

Objet : Adobe Connect - Chat Transcript from GNSO Council Weekly Meeting Room

Marika Konings:Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of 24 September 2015

Brian J Winterfeldt:Thank you!

Brian J Winterfeldt:Happy Fall.

Lars Hoffmann:Happy Autumn to you too, Brian!

Glen de Saint Gery:welcome Brian and Lars!

Glen de Saint Gery:and Volker who is also an early bird!

Heather Forrest:Good morning (3:45am Tasmania time) all

Glen de Saint Gery:Good Morning Heather! Thank you for getting up SO early!

Mary Wong:Heh and it's only 1.57 a.m. here in Singapore!

Mary Wong:Heather "wins" :)

Brian J Winterfeldt:So Mary - did you stay up late or get up early?

Amr Elsadr:Hi all. Dialling in now.

Mary Wong:I'm an insomniac so it was easier not to go to sleep, esp as I'm leaving for the airport to fly back to the US immediately after this call!

Heather Forrest:Ughhh.. I don't feel very much like a "winner", I assure you, Mary!

Mary Wong:~)

Jonathan Robinson:Hi Glen. Diaaling in to be sure of sound

Jonathan Robinson:Dialed in now

Glen de Saint Gery:Thanks and we have quorum do you want to start?

Bret Fausett, RySG:I am on. NOt able to connect my audio though.

Lars Hoffmann:Dear all - Marika is on the audio but unable to join the AC room.

Amr Elsadr:I thought Carlos had Thomas' proxy.

Amr Elsadr:Ah..., Carlos not joining. :)

Amr Elsadr:Thanks Glen.

Bret Fausett, RySG:I now have audio and can speak as well. I see that roll call has already started. Please record me as here.

Philip Corwin BC/CSG:Draft Dublin agenda was sent out a few hours ago

Glen de Saint Gery:Thanks Bret noted!

Mary Wong:That is correct, Jonathan

Stephanie Perrin:My apologies for being late, having trouble connecting.

Mary Wong:@Jonathan, no

Stephanie Perrin:Could I please get a dial out to 1-613-6203834?

Mary Wong:Marika is on the call but not in AC
Glen de Saint Gery:yes we will dial out Stephanie
Stephanie Perrin:thanks!
Amr Elsadr:Apologies. Got disconnected. Dialling back in.
Mary Wong:All, sorry, the request is not from staff (to extend)
Steve Chan:Existing Timeline:31 August PC Open -> 10 October PC
Close -> 9 November Public Comment Summary and Analysis/Final
Issue Report (9 November Motions and Documents Deadline)
Steve Chan:Adjusted Timeline (60 day PC):31 August PC Open ->
30 October PC Close -> 29 November Public Comment Summary and
Analysis/Final Issue Report (7 December Motions and Documents
Deadline)
Mary Wong:Please mute if you're not speaking
Stephanie Perrin:apologies for the background noise, I thought
I was muted....
Amr Elsadr:My impression is that the councillors who requested
the extension of the deadline have done so on behalf of the
communities they represent.
Volker Greimann / RrSG:no problem
Heather Forrest:In our 4 Sept meeting we also discussed a 67-
day public comment period (to accommodate return from ICANN 54).
Has that idea been dispensed with?
Jonathan Robinson:Heather. Yes, we agreed to keep it simple
from a Council perspective and vote for extension by 20 days or
not
Heather Forrest:Understood, thanks for the clarification
Mary Wong:Just to note - staff will of course do our best to
meet the timelines, as far as humanly possible.
Amr Elsadr:I'm listening in on the AC room, but cannot speak.
Nathalie Peregrine:@ Amr, we are dialing out to you now
Amr Elsadr:I vote Yes.
Amr Elsadr:Thanks Nathalie.
Stephanie Perrin:just droppecd was that call for me
Stephanie Perrin:yes,
Nathalie Peregrine:@ Stephanie, your line i showing connected
Stephanie Perrin:and i have a proxy for avri yes
Jonathan Robinson:Amr. Do you have audio to make the comment
you wanted to amke earlier and/or are you prepared to leave that
for now?
Amr Elsadr:I still have no audio. Waiting for a dial out.
Amr Elsadr:It was a question, really. Can ask later if we have
time. Thnx.
Jonathan Robinson:OK. We are holding the consent agenda for
your point. Is it material?
Mary Wong:@Amr, can you hear us at least?
Amr Elsadr:Yes. Can hear you, but can't speak.

Amr Elsadr:If you could put me down as a "yes" vote, I'd appreciate it.

Jonathan Robinson:Can we go ahead and vote on the consent agenda

Amr Elsadr:Yes, please do vote on the consent agenda. Didn't mean to hold up the vote on that. Just wanted to ask a question.

Amr Elsadr:I'm back on the call now. Thanks Nathalie.

Mary Wong:Please note that an abstention in effect is a No vote (FYI, though everybody probably knows that)

Nathalie Peregrine:pleasure Amr! sorry it took so long!

Bret Fausett, RySG:I need to drop the call now. Since voting is complete, I will catch up on the discussion with the MP3 and provide any comments by email.

Stephanie Perrin:I think a wiki page would be most helpful, thanks.

Marilia Maciel:Lost audio

Jonathan Robinson:I'll need to close the queue at Amr.

Jonathan Robinson:Now Donna

Marilia Maciel:Thanks, Nora.

Donna Austin, RySG:@Amr the mapping across ICANN would be a useful exercise and perhaps that has already been done by Nora and her team.

Amr Elsadr:Agree that it is a very useful exercise, but would advise caution on what we do with that map later.

Stephanie Perrin:You may reach the conclusion that you will need different terms in each context....

Donna Austin, RySG:@Amr,: I think the purpose of the mapping would be to understand where and how the term is referenced or used.

Amr Elsadr:@Donna: Like I said..., I very much agree. Nora had asked if there are further actions we would recommend her department take. My point was that at this time, no. Mapping it out is plenty for now.

Jonathan Robinson:Queue closed on Item 6 after Phil

Donna Austin, RySG:to be clear, I think it's very important that the process is finalised as the first step.

Stephanie Perrin:+1 Phil

Mary Wong:Both Jen and Larisa are on the call and in AC

Nathalie Peregrine:@ all, the webcast is back up and running, apologies for the delay, we ran into technical difficulties

Mary Wong:Westlake's Final Report can be accessed here:

<https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2-2015-09-15-en>

Mason Cole:Is the gist of the WG's pushback that the current model should be preserved vs. the model in rec. 23?

Amr Elsadr:I don't believe the terms of reference for this study was part of the scope of the working party.

Amr Elsadr:@Jen: +1. The role of the WP was a lot tighter scope-wise compared to a GNSO WG.

David Cake:I agree with Ed that there were some significant methodological issues

Amr Elsadr:@David: Yes, but that wasn't within the purview of the WP (as Jen mentioned).

Mary Wong:Westlake was engaged to be an independent examiner, which is one reason why they got to design the methodology. Also, note that the Board/OEC does not have to accept all of Westlake's recommendations (which is what they are , ie recommendations).

Philip Corwin BC/CSG 2:So is this a case of "garbage in, garbage out"?

Edward Morris:Thanks Mary and thanks Jen.

Edward Morris:Phil. Exactly.

Amr Elsadr:@Phil: "Garbage in" IMHO, yes..., "garbage out"..., I certainly hope so. :)

Philip Corwin BC/CSG 2:BTW, I have no idea why I am showing up twice in the attendee list, as I only logged in from one device.

Amr Elsadr:@Jonathan: I believe the council should support whatever response the WP comes up with.

Edward Morris:Recommendations are based upon bad data. This is supposed to be a scientific study not...let's come up with some ideas.

Stephanie Perrin:We certainly don't advocate wasting the considerable amount of money (although unknown) that was spent on this review.

Edward Morris:@Stephanie. I do.

Philip Corwin BC/CSG 2:On Rec. 23, want to note that BC has had no opportunity to discuss. But it seems suspect on procedural grounds, being an enormous change at the last minute.

Edward Morris:A study based upon in competent methodology can not be relied upon. It should be completely disregarded.

Stephanie Perrin:Indeed Phil, that is the problem as far as I am concerned.

Philip Corwin BC/CSG 2:Agree with what Susan just said.

Amr Elsadr:So do I. @Susan: +1

Stephanie Perrin:You know I agree with you Ed, but if there are good ideas that came out of the public consultation it would be ok to salvage them.

Amr Elsadr:Apologies. I was disconnected again. Was going to suggest that the WP send a letter to the OEC saying that they would send them a letter concerning rec23 sometime after Monday the 28th. Susan's right. The WP and the council may need some more time to work this out.

Larisa Gurnick:The Organizational Effectiveness Committee is not plannig to tak

Larisa Gurnick:The Org. Effectiveness Committee is not planning to take action on the Final Report on 28 Sept

Amr Elsadr:Thanks Larisa. That's helpful to know.

Marilia Maciel:Glen, I will need to move. Would it be possible to have a dial out to the same number?

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Marilia, we will dil out to you

Marilia Maciel:Thank you, Nathalia. I shared the number with you.

Nathalie Peregrine:the operator is setting it up now

Leon Sanchez (CCWG Co-Chair):Thanks Jonathan, thanks to all for listening to me and of course for the opportunity to update your group on the CCWG's activites

Heather Forrest:I am involved in developing the LTP and would be happy to answer any questions on the list after the call

Edward Morris:Can we get another dial out to Marilia?

Edward Morris:Thanks Leon!

Glen de Saint Gery:yes we are working on it

Marika Konings:not sure why you cannot hear me.

Philip Corwin BC/CSG 2:NTIA blog is at

<http://www.ntia.doc.gov/blog/2015/reflections-iana-stewardship-transition-process>

Marika Konings:the GNSO Council slot is in addition to the slots that have been reserved for SG/C reps

Marika Konings:we would need a name ASAP

Amr Elsadr:I was disconnected again. Waiting for a dial out.

Philip Corwin BC/CSG 2:MY article is at

http://www.circleid.com/posts/20150923_empire_strikes_back_icann_accountability_at_the_inflection_point/

Amr Elsadr:Back on the call again.

Stephanie Perrin:Great article Phil!

Philip Corwin BC/CSG 2:Thx

Susan kawaguchi:thanks all

Heather Forrest:Thank you Jonathan, bye all

Leon Sanchez (CCWG Co-Chair):thanks all

Leon Sanchez (CCWG Co-Chair):bye

Philip Corwin BC/CSG 2:Bye all. See you in Dublin

David Cake:thanks all