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Welcome

David Olive

ICANN Vice-President for Policy Development Support

General Manager of the ICANN Regional Headquarters Hub in Istanbul
ICANN 53 Outlook:

**Monday, 22 June 2015**
- Welcome Ceremony (08:30-10:00)
  - Ethos Award Recipient(s) Announced
- SO/AC High-Interest Topic Session (15:15-16:30)

**Tuesday, 23 June 2015**
- Constituency Day Sessions

**Wednesday, 24 June 2015**
- GNSO Public Council Meeting (13:00-15:00)
- ccNSO Council Meeting (16:00-18:30)
- LACRA/AL Showcase (18:30-20:30)

**Thursday, 25 June 2015**
- CEO Succession Process (12:15-13:15)
- ICANN Public Forum (14:00-17:30)
- ICANN Public Board Meeting (17:30-18:30)
ICANN 53 In Focus:

**Sunday, 21 June 2015**
- CCWG-Accountability Meeting with the ICANN Board (15:00-16:00)
- IANA Stewardship Transition/ Enhancing ICANN Accountability Information Session (18:30-20:00)

**Monday, 22 June 2015**
- CWG-Stewardship/ CCWG-Accountability Joint Town Hall Meeting (10:30–13:00)
- CWG-Stewardship Engagement Session(16:45-18:00)

**Wednesday, 23 June 2015:**
- AoC and Organizational Reviews: Supporting ICANN Accountability (10:30-12:00)
- CCWG-Accountability Working Session 2 (15:30 – 17:00)

**Thursday, 24 June 2015**
- CWG-Stewardship Working Session (08:30-10:00)
- CCWG-Accountability Working Session 3 (10:30-12:00)
To keep pace with dynamic technologies and rapid innovation, ICANN enables consensus-driven multistakeholder policy development with broad representation from the global Internet Community.

A number of groups are involved: Supporting Organizations, Advisory Committees, Technical Advisory Bodies, and the Board of Directors.
Policy Development Support: Why?

**ASSIST the Community**
Create policies and guidelines that are:
- Implementable and effective
- Developed through a highly participative, fair, and balanced process in a timely and efficient way

**SUPPORT the Community**
- Engage and support the participation of all necessary stakeholders
- Inform and educate stakeholders

**MANAGE Processes**
- Manage the policy development process efficiently and effectively to benefit the global Internet community
Policy Development: Support Tools

- Working Group Model
- Meetings: in-person, teleconference, virtual
- Public Comments
- Collaboration Mechanisms
- Publications
- Webinars

Support
bottom-up, consensus policies and guidelines
Policy Development: Who?

**ICANN Supporting Organizations (SO)**
- Address Supporting Organization—ASO
- Country Code Names Supporting Organization—ccNSO
- Generic Names Supporting Organization—GNSO

**ICANN Advisory Committees (AC)**
- At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)
- Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC)
- Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)
- Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)
CWG – Stewardship Proposal Update

David Olive
Following Public Comment periods in December 2014 and April/May 2015, the Cross Community Working Group to Develop an IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal for Naming Related Functions (CWG-Stewardship) has finalized its proposal and delivered it to SO/AC chartering organizations for approval.

Chartering organizations will deliberate during the ICANN53 meeting in Buenos Aires, with expected delivery of the proposal to the IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group (ICG) by 25 June 2015.

Two briefing webinars on the contents of the final proposal are being held today, 11 June by the CWG-Stewardship Chairs (06:00 UTC and 13:00 UTC) so the Policy Development Support team will not be providing further updates on this topic during this session.

Further information

- For further information about the CWG-Stewardship's work, please see https://community.icann.org/x/37fhAg.
- For further information about the ICG and the IANA Stewardship Transition, please see https://www.icann.org/stewardship
Generic Names Supporting Organization
Issues being discussed in the GNSO

- Translation & transliteration of registration data
- Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation
- Policy & Implementation
- New gTLD Subsequent Procedures
- Data & Metrics for Policy Making
- Curative Rights Protections for IGO/INGOs
- gTLD Registration Data Services
- Others – currently there are over 15 projects underway
Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation
Issues Policy Development Process

Mary Wong
Scope of WG Charter

Develop “policy recommendations regarding the issues identified during the 2013 RAA negotiations, including recommendations made by law enforcement and GNSO working groups, that were not addressed during the 2013 RAA negotiations and otherwise suited for a PDP; specifically, issues relating to the accreditation of Privacy & Proxy Services.”
Key (preliminary) Recommendations

1. No distinction in principle between Privacy & Proxy Services
   - WHOIS fields to indicate when it is a P/P registration
   - P/P customer data to be validated/verified per 2013 RAA WHOIS Accuracy Specification
   - Certain mandatory provisions to be included in P/P customer agreements
   - ICANN to publish list of accredited P/P providers
   - Process to be followed in de-accreditation

2. Contactability, Responsiveness & Abuse Reporting
   - Providers to have designated contact points and standardized reporting form/criteria
   - Contacts must be “capable and authorized” per TEAC requirement under IRTP
   - Non-exhaustive list of malicious conduct to be developed

3. Relay of Electronic Communications
   - All communications required by RAA or ICANN Consensus Policies must be forwarded
   - One of two options recommended for other electronic communications
   - Requestor to be notified if provider becomes aware of “persistent delivery failure”

4. Reveal of Customer Identity/Contact Details
   - Uniform definitions recommended for “Disclose” and “Publish” (instead of “Reveal”)
   - Provider’s published Terms of Service to contain certain specific provisions, including customer notification/options
   - Illustrative framework for submission & handling of Disclosure requests from IP rights-holders
Issues for which the WG has not yet reached consensus

1. Whether certain types of commercial activity associated with a domain name should be barred from using P/P services
   - Consensus that status of customer (e.g. commercial/non-commercial) not to determine availability of P/P services
   - But majority and minority view on use of P/P services by certain forms of commercial activity (online financial transactions associated with a domain name)

2. Escalation of Relay Requests
   - What should be minimum mandatory requirements for escalating relay requests if there is a persistent delivery failure?

3. Disclosure or Publication Requests from Third Parties other than IP Owners (e.g. Law Enforcement)
   - Mandatory compliance with express LEA requests not to notify a customer?
   - Mandatory Publication for certain illegal activities? Remedies for unwarranted Publication?
More Information and How to Provide Input

- WG Open Meeting at ICANN53 – **Wednesday 24 June** from **15.00-17.00** local time


- Optional Template for Response: [https://s.zoomerang.com/s/VTLNGF5](https://s.zoomerang.com/s/VTLNGF5)

- Summary Page of WG Activities: [http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/ppsai](http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/ppsai)

- WG wiki space: [https://community.icann.org/x/FTR-Ag](https://community.icann.org/x/FTR-Ag)
Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information PDP: Final Report

Julie Hedlund / Lars Hoffmann
Charter Questions and Timetable

Two Charter Questions

1. Whether it is desirable to translate or transliterate contact information into a single common language?

2. Who should decide who should bear the burden transforming* contact information to a single language?

* The WG has uses the short form ‘transformation’ throughout this presentation to replace the term ‘translation or transliteration’.

Timeline

- Dec 2013: WG started
- Dec 2014: Initial Report published
- Feb 2015: Public Comment open to 1 Feb
- ICANN 52: Meeting Wednesday 11 February
- June 2015: Published Final Report
- GNSO Council vote
Arguments opposing mandatory transformation

- Data submitted in language known best by registrant will be most accurate.
- It would be near impossible to achieve consistent accuracy in transforming all scripts and languages – mostly of proper nouns – into a common script.
- Accurate translation needs to be done manual and is thus very expensive.
- The financial burden could have negative impact on less developed regions that, in addition, often do not use Latin script.
- Usability of transformed data is questionable because registered name holders unfamiliar with Latin script would not be able to communicate in Latin script.
Key Recommendations

1. **No Mandatory Transformation**
   The Working Group recommends that it is not desirable to make transformation of contact information mandatory. Any parties requiring transformation are free to do it ad hoc.

2. **New RDS and identification of script/language**
   The Working Group recommends, in line with the EWG on International Registration Data, that any new Registration Directory Service database [...] should be capable of receiving input in the form of non-Latin script contact information. Those requesting data should be able to determine which script/language it was submitted in.

3. **Submit data in language/script used by Registrar**
   The Working Group recommends that registrars determine which languages and script they support and any of those can be used by Registrars to submit their data. This is important as the burden of data verification remains with the registrar in line with the RAA, Additional Whois Information Policy (AWIP), and all relevant Consensus Policy.
More Information

- Final Report: http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/transliteration-contact

- Public Comment in Initial Report: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/transliteration-contact-initial-2014-12-16-en

- Webinar on Initial Report: https://icann.adobeconnect.com/p2lzjk3zy0f/

- Wiki Page: https://community.icann.org/x/FTR-Ag
New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Discussion Group

Steve Chan
Why is it important?

**Background**
With over 600 TLDs delegated, the community felt that analysis and discussion of the 2012 round of the New gTLD Program should begin.

**GNSO Initiates Discussion Group**
GNSO Council formed the Discussion Group in June 2014 to discuss experiences gained from the 2012 round and identify subjects for future issue report(s) that might lead to changes or adjustments for subsequent application procedures.

**Broad participation**
Community members from a broad spectrum of SO/AC/SG/Cs contributed to identify issues that they or their constituents experienced.
Current Status & Next Steps

Current status

- Drafted an executive summary, providing brief background and current program status, along with a narrative of the group’s deliberations.
- Prepared a matrix which assigns identified issues to the original GNSO recommendations, where possible.
- Prepared a draft charter which may be included and used with a possible Issue Report / PDP Working Group.

Next Steps

- Submit deliverables to the GNSO Council, along with a completed request for Issue Report template and a motion.
- GNSO Council to deliberate and make decision on path forward.
More Information

- New gTLD Subsequent Procedures DG F2F – Wednesday 24 June from 11:00 – 12:00 local time

- Summary of DG Activities:

- DG Wiki Space: [https://community.icann.org/x/OrXhAg](https://community.icann.org/x/OrXhAg)
Why is it important?

**Background**
Increased focus on which topics call for policy and which call for implementation work, including which processes should be used, at what time and how diverging opinions should be acted upon.

**GNSO Initiates WG**
GNSO Council formed Working Group in July 2013 to address a number of questions specifically related to policy and implementation in the context of the GNSO.

**Broad input sought**
Community discussion and input requested at an early stage. Initial Recommendations Report published for public comment in January 2015. See review of public comments received.

**Current Status**
The WG has submitted its Final Recommendations Report to the GNSO Council for consideration.
The WG recommends adhering to the Policy & Implementation principles as outlined in section 4 when policy or implementation related issues arise in the implementation phase.

The WG proposes three new standardized processes for GNSO deliberations, namely 1) a GNSO Input Process, 2) a GNSO Guidance Process, and 3) a GNSO Expedited Policy Development Process.

The WG recommends requiring the creation of an Implementation Review Team (IRT) following the adoption of policy recommendations and adhering to the IRT principles as outlined in Annex H.
GNSO Council to consider the Final Report for adoption in Buenos Aires, followed by ICANN Board consideration.

For further information, see:


Other GNSO Projects
GNSO PDPs and non-PDPs

**PDP: IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms**

*What it’s about:* Whether to amend existing dispute resolution processes or develop new, separate process to address specific needs and concerns of IGOs and INGOs

*Status:* WG discussing IGO immunity/jurisdiction issue and related due process concerns

*Buenos Aires Activity:* WG meeting

*Milestones/Target Date:* Late 2015 for publication of initial PDP recommendations

**PDP: gTLD Registration Data Services**

*What it’s about:* the ICANN Board reconfirmed its request for a Board-initiated GNSO policy development process to define the purpose of collecting, maintaining and providing access to gTLD registration data, and consider safeguards for protecting data, using the recommendations in the EWG Final Report as an input to, and, if appropriate, as the foundation for a new gTLD policy

*Status:* Preliminary Issue Report to be published for public comment shortly.

*Buenos Aires Activity:* Status update during GNSO weekend session

*Milestones/Target Date:* Publication of Preliminary Issue Report expected in June 2015

**Non-PDP: Data and Metrics for Policy Making**

*What it’s about:* Establishing a framework to inform fact-based policy development

*Status:* Working Group completed draft data request guidelines, templates to support the framework, and draft preliminary Initial Report

*Buenos Aires Activity:* WG F2F, Thursday 25 June from 7:00 – 8:00 local time.

*Milestones/Target Date:* Initial Report published in Q3 2015
For the GNSO @ ICANN53 – the Essentials, please visit: gnso.icann.org/icannmeeting
Cross Community Working Groups (CWGs) & Joint Efforts

Marika Konings/Bart Boswinkel/Lars Hoffmann/Mary Wong
**CWGs & Joint Projects**

**CWG: Country and Territory Names as Top Level Domains**

**What is it about:** This Cross Community Working Group to draft and recommend to chartering organizations a harmonized framework for use of names of country and territory names as top level domains.

**Status:** CWG has agreed on an preliminary set of definitions and also completed its initial discussion on 2-letter codes as TLDs. Next, member will address 3-letter codes as TLDs. The Group is also in close contact with the GAC to coordinate related issues that the GAC is tackling in its WG on Geographic Names.

**Buenos Aires Activity:** F2F on Monday 22 June 2015; 13:00-14:30 in meeting room Retiro A

**Milestones/Target Date:** ICANN 54
Other CWGs & Joint Projects

**CWG: Framework of CWG Principles**

**What is it about:** A cross community effort to develop uniform principles and guidance for future Cross Community Working Groups

**Status:** WG co-chairs developing initial template/process framework

**Buenos Aires Activity:** WG to discuss draft framework with community on Wednesday 24 June from 0800-0900 local time

**Milestones/Target Date:** Publication of draft framework following ICANN53
Country Code Names
Supporting Organization
Bart Boswinkel
ccNSO Overview

- ccNSO decision making process CWG Proposal
- SECIR
- Other substantive work
- Information
Issues
- ccNSO is chartering organisation
- Ultimately support ccTLD community needed (members and non-members ccNSO)
- Potential overlap with delegation and redelegation work ccNSO
- dependency Accountability work

ccNSO Council position dependent on view ccTLD community
Tuesday & Wednesday Sessions in BA:
- Overview of CWG, CCWG and ICG Processes - Timelines and Interdependencies
- ccTLD Positions on Areas of Convergence CWG
- CCWG progress and ccTLD views
- Areas of potential diverging views on CWG Proposal (Panel discussion)
- Wrap up and sense of the community
- ccNSO Council meeting
TLD-OPS Secure Communication Email List

- Set up by the Secure Email Communication for ccTLD Incident Response (SECIR) WG
- Contact Repository for ccTLDs
- Run by ccNSO Secretariat on OARC Platform
- Invitations sent to all ccTLD operators
- Currently 153 subscribers (including IDNs); outreach efforts continue

http://ccnso.icann.org/resources/tld-ops-secure-communication.htm
Other work ccNSO

• ccNSO SOP WG
  ▪ Input of FY 16 draft Ops Plan & Budget
  ▪ To be discussed with ICANN staff, presented to community and other groups

• ccNSO Guideline Review committee (GRC)
  ▪ Review of all internal guidelines and rules of the ccNSO to reflect changing practices and growth of ccNSO
  ▪ Updates when necessary, to be approved by Council
Background information

- ccNSO Meetings Agenda
  http://ccnso.icann.org/meetings/buenos-aires53

- ccNSO SOPWG background info
  http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/sopwg.htm

- Latest SOP WG submission

- SECIR
  http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/secir.htm

- GRC
  http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/grc.htm
The ASO AC has 15 members, 3 from each region, and one person per region is elected each year.
The regional policy forum of each RIR selects two members.
The Executive Board of each RIR also appoints one person from its respective region.

- Mark Elkins was appointed to replace Alan Barrett through December 2015
- Ricardo Patara was re-appointed to serve through December 2018
Global Policies and Other Activities

What is a Global Policy?

- The RIRs develop many regional addressing policies
- Only very few policies affect IANA and only those are called “Global Policies”

Areas of local policy under discussion at the RIR meetings:

- IPv4 transfer policies
- Loosening qualifications for IPv6 allocations
- Inter-registry transfers of IP address blocks
Ron da Silva appointed to replace Ray Plzak on Seat 9 of the Board of Directors beginning after ICANN54

- Work sessions throughout the week
- CRISP discussions
- Meeting with the ICANN Board of Directors

ASO AC Public Meeting and Workshop
Wednesday, 24 June, 15:30—17:00, Libertador C
Structure and Work

- 12 Board Appointed Root Server Operator Representatives
- 3 Root Zone Management Partner Representatives
- 3 Liaisons
- Co-Chairs
  - Lars-Johan Liman, Netnod/RSO I-root (Sweden)
  - Tripti Sinha, University of Maryland/RSO D-root (USA)
- Meets monthly via teleconference and in person at ICANN public meetings
RSSAC Caucus

- 61 Technical Experts (43% do not work for Root Server Operators)
- Held successful kick-off meeting at IETF92
- Current Work: Report on Root Zone TTLs
- Proposed Study Areas:
  - Whether or not to DNSSEC-sign the root-servers.net zone
  - Whether or not to move root-servers.net to a new TLD (.root-servers)
  - Creating a framework to track deployment of RSSAC002 statistics
- To apply: rssac-membership@icann.org
RSSAC at ICANN53

Three Work Sessions
- IANA Stewardship Transition and ICANN Accountability
- Future work items
- RSSAC Workshop planning

Joint Meetings
- SSAC
- Board of Directors

Public Session | Wednesday, 24 June, 15:30-16:45 in Auditorio
- RSSAC001 and RSSAC002 implementation updates
- Root Zone TTLs Work Party Draft Advisory
Public suffix: “a domain under which multiple parties that are unaffiliated with the owner of the Public Suffix domain may register subdomains.”

Examples:

www.icann.org    www bbc.co.uk

www.pps.k12.pa.us

No programmatic way to determine the boundary where a Domain Name System (DNS) label changes stewardship from a public suffix.

Tracking the boundary accurately is critically important for security, privacy, and usability issues in many systems and applications, such as web browsers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Case</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
<th>Applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cookie Setting</td>
<td>Decide whether a cookie should be allowed to set for a suffix of a given domain</td>
<td>Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome, Safari, Opera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSL Certificates</td>
<td>Decide whether to issue or accept an SSL wildcard certificate for *.public.suffix.</td>
<td>Certificate Authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigability</td>
<td>Decide whether a browser should attempt to navigate to a given URL without consulting DNS</td>
<td>Google Chrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLD Validation</td>
<td>Determine the validity of TLDs in a domain name</td>
<td>Web forms, programming language libraries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain Highlighting</td>
<td>Decide which part of a domain to highlight</td>
<td>Mozilla Firefox</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inconsistent Suffix Lists Usage
## SAC070 Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parties to take Action</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IETF</td>
<td>Standardize PSL alternatives (IETF DBOUND WG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IETF</td>
<td>Develop consensus definition of “public suffix” and other associated terminology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICANN &amp; Mozilla Foundation</td>
<td>Collaboratively create informational materials that can be given to TLD registry operators about Mozilla PSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICANN</td>
<td>Encourage the software development community to develop and distribute programming and operating system libraries for PSL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application developers</td>
<td>Use canonical file format and modern authentication protocols as specifications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SAC070 Recommendations cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parties to take Action</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application developers</td>
<td>Replace proprietary PSLs with Mozilla PSL and the proposed IANA PSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IANA</td>
<td>Host a PSL containing information about the domain within the registries with which IANA has direct information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICANN</td>
<td>Explicitly include the use and actions related to the PSL as part of the work related to universal acceptance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAC071: SSAC Comments on Cross Community Working Group Proposal on ICANN Accountability Enhancements
SSAC Charter: The SSAC advises “the ICANN community and Board on matters relating to the security and integrity of the Internet's naming and address allocation systems.”

The SSAC:

- Has neither been given nor sought any standing for its advice other than that it be evaluated on its merits and adopted (or not) according to that evaluation by the affected parties;

- Believes that this purely advisory role is the one to which it is best suited; and

- Asks the CCWG-Accountability to take this into account in its review of the options described in Section 5.1.2.
The SSAC:

- Has no comment at this time on whether or not a legal structure is required or desirable to compel ICANN and the Board to respond to the SSAC’s advice.
- Is concerned about the way in which the proposed new SO/AC Membership Model might affect the way in which the SSAC operates, considering its narrow focus on security and stability matters and its reluctance to become involved in issues outside that remit.
- Expects that the community will adopt an organizational structure that recognizes the role and importance of high-quality expert advice on security and stability.
- Notes the relatively short time available for consideration of the draft proposal and reserves the right to make additional comments as further details are developed.
SSAC Activities at ICANN53
SSAC Activities at ICANN 53

- DNSSEC for Everybody: A Beginner’s Guide – 22 June
- DNSSEC Workshop – 24 June
- SSAC Public Meeting – 25 June
- Meeting with the community, including the ALAC, GAC, and GNSO.

For more information on the SSAC see:

- Main web page: https://www.icann.org/groups/ssac
- Publications: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/documents-2012-02-25-en
GAC Update
Olof Nordling/Julia Charvolen
Overview

- The Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC) currently has 152 governments as members and 32 IGOs as observers.

- The GAC meets face-to-face at ICANN meetings, with inter-sessional work conducted remotely.

- The GAC provides advice to the ICANN Board on public policy matters.
Policy-Related Activities

- IANA Stewardship Transition and ICANN Accountability

- Remaining issues with the New gTLD Program:
  - Protection of IGO acronyms
  - Implementation of GAC Safeguard Advice
  - Country names on the second level

- Topics addressed by new GAC Working Groups:
  - Human Rights & International Law
  - Public Safety
  - Under-Served Regions
  - Community Applications

- Meetings with GNSO, ccNSO, ALAC and the Board
Other Activities

- Update on GAC-related ATRT2 Recommendations
- Preparations for ICANN 54 in Dublin, including call for Vice-Chair candidates
- Preparations for next High Level Governmental Meeting (HLGM) to take place at ICANN 55
- The GAC has a full agenda from Saturday to Thursday.
- All sessions are open, except the Communiqué drafting on Wednesday afternoon.

**Welcome to the GAC meeting room: SAN TELMO!**
ALAC/At-Large Activities
Heidi Ullrich / Ariel Liang / Silvia Vivanco
NTIA IANA Functions’ Stewardship Transition

- Weekly calls of the At-Large Ad-hoc WG on the Transition of US Government Stewardship of the IANA Function and over 5 hours of sessions scheduled at ICANN 53.
- The ALAC is generally supportive of the Draft CWG Proposal.
- The ALAC would prefer an IANA wholly integrated into ICANN, but is willing to accept a compromise of a separate legal entity.
- The ALAC is very concerned about lack of multi-stakeholder oversight involvement and we will offer guidance as to how this might be addressed.

ICANN Accountability and Transparency

- In general the ALAC is supportive of the direction being taken by the CCWG.
- In its comments, the ALAC provided guidance on a number of issues, some of which the CCWG is explicitly seeking, and others where the ALAC believes that reconsideration may be required.
- In particular, the ALAC has strong concerns about whether true "enforceability" of the proposed mechanisms is required, and how the potential use of such enforceability will alter the spirit of the organization.
ALAC and At-Large Activities at ICANN 53

- ALAC/At-Large will hold 29 formal meetings
- For their meeting with the ICANN Board of Directors, questions to be raised are on the topics of:
  - Equitable Access for all Stakeholders
  - Postponement of At-Large Review as example of community accepting an overloaded agenda
  - PICs and Category 1 TLDs
- Meetings will be held with the ccNSO, GAC, NCSG and SSAC leadership as well as ICANN staff
- 7 At-Large Working Groups will be meeting face-to-face to facilitate progress in both policy and process activities
| 1 | Potential Change to Registrar Accreditation Insurance Requirement  
  • Commercial general liability requirement is the most critical barrier for registrars in underserved regions |
| 2 | IDN TLDs - LGR Procedure Implementation - Maximal Starting Repertoire Version 2  
  • Clarify likely impacts of changes to the underlying Unicode standards |
| 3 | GNSO Policy & Implementation Initial Recommendations Report  
  • Resolution of new issues must involve all impacted stakeholders  
  • Stress testing to understand the effect of changes |
| 4 | ICANN Draft FY16 Operating Plan & Budget  
  • Increase the number of full-time staff in the Policy Development Support Department |
ALAC Policy Advice (ICANN 52 – Current)

Adopted ALAC Advice:
At-Large Correspondence Page
http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence

ALAC Advice Under Development:
At-Large Policy Development Page
https://community.icann.org/x/bwFO
RALO Meetings at ICANN 53

- **AFRALO** will hold the **AFRALO-AfrICANN** Joint meeting on **Wednesday, 24 June 2015** between 14:00-15:30 on the theme “African views on the Proposal of the Governance of Internet unique identifiers”.

- **APRALO** members will hold its June meeting on **Wednesday, 24th June 2015** between 8:00- 9:00. Topics include increasing At-Large Structure engagement and cooperation with the APAC Hub.

- **EURALO** will hold its June monthly meeting at **Tuesday June 23rd** between 7:15 – 8: 15. Main topic is the preparation for its General Assembly to be held at ICANN 54.

- **LACRALO** will hold its June monthly meeting on **Wednesday, 24 June 2015** between 10:30 - 11:30. Topics include IANA Steward Transition and a review of LACRALO procedures.

- **NARALO’s** monthly meeting will take place on **Monday June 22nd** between 13:00-14:00. Topics include outreach and engagement and a new newsletter.
Upon the invitation of Fadi Chehadé, ICANN President and CEO, all five RALO Chairs will participate in the Community Roundtable with AC/SO/SG/RALO Chairs on Friday, 19 June before the start of ICANN 53.

Saturday, 20 June the RALOs will hold the first RALO Chairs meeting, Theme: “Civil Society Engagement within ICANN” with Jean-Jacques Sahel, Vice-President, Europe.

Saturday, 20 June 2015 the RALOs will also meet with the ALAC Leadership Team (ALT) in the RALO Chairs and ALT Meeting to discuss issues of strategic importance for the At-Large community.

Wednesday, 24 June 2015 The five RALOs will hold the Regional Secretariat meeting. The results of a cross-RALO survey will be discussed.
Save the date! Wednesday, 24 June 2015. Time: 18:30-20:30. Meeting Room: Golden Horn

- Speakers include ICANN’s President and CEO Fadi Chehadé, ICANN’s Board Chairman Steve Crocke, GSE VP for Latin America and the Caribbean, Rodrigo de la Parra, ALAC’s Chair Alan Greenberg, and ALAC’s departing LACRALO member Fatima Cambronero.
- Full Program is posted at: http://www.atlarge.icann.org/lacalo/buenosaires53-outreach.htm
- Come and watch a performance of Argentine Tango dancing – and participate in tango lessons!

Please join us to see LACRALO’s and the At Large’s community achievements!
Press *1 to join the queue and ask the Policy Development Support Team your questions.
Questions Received

When will the recommendations made by the Policy and Implementation Working Group be implemented? In other words, how long will it take for ICANN’s community to implement the GNSO Guidance Process (GGP) and its followers?


After the GNSO Council consideration, there will be a public comment period prior to Board consideration (as the recommendations involve changes to the ICANN Bylaws), followed by ICANN Board consideration. Once adopted, the updating of the Bylaws and GNSO Operating Procedures should not take long, but all in all, it could take up to 6 months.

Threats in cyberspace are becoming very worrying every day. What are the measures taken by ICANN not to be infiltrated by hackers?

For more information please refer to the DNSSEC and SSAC Pages below.

- https://www.icann.org/groups/ssac

Why did Mr Chehadé decide to resign? Is this resignation justified?

With the recent announcement about Fadi Chehadé leaving ICANN next March how does ICANN see the general impact on this process as a repercussion of this announcement? And what is the plan to mitigate any relevant impact on this process?

For more information about Fadi Chehadé’s decision to resign as President & CEO of ICANN, please refer to the announcement: https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2015-05-21-en
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Please contact nathalie.peregrine@icann.org for more details
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Pre-ICANN 53 Policy Update Webinar Wiki Space:
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For this webinar’s recordings, transcripts, slides and more information in preparation for ICANN 53.