Marika Konings: Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of 13 November 2014
Marilia Maciel: Hello, good morning.
Glen de Saint Gery: Good morning!
Stephanie Perrin: Good morning!
Glen de Saint Gery: Please all join the telephone line, and if you need a dial-out, please let me know, thanks
Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Hi all...evening here @Stephanie :) 
Stephanie Perrin: Good evening Carol!
Josh Baulch: @ Glen - Audio stream is up and running, here you all well and clear
Josh Baulch: hear *
Josh Baulch: Hear* :) 
Glen de Saint Gery: Thank you very much Josh
Heather Forrest: Hello everyone
Carlos Raul: good morning
Carlos Raul: could not register properly
Carlos Raul: but im inside as a guest
Carlos Raul: not sure i have audio
Carlos Raul: can somebody test audio pls
Mary Wong: @ Carlos, can you hear Glen and Volker?
Carlos Raul: nope
Osvaldo Novoa: Hello all, I'll dial in now. I don't have audio also
Carlos Raul: what is the right username?
Carlos Raul: can you call me ~+506 4000 2000 please
Mary Wong: @ Carlos, @ Osvaldo, you have to call in. There is no audio in Adobe
Mary Wong: @ Carlos, Glen has your number. She will arrange the dial out. Thanks!
David Olive: Welcome Everyone
Carlos Raul: there is no Costa Rica number in the list......
Carlos Raul: txs
Marika Konings: Please note that there is no audio via AC for this meeting, you are expected to dial in via the conference bridge
Carlos Raul: +506 4000 2000
Osvaldo Novoa: I am online now
Mary Wong: Excellent. The AC audio is being used for the live stream of the call, which is why you've had to call in.
Carlos Raul: im online
Bladel: Ug...so early for the California folks. Sorry Susan and others. :)
Bret Fausett, RySG: Awful time.
Bladel: Too late to stay up, too soon to get up early....
Bret Fausett, RySG: Exactly
Avri Doria: and yes none of us had an objection to approving them. hmmm.
Susan Kawaguchi: Thanks James, reminds me of WRT calls
Bladel: :)
Dan Reed: Nothing like watching the sun rise during a meeting :-) 
Bladel: However I would trade California 2 hours on the clock for their temperature right now.... Winter has arrived.
Dan Reed: Yes, a bit cold in the US midwest
Carlos Raul: I'm online txs
Carlos Raul: by phone I mean
Marika Konings:@Thomas - we should probably update observers to participants in the last resolved clause?
Avri Doria:David Cake indicates he is having trouble getting into the call.
Cheryl Langdon-Orr: good catch @marika
Avri Doria: ok
Bladel: Seconded (if you need one)
Marika Konings: David Cake has joined the audio bridge
Marika Konings: To re-emphasize Thomas’s point, the WG is open to as many participants from any group who are expected to participate actively and at the same level as members that are appointed by SO/ACs.
Marika Konings: Members have a specific role in ensuring communication and coordination with the chartering organization as a whole and as such the views of the whole so all views of the chartering organization should be taken into account as such (and not only the view of the constituency/interest group/SG the member hails from)
Mary Wong: FYI the CWG-Transition and the CWG-Principles have a similar membership structure. Both are ongoing efforts.
Dan Reed: Thanks, Marika
Avri Doria: That is work for the GNSO Review.
Avri Doria: As I remember I was the only person in the Drafting Team for this organizational model that objected to the model we are living under. It was not enforced, it was agreed to by all.
Heather Forrest: Indeed, apologies
Thomas Rickert: no worries :-)
David Cake: I generally agree with James, Avri.
Gabriela Szlak: I will post here
Gabriela Szlak: the concerns in the charter that we have are regarding the combination of work stream on and two
Gabriela Szlak: by trying to align work stream 1 with the timeline for the IANA CWG, which is already weeks into its work, we may both be limiting the range of issues deemed suitable for the work stream (since complex issues will jeopardize the timeline) and providing inadequate time for the consideration of those issues deemed relevant. The other concern is that once the IANA transition is completed community leverage for the implementation of work stream 2 recommendations may be substantially diluted.
Donna Austin, RySG: @Gabi, my understanding is that no transition will happen until the Accountability discussion is complete at least as it relates to the transition issues.
Mathieu: You are right Donna
Bladel: Thanks Gabi & Donna.
Avri Doria: Every constituency can have as many participants as it wishes.
Amr Elsadr: Hi. I just joined the call. Really sorry about being so late. Mixed up the call time!!
Marika Konings: Amr has joined the phone bridge
Thomas Rickert: Thanks!
Marika Konings: Correct
Marika Konings: FYI, the second motion on the agenda does qualify for absentee voting.
Marika Konings: as it concerns recommend amendments to the GNSO Operating Procedures (GOP) or ICANN Bylaws;
Mathieu: Thank you everyone for your support to the Charter, I will leave the call now.

Thomas Rickert: Thanks Mathieu for joining. This has been very helpful!

Bladel: +1. Thanks Matthieu

Gabriela Szlak: thanks Matthiue and Thomas

Thomas Rickert: You are most welcome, Gabi.

Avri Doria: did i say too much. sorry.

Amr Elsadr: Avri..., I thought you did really well. :) 

Heather Forrest: will we discuss the amendment?

Amr Elsadr: @Heather: I'd be happy to if you have any questions/concerns.

Gabriela Szlak: I want to back Thomas comments

Marika Konings: Both Avri and Gabriele confirmed on the mailing list their support for the proposed amendments so these were considered friendly.

Marika Konings: and note that these were amendments to the motion, not the proposed recommendations from the SCI

Volker Greimann: Procedurally, any motion accepted by its submitter and seconder is automatically considered friendly

Volker Greimann: any amendment, that is

Volker Greimann: amendment, even

Heather Forrest: But if the motion is intended to express that which was agreed by the SCI, the motion does not do this vis a vis resubmitted motions

Marilia Maciel: At least logic says the waiver would apply. If the motion is already known (at least partially) then it could be analysed more expeditiously I think.

Heather Forrest: Thank you, Volker. I appreciate your explanation. From the comments on this list it is not clear that everyone is in agreement as to what the SCI decided

Amr Elsadr: There was also language proposed back in April to address this in the SCI's recommendation, but the SCI opted not to adopt it.

Avri Doria: Amr, indeed. We had full consensus on passing thogh the changes as currently submitted, as is the rule of the SCI.

Volker Greimann: indeed, heather. Conceivably, an amendment could be accepted as friendly that completely reverses the intent of the motion. It would probably not pass, but as long as the submitter agrees to accept it

Volker Greimann: reverses, that is

Mason Cole: all, my phone line has cut out. trying to redial now.

Heather Forrest: I very much appreciate your comments; there just isn't clarity on this in the constituency

Marika Konings: @Mason - let us know if you need a dial out.

Mason Cole: Thanks Marika, I'm back in

Mary Wong: @Heather, the Council could consider the issue specifically if it wishes, so it can be an item for Council discussion if IPC believes a clarification is necessary.

Heather Forrest: Thank you, Mary. I will take that back

Mary Wong: :)

Amr Elsadr: Body? :)

Heather Forrest: As will I

Bladel: Thank you Marika.

Donna Austin, RySG: @Mary re SSAC report, is that a report being worked on or has it been published?

Gabriela Szlak: I was disconnected

Gabriela Szlak: dialing in again
Marika Konings:@Gabriela - let us know if you need a dial-out
Mary Wong:Hi Donna - I believe it has been published.
Gabriela Szlak:I am back
Mary Wong:@Donna, the two SSAC advisories are SSAC064 (Search List Processing) and SSAC066 (Mitigating Name Space Collisions). As noted, the former does not seem to raise any GNSO-related policy concerns, but some of the issues touched on in the latter might be helpful as the GNSO considers the overall mitigation framework in view of the staff paper recently sent to the GNSO.
Donna Austin, RySG:Thanks Mary
Mary Wong:As noted also, one specific item that may be of policy interest concerns the criteria/policy principles for generating a reserved names list.
Mary Wong:You're very welcome!
Amr Elsadr:I don't think the WG needs to ask council for permission to reach out to the GAC.
Mary Wong:@Donna, that could take up a whole lifetime of public international law debate :)
Amr Elsadr:@Marika: OK. Thanks. We reached out to the GAC on the translation/transliteration PDP, although this was during the input at early stage. We also reached out to specific GAC members at that time for input as well.
Bladel:Good question. Every issue has public policy implications.
Heather Forrest:Public interest has legal implications
Marika Konings:@Amr - correct, that falls within the remit of the WG as it is part of the effort to obtain early input from SO/ACs
Marika Konings:as required per the PDP Manual
Amr Elsadr:Thanks for the clarification, Marika. :) 
Donna Austin, RySG:@Heather, I don't think the GAC uses the term very loosely
Donna Austin, RySG:sorry, I think the GAC does use the term loosely
Dan Reed:Yes, public interest is very much a legal issue. Look at net neutrality as an example
Amr Elsadr:I don't find the term "public interest" very helpful. It's a very subjective term as far as I'm concerned.
Heather Forrest:Agreed, and this is unfortunate as it ignores a framework to which they are bound as nation states
David Cake:Agree with Carlos - GAC must be the one to decide if an issue has public policy implications.
Heather Forrest:Should have clarified, I agree with Donna.
Mary Wong:All, the following letter from Cherine Chalaby (NGPC) to Steve Crocker (Board chair) on the GAC-GNSO policy roles may be of interest: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/chalaby-to-crocker-14oct14-en.pdf
Heather Forrest:I support Carlos in this role, an excellent choice
Gabriela Szlak:I also support Carlos for this role
Amr Elsadr:Also a +1 for Carlos.
Bladel 2:Thank you for volunteering, Carlos.
Carlos Raul:thank you all
Avri Doria:not fair - i need someone to take my seat and have been looking for months.
Bladel 2: Avri - let's chat offline....

Marika Konings: For item 9.1, we are still looking for two volunteers for the Council liaison position, namely the curative rights protections for IGO/INGOs PDP and the Data & Metrics for Policy Making Non-PDP WG. Any volunteers??

Susan Kawaguchi: I will volunteer for the curative rights protections for IGO/INGOs PDP.

Bladel 2: +10 min is fine
Dan Reed: 10 min is fine
Amr Elsadr: I can stay on for another 10 minutes.
David Cake: Ok by me
Mason Cole: 10 +1
Maria Maciel: ok
Susan Kawaguchi: 10 min works for me
Bladel 2: We're just missing the sunrise over here in the US. :) Odvaldo Novoa: Sorry, I cannot stay longer than the hour
Gabriela Szlak: my neck complians but I can stay
Gabriela Szlak::)
Stephanie Perrin: +1
Donna Austin, RySG: i can say
Donna Austin, RySG: stay
Heather Forrest: ok but now Friday midnight
Amr Elsadr: @James: An Arizona sunrise is something I wouldn't mind sneaking a peek at. :)

Berry Cobb: CWG-Stewardship Wiki Space where draft documents and other materials are stored:
https://community.icann.org/display/gnsocwgtstwrdshp/CWG+to+Develop+an+IANA +Stewardship+Transition+Proposal+on+Naming+Related+Functions

Bladel 2: Me too! I live in Iowa. :)
Amr Elsadr: @james: Whoops!! :)
Bladel 2: :)

Tony Holmes: Have to leave to catch a train - thanks all
Berry Cobb: DMPM - Tuesdays, 21UTC every other week. Next meeting 18 Nov.
Amr Elsadr: @James: My eagerness is partly motivated by the fact that we're starting the dark winter months in the Arctic!! :P

Marika Konings: We'll inform all the WGs accordingly of the new appointments and will work with Jonathan on an official notice to the ccNSO regarding the liaison to the ccNSO

Bladel 2: Amr: Same here. Not looking forward to it this Winter, but it literally "comes with the territory."

Dan Reed: +1 on what James just said
Heather Forrest: Agreed
Bladel 2: Or maybe I wasn't seeing it correctly.
Bladel 2: My concern is that we were treating it as a job description: "Seeking a multi-lingual uber-lawer with C-level experience in technology business and finance, with a background in software engineering and DNS security."

Susan Kawaguchi: and I saw it as a broad list of possible experience areas that should be considered

Bladel 2: @Susan: Yes, I see it that way now. Maybe we just need to clarify.
Volker Greimann: I think it could be interpreted both ways.
Bladel 2: However - if we ever actually FOUND such a person...get them on the Board please. :)  
Susan Kawaguchi: haha or just hire them!
Stephanie Perrin: Indeed, I think the list is an impressive one and it needs to be clear that it is a menu not a list of requirements.
Volker Greimann: who could afford them?
Volker Greimann: strike that, facebook could ;-
Bladel 2: @Volker: only ICANN.
Mason Cole: thanks Mary
Mary Wong: :)
Amr Elsadr: Yes please. Would appreciate getting them.
Heather Forrest: +1
Amr Elsadr: Thanks all. Bye.
Dan Reed: Bye
Osvaldo Novoa: sorry but I have to leave now. Best to all
David Olive: Thanks All
Mason Cole: Good job Volker, thanks
Stephanie Perrin: Thanks all! All our best to Jonathan.
Marília Maciel: Thank you all. Bye
David Cake: Thank you all.