

## GNSO Council Adobe chat transcript 19 March 2015

Marika Konings:Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of 19 March 2015

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:Danke

David Olive>Welcome Everyone

David Olive:Hi Carlos

Oswaldo Novoa>Hello all

Carlos Raul Gutierrez>Hello David. Hola Oswaldo

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:Hola a Todos

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:looking forward to buenos aires you better brush up your spanish

Oswaldo Novoa:Hola Carlos

Jonathan Robinson>Hello All

Jonathan Robinson:We have one or more open microphones

Mason Cole:greetings all

Heather Forrest (IPC):Good morning everyone

James Bladel - RrSG - United States:SOI Update: I may or may not be streaming NCAA in another window...

Amr Elsadr:Hi. Apologies for being late.

Dan Reed:James, has the "madness" taken you already?

Amr Elsadr:Ed Morris sends apologies, but will be a few minutes late. Wrapping up another call.

James Bladel - RrSG - United States:Only if Iowa State is upset today...

Carlos Raul Gutierrez>Welcome Olivier!

Olivier Crepin-Leblond:Always exciting to visit a new party. ;-)

James Bladel - RrSG - United States:Glen is very faint

Amr Elsadr>Welcome to the G-Council Olivier. :)

Amr Elsadr:@Glen: Can barely hear you.

Amr Elsadr:Thanks Glen.

Amr Elsadr:Yes Heather. Sounds really cool. Congrats. :)

Heather Forrest (IPC):Thanks, Amr. Tasmania is marvellous - I recommend it to everyone

Amr Elsadr:There's an IRD-EWG initial report with an open public comment period now. Don't recall that ever being on our projects list. Shouldn't it be?

Mary Wong:@Amr, that isn't actually a GNSO-initiated project

Oswaldo Novoa:I lost my connection for a couple of minutes, sorry if I missed something

Amr Elsadr:Neither was the other EWG, right? :)

Mary Wong:Correct - that's why the Projects List refers to the Board-initiated PDP, not the actual EWG process/work

Amr Elsadr:Ah. Got it.

Amr Elsadr:Thnx.

Marika Konings:FYI, the template as circulated by Volker is up in Adobe Connect.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:here we have it

Mason Cole:Concur with that, Jonathan. I unfortunately have not had any reply to my requests for time with GAC leadership to discuss this.

James Bladel - RrSG - United States:Jonathan: Would you consult with GAC chair/Vice Chairs each time, or just for the first?

Stephanie Perrin:My apologies for being late, I miscalculated the time

Edward Morris:Hi guys. Sorry I'm late. Just finished a call with the Accountability legal subteam.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:Mason, are you going to be in Istanbul? Thomas Schneider should be there

Mason Cole:Carlos, no, unfortunately not

Bret Fausett, RySG:Apologies for my late arrival. I was caught up in something and got here as soon as I could,

James Bladel - RrSG - United States:Perfect! Thanks.

Volker G.:We still need to do the actual analysis though

Amr Elsadr:The policy and implementation WG had its first call to review the public comments yesterday.

Marika Konings:Comments have been received from RySG, NCSG, ISPCP, ISP, ALAC, BC, BRG

Marika Konings:ISP=IPC

Amr Elsadr:@Marika: as well as a couple of individual comments. ;-)

Marika Konings:correct

Heather Forrest (IPC):I agree - this was left as an open item at our last meeting

Mary Wong:Thanks, Jonathan.

Mason Cole:lowering my hand, Carlos answered  
Marika Konings:Maybe also to add, the GAC is not expected to provide detailed input at that stage, but just an indication of whether public policy is involved (which basically flags whether the GAC is likely to provide substantive input at a later stage)  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:very good point Marika, thank you  
Donna Austin, RySG:To Susan's question: I think one important thing to note is that the GAC now has a professional secretariat and this should enhance the GAC's ability to respond in the timeframe.  
Mason Cole:yes, i a  
Mason Cole:am  
Philip Corwin:To clarify, I'd hope the Res. would be clarified so that there is not a requirement for consecutive PDP use.  
Amr Elsadr:@Philip: It would be helpful if the language of the motion clarifies this.  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:ok  
Marika Konings:@Philip - what is the concern with regard to consecutive PDPs?  
Philip Corwin:There was a concern that the quick look might not be appropriate for a particular PDP. On other hand, I understand concerns that the test could run on at length if there is no timeframe for trial period.  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:agree with Marika  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:lower m hand  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:should be rephrased  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:phrased  
Marika Konings:@Philip - the idea would be that if it would be successful, it would apply to every PDP.  
Philip Corwin:Understood  
Marika Konings:but of course it doesn't mean that each PDP is of interest for the GAC to engage in - the response could be 'no, we don't believe there are any public policy implications'  
Stephanie Perrin:Just a question....does it matter if the three PDPs are on similar subject areas?  
Amr Elsadr:@Marika: Yes. Good point.  
Stephanie Perrin:Some issues are less controversial than others for the GAC..  
Marika Konings:@Stephanie - I don't think so (apart from the answer likely being the same ;-)  
Susan Kawaguchi:@ Marika, if the GAC's response is "no we don't believe there are any public policy implications" that would qualify as one of the PDP's?  
Stephanie Perrin:Yes that was my point....a mechanism cannot really be tested on items that are non-controversial.  
Amr Elsadr:Yes. Of course. This only involves the issue scoping phase and the QLM  
Philip Corwin:Good point Susan.  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:o the next 3 consecutive PDPs  
Amr Elsadr:@Jonathan: +1  
Susan Kawaguchi:I was under the impression the GAC would have to indicate there was a public policy issue  
Susan Kawaguchi:in the pdp to qualify  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:immediately following this motion  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:no objection  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:I dont have the document in my hand  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:maybe staff can make the changes  
Heather Forrest (IPC):To clarify - it is the 3 consecutive PDPs following adoption of this motion?  
Marika Konings:the changes are in brackets on the screen  
Marika Konings:@Heather - correct  
Heather Forrest (IPC):Thanks, Marika  
Amr Elsadr:The objective of this is only for the GAC to identify whether or not there are public policy issues in the issue scoping phase.  
Heather Forrest (IPC):@Phil - isn't that evaluation part of the process we're testing?  
Amr Elsadr:@Jonathan: +1  
Heather Forrest (IPC):Apologies - I meant "evaluation"  
Avri Doria:wow, dont even have to say aye any longer.  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:aye?  
Avri Doria:yeah  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez:ok  
Avri Doria:the ayes and the nays.  
Amr Elsadr:@Thomas: Absolutely agree.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond:@Amr: I can also confirm that the ALAC is also feeling the load/spread thin on all of the work taking place.

Amr Elsadr:@Thomas: Not at all!! Great work being done on the CCWG.

Amr Elsadr:@Olivier: Would be concerned if ALAC wasn't feeling the same way. :)

Olivier Crepin-Leblond:Frankly I do not know how the co-chairs of both CWG & CCWG have any life outside IANA & Accountability. :-)

Amr Elsadr:Thank you very much Thomas.

Thomas Rickert:You are most welcome

Mason Cole:I defer to Phil and Mary on this issue

Philip Corwin:I

Philip Corwin:I'll speak after Mary

Amr Elsadr:Thanks Phil. That's very helpful.

Steve Chan:@James, i can send the sign-up details to the Council list

Heather Forrest (IPC):I would be grateful to go back to the timeline slide, please

Marika Konings:I've released the slide deck so you can scroll the slide deck

Heather Forrest (IPC):Thanks, Marika, very helpful

Amr Elsadr:Thanks Jen. Good to know.

Marika Konings:The link is on slide 6

Mary Wong:The new public comment policy is just one period of 40 days, no reply period.

Mary Wong:The 40 days can of course be extended.

Larisa Gurnick:In addition to 40 interviews, 178 individual responded to the 360 Assessment

Larisa Gurnick:Stephanie, your concern about timing of the Draft Report has been reflected in the extended timeframe

Larisa Gurnick:and addressed.

Osvaldo Novoa 2:I am having a lot of echo

Heather Forrest (IPC):Thank you Jennifer

Mary Wong:The list of interviewees is on page 111 (Appendix 3) of the Working Text. - it includes Board members and participants from other SO/ACs

Marika Konings>Note that this report was shared with you on the mailing list.

Amr Elsadr:There was an attempt to have a pilot of this done with the "thick" whois PDP. Didn't work out so well. A 50% response rate is much more impressive. Good to hear that is the case.

James Bladel - RrSG - United States:Thanks to Lars & others for helping "drum up" responses to this self-assessment.

Marika Konings:It is something that is usually specified in the charter, whether or not a self-assessment is expected.

Mary Wong:We can/will forward to the GNSO Review Working Party and Westlake unless we hear otherwise within the next day or so.

Avri Doria:i am searching to my mute botton

Avri Doria:please go ahead

Thomas Rickert:The experience would likely be provided by individual ccTLD operators.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond:The workload is a real concern at the moment. How many CWGs/CCWGs can take place in parallel?

Bret Fausett, RySG:very good meeting. Thank you.

Volker G.:thank you jonathan

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:thank you

Mason Cole:Great work, everyone

Amr Elsadr:Thanks Jonathan and all. Very productive meeting. Bye.

David Cake:Thank you

David Olive:Thanks All

Olivier Crepin-Leblond:Thank you Jonathan. Thanks all.

Thomas Rickert:Wanted to go on the record thanking CLO for her contributions!

Heather Forrest (IPC):Bye all