As a framework for discussion, the following steps are suggested:

1) GAC publishes its communiqué 1b) optional: The ICANN board informs the GNSO council about the Communiqué and requests an analysis of the communiqué for issues that touch upon ongoing or new policy work

2) 1st post-meeting council session: The Council deliberates the GAC communiqué and instructs councilors take the communiqué to their stakeholder groups and constituencies for analysis of whether and if so how the communiqué touches upon, complements or contradicts existing policy, whether it impacts ongoing policy work or whether it suggests the initiation of new policy work. This review should exclude any opinion on such policy and be limited to the analysis whether policy would be affected and therefore should be obtainable within a turn-around time of 2 weeks.

3) 2nd post-meeting council session: The GNSO council deliberates input and drafts a letter to the ICANN board including a proposed response to the GAC

Potential responses could be:
- Council recommends initiation of new policy work based on GAC advice
- Council recommends informing the GAC that [suggestion] has been deliberated during previous or ongoing policy work and has been [accepted/rejected/partially accepted] by the community
- Council recommends revisiting prior PDP to analyse and deliberate the impact of suggested advice on existing policy.
- Council advises Board that existing policy already covers [suggestion] and [suggestion] is a mere question of implementation of said policy