terry

Eric Evrard: (12:41) Hello :)

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (12:41) it is probably the waterfalls

Glen de Saint Gery: (12:46) Hi Volker, please make sure that you can speak through your Adobe connection because there is likely to be a vote!

Glen de Saint Gery: (12:46) Good morning David and welcome!

David Olive: (12:51) Welcome Everyone

Heather Forrest: (12:52) Hello everyone, greetings from Tasmania

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (12:55) Guten Morgen von Chicago

David Tait: (12:57) Good morning from London

Susan Kawaguchi: (12:57) good morning!

James Bladel: (12:57) Good morning!

Marika Konings: (12:58) All - let me take this opportunity to introduce David Tait as the latest addition to the GNSO Team. (in case you are surprised to see a new name :-)

Mary Wong: (12:58) Hello - if I'd stayed in Singapore this call would have been at a more civilized hour, how ironic!

James Bladel: (12:58) Welcome to the party, David!

Amr Elsadr: (12:58) Hi David. :)

David Tait: (12:59) Many thanks. Pleased to be here.

Philip Corwin: (12:59) Good morning all

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (13:01) Hello all!

James Bladel: (13:01) Hi Thomas. Thanks for joining.

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (13:01) You are most welcome.

Keith Drazek: (13:01) Hi everyone

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (13:01) Hi all

James Bladel: (13:03) Significant echo. Please be sure to mute when not speaking. Thanks!

Donna Austin, RySG: (13:06) ah, not to be confused with David Cake

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (13:07) yes, I was just to congratulate him...

Amr Elsadr: (13:07) I thought I heard them say David Cake too. :)

Donna Austin, RySG: (13:07) Welcome David Tait

David Cake: (13:08) I was wondering what I'd done to have people talking about me as well.

Marilia Maciel: (13:08) :)

Mary Wong: (13:10) @Marika, that is correct

Mary Wong: (13:12) To follow up on Phil's note - the IGO work is basically two PDPs; one that is completed from the GNSO perspective for now (but awaiting further update from the Board as to possible additional policy work/modification), and the other an ongoing PDP (co-chaired by Phil) that focuses on curative rights and dispute resolution.

Donna Austin, RySG: (13:13) Mary, do you have any sense of when the Board will provide their update?

Mary Wong: (13:14) @Donna, I don't have a date but I know that discussions on the inconsistent GAC-GNSO recommendations remain ongoing, and I'm told that Chris Disspain (the Board "shepherd" for this topic) hopes to send the GNSO an update before Marrakech.

Johan (Julf) Helsingius: (13:14) I do have a board member standing next to me, but she is deep in conversation with someone else

Donna Austin, RySG: (13:15) Thanks Mary, not sure how others feel but it might be a topic of discussion with the Board in Marrakesh.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (13:16) There is always CCWG to eat up every time left.

Philip Corwin: (13:16) We've been waiting for that Board update on IGOs since last summer.

Donna Austin, RySG: (13:17) Great leadership team for the Next Gen RDDS WG

stefania milan: (13:17) Glen, Marika, Lars, Mary: this is Stefania Milan, just listening in due to conflicting schedule. Stephanie Perrin is my proxy for today.

Mary Wong: (13:18) Hello Stefania, thanks and noted.

James Bladel: (13:18) Thanks, Stefania. Has Stephanie joined?

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:19) i would volunteer, but i will be termed out in November

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (13:20) So we volunteer her...

Amr Elsadr: (13:20) I'm OK drafting her. :)

Amr Elsadr: (13:21) Fantastic leadership team as far as I'm concerned. Thanks to Susan and all.

Keith Drazek: (13:21) +1

Donna Austin, RySG: (13:24) James, it seems there is no seconder for the motion. I am happy to do this.

James Bladel: (13:26) Thanks, Donna.

Mary Wong: (13:29) @Paul, that is the intention, yes

Mary Wong: (13:29) But of course the Council can always clarify.

Philip Corwin: (13:29) I think that paul's question relates to the Charter issue

Philip Corwin: (13:29) Paul's

Amr Elsadr: (13:29) I don't think any change is required. these only reflect what is in the issues report.

Edward Morris: (13:31) Agree with Amr

Mary Wong: (13:31) Or "as recommended in the Final issue REport"?

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (13:31) I think that fixes it

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (13:31) Referring to Whereas 6 fixes it

Marilia Maciel: (13:32) no problem

Philip Corwin: (13:32) Jump to Amr

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (13:33) Let's have it now. No need to adopt an ambiguous motion

Amr Elsadr: (13:34) Ambiguous is good for now, until we resolve the charter discussion. The important thing in terms of this motion is initiating the PDP.

James Bladel: (13:34) With apologies for Marilla & Phil.

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (13:36) Pointing to the charter makes the resolution completely open ended.

Amr Elsadr: (13:36) @James: That amendment sounds fine by me. Thanks.

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (13:37) I don't think adopting a vague resolution is good governance.

Amr Elsadr: (13:38) @Paul: Anything more specific means that the council will make a decision that preempts an important discussion that needs to take place.

Philip Corwin: (13:38) Can we get back to the queue?

Amr Elsadr: (13:38) @Phil: +1

Philip Corwin: (13:39) When I get the chance I will speak to the question.

James Bladel: (13:39) Thanks for your patience, Marilla & Phil.

Edward Morris: (13:44) That's how I understand it James

Susan Kawaguchi: (13:44) yes

Amr Elsadr: (13:44) I believe there is something significantly material here.

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:46) i feel that the different positions are close enough to make me feel that basing further delay on them would do us a disservice

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:47) in other words: is this detail worth postponing this work yet again?

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (13:47) Volker, the work won't start until the Charter is done in any event.

Marilia Maciel: (13:48) I agree, Phil. Let's define the order when we get to vote on the charter.

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (13:49) Phil's clarification isn't clarifying, it adds ambiguity.

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:50) personally, i feel rps should be discussed together, not separately. they are interlinked

Donna Austin, RySG: (13:50) Agree with Phil on getting a commitment to move forward with this.

Mary Wong: (13:50) @Volker, the Final Issue Report makes clear that even in a two-phased PDP the ultimate objective is to create a consistent and uniform framework for RPMs. Hopefully this will be reflected in the final Charter.

Stephanie Perrin: (13:51) Firstly my apologies for being late. Secondly, would agree that the Charter needs work and we should do it prior to Marakech.

Amr Elsadr: (13:51) A commitment that the council will decide on the order of the phases is precisely what the NCSG is asking not to be done. :)

Keith Drazek: (13:51) Finalizing the order of work should not further delay approval of the motion.

Philip Corwin: (13:51) Volker-clear weight of public comment was a 2-part PDP with new gTLD RPMs first

Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:51) keith +1
Philip Corwin: (13:52) Agree, Keith. We can adopt Motion today and resolve work order in the Charter
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:52) still, how to discuss urs without having the udrp on the table at the same time?
Amr Elsadr: (13:52) @Keith: +1. Which is why it was a good move to seperate the two into two motions.
James Bladel: (13:53) Agree with those noting that this shouldn't create further delay. I would welcome language to address concerns from Paul & others regarding ambiguity but kick off the charter work for Marrakesh.
Keith Drazek: (13:53) +1 to Phil's comment above regarding the public comments.
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:54) good thing marrakech is still a full meeting.
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:54) imagine having to do the discussions for the charter in "not Panama"
Mary Wong: (13:55) @James, correct.
Marilia Maciel: (13:55) @Marika: in your view, the resolved clauses are the substantive and "binding" part of the metion, or the background paragraphs (whereas) as the resolved clauses are on the same level? This clarification would be useful for this discussion, thanks.
Philip Corwin: (13:55) I would object to striking 2-phase
Philip Corwin: (13:55) That would be going backwards
Marika Konings: (13:55) @Marilia - the resolved clauses are the binding parts, the whereas clauses are the background.
Marilia Maciel: (13:56) Thanks, Marika
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:56) one point of order: could we try to move these discussions back to the list. having to modify motions on the fly during calls is roblematic
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:56) if anyone has a problem with a motion, amandment proposals should be proposed on list priort to the meeting.
Amr Elsadr: (13:57) Got dropped off the call.
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (13:57) amendment, ofc
Terri Agnew: (13:57) @Amr, calling you back
Amr Elsadr: (13:57) Thanks Terri.
Philip Corwin: (13:57) Original language was fine and left open the issue of which phase, RPM or UDRP, will go first.
Amr Elsadr: (13:58) @Phil: +1
Marilia Maciel: (13:58) I dont agree, James.
PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (13:58) Let's proceed with a vote on the motion as posted 7 days ago
Stephanie Perrin: (13:58) Agree with Volker, this is confusing for those of us not fully engaged in this issue.
Marilia Maciel: (13:58) I believe your suggestion between brackets was constructive
Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (13:58) Echo echo
Amr Elsadr: (13:59) Back on the call now, but hearing echo.
PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (13:59) The proposed adjustments are major changes to the motion as posted. They do not clarify anything.
Amr Elsadr: (14:01) @Phil: Exactly. Thank you.
Keith Drazek: (14:02) Thanks Phil. Perfectly clear. I agree.
Philip Corwin: (14:02) Very welcome :-)
Amr Elsadr: (14:02) Took my hand down, James. :)
PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (14:02) Well argued everyone. Let's vote.
Marilia Maciel: (14:03) Ok, if the interpretation is this, I believe it meets NCSG member's concerns.
Thanks everyone for the discussion.
Volker A. Greimann - RrSG GNSO: (14:05) i voted yes
Keith Drazek: (14:05) Proxy for Jennifer
James Bladel: (14:05) Jen's proxy, please.
Philip Corwin: (14:06) I will volunteer
PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (14:07) Happy to volunteer
Philip Corwin: (14:07) (Although I shall probably regret it ;-)
Amr Elsadr: (14:07) I volunteer as well.
Susan Kawaguchi: (14:07) I would also volunteer
Mary Wong: (14:07) Thanks all - staff will follow up with Phil, Paul, Amr and Susan.
Amr Elsadr: (14:08) @Phil: For my part, I hope we all end up with no regrets on this one. :)

Amr Elsadr: (14:09) Please let it be somebody other than me!! :)

Philip Corwin: (14:09) @Amr--we shall do our best

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:10) Will it fit in the B meeting schedule ?

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:11) (Wherever it ends up happening)

Susan Kawaguchi: (14:14) thank you to staff for all their hard work on the schedule

Amr Elsadr: (14:15) @Susan: +1. I don't think I was especially helpful myself.

Susan Kawaguchi: (14:17) @ Amr you helped get the discussion going. I have not done much in the last month

Heather Forrest: (14:18) Apologies, everyone, I'm having serious battles with Adobe Connect tonight - I got disconnected at the start of the discussion on RPM PDP and have only just managed to rejoin. I share Paul's concerns about lack of specificity. Happy to volunteer for the working party.

Mary Wong: (14:18) @Heather, thanks - noted

Keith Drazek: (14:26) Thanks Thomas, this was very helpful.

Keith Drazek: (14:27) That's correct, Paul.

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (14:29) Thanks Thomas for the clarification.

Heather Forrest: (14:33) Thank you Thomas

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (14:33) Aren't there any bylaw mandated deadlines for document filing prior to an ICANN meeting?

Heather Forrest: (14:33) @Olivier - that was really what I was trying to ask

James Bladel: (14:33) The document in this case would be the CCWG report.

James Bladel: (14:34) BUt good point about receiving our approval & any accompanying statements

Amr Elsadr: (14:34) @Marilia: That's a good question. Would be helpful to understand the purpose of the March 4th meeting.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (14:34) The way I understand it, the Board cannot skirt these bylaw mandated timings for fear of acting outside its bylaws... just thinking.... it's not as flexible as just agreeing together that they have enough time....

Marilia Maciel: (14:35) Thanks, Thomas

Heather Forrest: (14:35) Agreed - just having a spot on the agenda - that doesn't see sufficient

Amr Elsadr: (14:35) So no changes will be made to WS1 recommendations on March 4th?

Heather Forrest: (14:35) Pardon me, "Doesn't seem sufficient" I meant to say

Amr Elsadr: (14:35) Is that correct?

Philip Corwin: (14:39) Absolutely support meeting on 2/29

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:39) +1 to a call on 2/29.

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (14:39) There are no plans to discuss WS1 recommendations. That phase must be over by then and the report must be stable well before Marrakech.

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (14:39) this is a response to Amr!

Amr Elsadr: (14:39) Thanks for that Thomas..., and for everything else. :)

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:39) agree to a call on the 29th

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (14:39) Thanks, Amr!

Johan (Julf) Helsingius: (14:39) Is there a list of changes currently available?

Keith Drazek: (14:40) I support a call on the 29th.

Heather Forrest: (14:40) Good point to note that recent letter from GNSO Council Chairs, James, and good to see the CWG responding to that letter.

James Bladel: (14:41) Julf - I believe this is comgin tomorrow.

Johan (Julf) Helsingius: (14:41) Thanks, James!

James Bladel: (14:42) But it may be helpful to have a discussion on the 29th on the specific changes/redline recommendations.

Heather Forrest: (14:43) Ed raises an interesting point - is the CCWG's Final Report going to be available in translation?

Heather Forrest: (14:43) Or only in English, with a long delay for translated versions?

Keith Drazek: (14:44) Fully support Ed's comment about the need to ensure Councilors are in sync with the respective CCWG members.

James Bladel: (14:44) Good pquestion Heather. Can we ask THomas to update us.

Marilia Maciel: (14:44) Given the importance of the document an extraordinary task force should be assigned for speedy translation.

Heather Forrest: (14:45) It's quite unrealistic (timely given our next agenda item) that the GNSO Review recommendations tell us the GNSO needs to be more diverse, if a critical document such as the CCWG Final Report is only published in English

Thomas Rickert, CCWG Co-Chair: (14:46) Julf, as regards a list of changes. On p 14 f there is a section on differences to the third report.

Keith Drazek: (14:46) The flip side of Ed's point on voting strategy is that an early vote could lock in the terms, assuming we're happy with them, and preventing other chartering organizations from trying 11th hour changes.

James Bladel: (14:47) @Keith, also a good point.

Keith Drazek: (14:47) I'm not pushing for an early vote, but just noting there are different ways to consider the strategy.

James Bladel: (14:47) Could "lock in" GNSO position.

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:48) the RySG has also been well-informed by Becky and Keith on a fortnightly basis.

Heather Forrest: (14:48) @Keith, others closely involved - is there a real risk of last minute Chartering Org actions/changes?

Edward Morris: (14:48) Why would that matter Phil. There will be no changes until after the vote?

Johan (Julf) Helsingius: (14:48) Thanks, Thomas!

James Bladel: (14:49) @Heather - I would think the opportunity to introduce changes has passed. But I've thought that before...and been wrong.

Heather Forrest: (14:49) If there is a real risk of last minute changes, we need to take the strategy much more seriously

Edward Morris: (14:49) Phil, some of us will have already left for Marrakech and will be in transit on the 29th.

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:52) It's important that the Council is able to respond within the timeframe identified by Thomas. We do not want to be lagging, so it will be better to have early preparations and discussions to meet a deadline that is immovable.

Philip Corwin: (14:52) +1 Donna

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:52) Heather, some Chartering Org might get by contracts additional assurances for their concerns, but besides the number community and the protocol parameters community, everyone else would not have such an avenue.

Keith Drazek: (14:53) I see the call on the 29th as the last chance for the GNSO Council to raise issues/concerns that would prevent support for the CCWG report. The vote on Wednesday in Marrakech needs to be a foregone conclusion.

Mary Wong: (14:53) @James, noted, will do

Keith Drazek: (14:53) We simply cannot go into Marrakech with uncertainty on this outcome.

James Bladel: (14:53) Agreed.

James Bladel: (14:54) But I like the idea of including/inviting GNSO Members.

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:54) agreed Keith

Marilia Maciel: (14:54) Good idea, Ed

Edward Morris: (14:54) Sounds good James

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:56) Tomorrow is the motion/document deadline for Feb 29. If we agree to not submit anything, it automatically makes sure nothing is voted, right ?

Edward Morris: (14:56) Agreed James. Members are fine.

Johan (Julf) Helsingius: (14:56) Good point, Rubens.

Amr Elsad: (14:57) @Rubens: Pretty much. A motion submitted past the deadline can be effectively vetoed by a single councillor.

Marika Konings: (14:57) It may be helpful to have a draft motion available for review so that Council members can provide input on how the motion should be structured?

Stephanie Perrin: (14:58) This is a non-sequitur, but I understand Amr volunteered my as council liaison for the RDS PDP, before I was on the call. Just confirming that I would be pleased to take over that role.

Philip Corwin: (14:58) Good suggestion Marika

Mary Wong: (14:58) @Stephanie, thanks - noted.

Keith Drazek: (14:59) I support the plan as outlined by James. That said, I think there should be an explicit call for any red-flag issues that could cause any SG or C to not vote yes in Marrakech. I also support Marika's suggestion.

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:59) Sounds like a good approach James. Also agree with Keith, we need to know early where the red flags are.

James Bladel: (15:00) Understood.

Edward Morris: (15:01) As a point of information: The NCSG will not be binding our Councillors on this vote so each Councillor may have different concerns.

Keith Drazek: (15:02) Ed, will the NCSG councillors be able to communicate their unbound intentions on the 29th?

Edward Morris: (15:02) Keith, I hope so.

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (15:02) Agree with hte sentiment that red flags should be identified by the non-voting Feb 29th session, but am concerned about the rigidity of the "last opportunity" language. I would be of a different view if we had more time between now and hte 29th, but these timeframes are compressed. Can we use "should" rather than "must"?

Amr Elsadr: (15:03) @Keith: Assuming no significant changes are made, I would assume so.

Keith Drazek: (15:03) Great, thanks Ed and Amr.

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (15:03) Thanks James.

Edward Morris: (15:03) @Keith, however until the meeting on March 4th has concluded I certainly will not commit to my votes on any recoimendation. WS2 is crucial to the NCSG.

Keith Drazek: (15:03) Understood thanks Ed

Donna Austin, RySG: (15:05) Thanks James

Amr Elsadr: (15:05) Thanks all. Bye.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (15:05) Thanks for staff and leadership team! Bye all.

David Olive: (15:05) Thanks Everyone

Susan Kawaguchi: (15:05) Thanks all

James Bladel: (15:05) Thanks everone.

Marilia Maciel: (15:05) Thank you James and all

Stephanie Perrin: (15:05) Thanks all!

Johan (Julf) Helsingius: (15:05) Thanks!

David Cake: (15:05) Thanks everyone, good call.

PAUL MCGRADY - IPC: (15:05) Thanks James!

Philip Corwin: (15:05) Bye all

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (15:06) Thanks and bye

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (15:06) thanks everyone. Bye