Marika Konings: Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of 24 July 2014

Jonathan Robinson: Hello and welcome to everyone.

Magaly Pazello: Good morning everybody.

O. Novoa: Hello everyone

Gabriela Szlak: Hello!

David Cake: hello

David Olive: Welcome Everyone

Amr Elsadr: Hi all. Just joined the call.

Gabriela Szlak: sorry for the cough, just muted myself again

Bladel: I wasn't doing anything special, just sleeping. :)

Amr Elsadr: Thnx.

Dan Reed: Nothing like watching the sun rise during a morning call :-)

Gabriela Szlak::)

Volker Greimann: dialing in now, apologies

Volker Greimann: had the wrong number first

Amr Elsadr: I'm fine then. :)

Bladel: :)

Gabriela Szlak: thanks Amr
Marika Konings: On my previous point re. the whois conflicts procedure review, the proposed process in the paper that is out now for public comments notes that 'Any proposed changes to implementation of the Whois Procedure would be presented to the GNSO Council to confirm that the proposed changes do not change the intent of the policy recommendations.'

Mary Wong: The one specific "next step" in the Board-initiated PDP is the publication of a Final Issue Report; given the time and work that's elapsed btwn the Prelim IR and now (including the actual EWG Final Report), it was thought that early feedback would be helpful as the GNSO subsequently comes to forming the PDP WG.

Marika Konings: Please see process on the screen

Mary Wong: A proposed draft charter was sent by Olivier on 17 June 2014

Avri Doria: has any other group approved the charter yet?

Mary Wong: Jonathan, the outstanding item on the charter for feedback was on the normalization of votes (no consensus on the DT for that, apparently)

Avri Doria: I also understand that there is some difference of opinion in the group itself about membership levels.

Volker Greimann: do we need to have two votes on this? One for the motion and one for the attached proposals?

Mary Wong: @Volker, the motion as currently presented incorporates the proposal so our suggestion is for the Council to first get to a point of comfort on the proposal before voting.

Volker Greimann: understood

Avri Doria: perhaps we should vote on each of the proposed amendments separately. and if we approve any of the amendments, then we reconstitute the WG

Jonathan Robinson: All. We have until 15 pst the hour maximum to discuss this IGO/INGO motion in order to accommodate Maguy Serad for the next item
Avri Doria: perhaps this needs a DT to consider the amendments

Amr Elsadr: @James: +1

Avri Doria: prior to voting.

Bladel: Right, if we could just add that to our list of questions

Bladel: thanks.

Avri Doria: or at least discussions among the council on each of the amendments specifically.

Volker Greimann: Well, the amendments I have seen so far would not get a yes

Avri Doria: has the motion been withdrawn, or just mentioned as possibly withdrawn.
Bladel: We might need an FAQ on this topic.

Ching Chiao (DotAsia): good point James

Avri Doria: it is like the first reading of a bill.

Avri Doria: we have to approve the amendments up front, but if given sufficient reason could later change our minds.

Amr Elsadr: @Volker: Agreed..., especially that no reasoning has been provided. If council is going to make amendments and ask the WG to consider them, the WG members need something to work with, don't they?

Gabriela Szlak: Sorry I got the internet off for a few minutes and now is back. I am callin in again

Gabriela Szlak: I am here

Alan Greenberg: I think the WG could "correct" what Council asked of it and then GNSO could do a 2nd cycle.
Jonathan Robinson: PLEASE NOTE WE HAVE TO CONCLUDE BY 15 PAST IN ORDER TO ACCOMODATE MAGUY

Alan Greenberg: Presumably the 2nd cycle should bounce back from the WG quickly.

Jonathan Robinson: If necessary, we can come back to this but we need to accommodate Maguy

_Volker Greimann_: noted

Avri Doria: I think we can send them any amendment we wish. they are limited to the amendment we send

_Volker Greimann_: yes, we already wasted her time once. should not become a habit

Alan Greenberg: We MUST be able to re-open a discussed issue!!!! Otherwise we are saying we and the WG are infallible and all-knowing about future changes.

Avri Doria: agreee, can we table this discussion for now.

Marika Konings: But to add, it would only apply to recommendations that have not yet been approved by the ICANN Board, but which have been approved by the GNSO Council

Mary Wong: @Marika, yes, absolutely - sorry I forgot to mention that other limitation!

Alan Greenberg: Should const/SG really pre-judge the deliberations and rationale the WG will come up with?

Avri Doria: Alan, in this case, according to this procedure, I think the answer is yes.

David Cake: I agree with Alan that re-opening a discussed issu needs to be possible. We are not infallible.
David Cake: but how much latitude this rule gives us to that is another question.

Avri Doria: David, I agree as well. The point is we have to agree on what we are recommending to them, at least under this rule - semantic of what approve means aside. And otherwise I think we need new rules to allow us to do what Alan suggests. This rule does not do it.

Alan Greenberg: Maguy fading. Speak louder if possible.


Bladel: Thank you Maggie.

Brian Winterfeldt: Thank you Maggie!

Marika Konings: @Jonathan - ideally, yes

Alan Greenberg: I presume Maguy's presentation will be distributed?

Marika Konings: @Alan - it has been posted here: http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule/wed-gnso-council/presentation-gnso-council-compliance-25jun14-en.pdf

Alan Greenberg: Thanks!

Amr Elsadr: James..., you answered a question I had, but to be clear; the group will submit its proposal directly to the NTIA? No need to go through ICANN as an organization, correct?

Bladel: Correct, that is my understanding Alan

Bladel: sorry, Amr. :)

Amr Elsadr: Thanks.

Bladel: In addition to me, the GNSO delegation is Jon Nevett, Keith Drazek, Wolf Ulrich-Knoblen, and Milton Mueller.
Marika Konings: For recording / transcript of that meeting, please see https://community.icann.org/display/soaceinputfdbck/Event+Calendar

David Olive: Thank you, Marika for providing this link to the Council

Amr Elsadr: Yes..., thanks John.

Bladel: Oh, thank you John. Didn't see that. :)


Amr Elsadr: My feeling is that there is some confusion, but yes..., we should pick that up on the group I suppose. Thanks.

Amr Elsadr: Yes. Thanks..., particularly concerning the working group.

Bladel: Thank you, Jonathan & STaff & Colleagues.

Magaly Pazello: Thank you!

Amr Elsadr: Thanks all. Bye.

Gabriela Szlak: Thanks everyone

David Olive: Thank you All

Brian Winterfeldt: Thanks all!

David Olive: Thanks Jonathan