Introduction

David Olive

*Vice President for Policy Development Support*
ICANN 50: In Focus

- ICANN Accountability
- Transition of NTIA Stewardship of IANA Functions
- High-Level Government Meeting
- Second At-Large Summit
- Commonwealth DNS Forum
ICANN 50 Outlook

- Welcome Ceremony on Monday
- Constituency Day sessions on Tuesday
- Gala on Wednesday
- Public Forum and Board Meeting on Thursday
Policy Development at ICANN

ICANN Supporting Organizations
- GNSO | Generic Names Supporting Organization
- ccNSO | Country-Code Names Supporting Organization
- ASO | Address Supporting Organization

ICANN Advisory Committees
- ALAC | At-Large Advisory Committee
- SSAC | Security & Stability Advisory Committee
- RSSAC | Root Server System Advisory Committee
- GAC | Governmental Advisory Committee
WHAT DOES ICANN DO?
To reach another person on the Internet you have to type an address into your device – a name or a number. That address must be unique, so computers will know where to find each other. ICANN maintains and administers these unique identifiers across the world. Without ICANN’s management of this system, known as the Domain Name System or DNS, we wouldn’t have a global, scalable Internet where we can find each other.

Community-Driven Policy
To keep pace with dynamic technologies and rapid innovation, ICANN enables consensus-driven, multistakeholder policy development, with broad representation from the global Internet community.

Multistakeholder Model:
Civil Society & Internet Users, the Private Sector, National & International Organizations, Governments, Research, Academic and Technical Communities are all represented.

HOW DO I PARTICIPATE?
• Sign up for updates at myicann.org
• Join one of the many Public Comment Forums on ICANN’s website
• Attend ICANN’s Public Meetings in person or online to provide input at a Public Forum
• Join one of ICANN’s Supporting Organizations or Advisory Committees

WHO’S INVOLVED?
A number of groups, each of which represents a different interest on the Internet. All of them come together with the Board of Directors to shape ICANN decisions.

Supporting Organizations
• Addressing
• Country Code Names
• Generic Names

Advisory Committees
• At-Large
• Governmental
• Root Server System
• Security & Stability

Technical Advisory Bodies
• Technical Liaison Group
• Internet Engineering Task Force

Board of Directors

WHAT FUNCTION DOES ICANN COORDINATE?
• Domain Name System (DNS)
• Internet Protocol (IP) address allocation
• Protocol-Parameter Registry
• Root Server Systems
• Generic Top-Level Domain name (gTLD) system management
• Country Code Top-Level Domain name (ccTLD) DNS
• Time zone database management

Security & Stability
ICANN supports DNS security through technical training and engagement, coordinating and collaborating with the community in the implementation of standards such as DNSSEC.

Interoperability
ICANN’s work enables new technologies to flourish while maintaining interoperability across the global Internet. For example, management of the unique protocol identifiers allows communication using secure connections between users.

Contractual Compliance
ICANN oversees the contracts it maintains and enforces the consensus policies developed through the community-driven process. ICANN’s Contractual Compliance function seeks to ensure compliance with the agreements and the consensus policies.

For more information or to get involved, please visit www.ICANN.org
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Goals for this webinar

- Update on current policy work and encourage participation
- Review issues to be discussed
- Brief on upcoming initiatives and opportunities to provide input
- Answer any questions and solicit feedback
- Webinar hashtag: #ICANNPolicy
Topics Covered in this Session

- Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part D
- Cross-Community WG Operating Principles
- Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues
- Protection of IGO/INGO Identifiers in all gTLDs
- Issue Report for Curative Rights Protections for IGOs and INGOs
- Other projects
  - Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information
  - Data & Metrics for Policy Making
  - Policy & Implementation
  - GNSO Review

Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO)
Topics Covered in this Session

Country Code Supporting Organization (ccNSO)
- Framework of Interpretation WG
- IANA Stewardship Transition Process & ICANN’s Accountability Process
- CWG Use of Names of Countries and Territories

Address Supporting Organization (ASO)
- ASO Address Council
- ASO Participation at ICANN 50
- Policy Activities

Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)
- Restructure Update
- Caucus Formation
- RSSAC Activities at ICANN 50
Topics Covered in this Session

Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)
- Recent Activities
- SSAC Events in London
- SSAC 066

Government Advisory Committee (GAC)
- Overview
- Current Policy Activities

At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)
- Policy Activities between Singapore and London
- ATLAS II
GNSO
Policy Issues
@ICANN_GNSO
Current issues being discussed in the GNSO

• Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy
• Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation
• Data & Metrics for Policy Making
• Policy & Implementation
• Protection of IGO/INGO Identifiers
• WHOIS –Purpose of gTLD registration data, translation & transliteration of registration data
• Cross-Community WG Principles
• GNSO Review
• Others – currently there are over 15 projects underway
Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part D

Lars Hoffmann
Why is it important?

- The IRTP is a 2004 consensus policy developed through the GNSO’s policy development process (PDP)
- Straightforward process for registrants to transfer domain names between registrars
- Currently under review to ensure improvements and clarification – nr 1. area of consumer complaints according to data from ICANN Compliance
What is it about?

IRTP Part D tasked to address 6 Charter Questions related to the IRTP, four related to the Transfer Dispute Resolution Policy (TDRP), one related to penalties and one regarding use of Forms of Authorization (FOAs)
Recent Developments

- Initial Report published prior to ICANN49 in Singapore together with public comment forum
- Four comments were submitted
- Comments were largely supportive of the Working Group’s preliminary recommendations but two issues received additional community feedback:
  - The draft recommendation to abandon registries as the Transfer-Dispute Resolution Policy’s first-level dispute provider;
  - The draft recommendation to maintain FOAs.
As a result, the WG is further reviewing these issues
Next Steps

- WG F2F meeting in London to discuss outstanding issues and finalize recommendations.
- Final Report expected to be submitted to the GNSO Council shortly after the London meeting for consideration.
- Provided GNSO Council adopts the Final Report, public comment forum will be opened prior to Board consideration.

More info: www.tinyurl.com/t-tpdp
Further Information

- [http://tinyurl.com/irtpwiki](http://tinyurl.com/irtpwiki)
- [http://tinyurl.com/irtpinitialreport](http://tinyurl.com/irtpinitialreport)
Cross Community Working Group (CWG) for a Framework of CWG Operating Principles

Mary Wong
Why is it important?

- Long-recognized need for a framework for effective collaboration across ICANN’s Supporting Organizations & Advisory Committees (SO/ACs) on issues of common interest

- But each SO/AC has its own remit; operating procedures may also differ across SO/ACs – though there have been some successful CWGs

2012: GNSO drafted initial framework of principles for discussion with other SO/Acs

2013: ccNSO provided detailed feedback suggesting clarifications and additions
Recent Developments & Next Steps

March 2014: ccNSO & GNSO Councils approved charter for a CWG to take forward initial work:

- Develop final general framework of principles for formation, chartering, operations, decision making and termination of future CWGs

CWG currently analyzing how previous CWGs were chartered

- Goal is to produce finalized framework for community discussion within the next few months
Further Information and How to Get Involved

• CWG Workspace: https://community.icann.org/x/rQbPAQ
• Staff Briefing Paper: https://community.icann.org/x/JpHhAg
• Background to CWG Formation:
  http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/cross-community

Attend the CWG’s session at ICANN 50 on Monday 23 June
http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule/mon-framework-op-principles
Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues PDP

Mary Wong
Why is it important?

June 2013: new Registrar Accreditation Agreement (RAA) approved by ICANN Board
• RAA negotiations included high-priority topics identified by community
• Use & accreditation of privacy & proxy services was the single issue outstanding from the negotiations
• ICANN committed to privacy/proxy accreditation program
• 2013 RAA contains temporary privacy/proxy specification (runs to 1/1/2017 or start of ICANN accreditation program)

Oct 2013: GNSO commences Board-requested PDP on remaining issue from the RAA negotiations
Recent Developments & Next Steps

Working Group (WG) has begun addressing each question listed in its Charter

Questions cover 7 categories:

- General issues
- Maintenance & Registration of P/P services
- Contact points for P/P services
- Relay & Reveal procedures
- Termination

WG aims to produce initial Report by early 2015
Further Information and How to Get Involved

- WG Workspace: [https://community.icann.org/x/9iCfAg](https://community.icann.org/x/9iCfAg)
- Background to WG formation: [http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/ppsai](http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/ppsai)

*Attend the WG’s session at ICANN50 on Wednesday 25 June - [http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule/wed-ppsai](http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule/wed-ppsai)*
Protection of IGO & INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs PDP

Mary Wong
Why is it important?

- GNSO initiated PDP (Oct 2012) to evaluate need & develop policy recommendations for protecting identifiers of Int’l Govt’l Organizations (IGOs) & Int’l Non-Govt’l Organizations (INGOs including the Red Cross & Int’l Olympic Committee) at top & second level in all gTLDs
- Several GAC Communiques were issued on the need for IGO, RC & IOC protections at top & second level in the New gTLD Program
- ICANN Board had directed certain interim protections be put in place for IGOs, RC & IOC in the New gTLD Program while GNSO develops recommendations
Recent Developments

• GNSO Council unanimously adopts all 25 of the WG’s consensus recommendations; sends Recommendations Report to ICANN Board (Nov 2013)

• ICANN Board acknowledges receipt, directs New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) to develop proposal taking into account GAC advice & the GNSO recommendations (March 2014)

• NGPC sends proposal to the GAC; notifies GNSO

• ICANN Board adopts those GNSO recommendations “not inconsistent with GAC advice” (April 2014)
Next Steps

- Recommendations adopted relate to top & second level protections for IGO & INGO names – GNSO did not recommend protecting acronyms
- Implementation Review Team being formed – need to consider interim protections put into place before this and analyze implementation also for “legacy” gTLDs
- Board to facilitate dialogue between GAC & GNSO to resolve remaining differences – mostly relating to IGO acronyms and certain RC identifiers
- Possible PDP to refine curative rights protections for IGOs & INGOs
Further Information


Issue Report on Curative Rights Protections for IGOs & INGOs

Mary Wong
Why is it important?

• The IGO-INGO PDP WG recommended initiating a PDP to consider amending existing curative rights protection measures – Uniform Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) & Uniform Rapid Suspension procedure (URS) to enable access to them by IGOs & INGOs

• IGOs by their status have problems submitting to national jurisdiction (as required by UDRP & URS)

• IGOs & INGOs may not be trademark owners (basis for protection under UDRP & URS)
Recent Developments & Next Steps

- GNSO Council requests Issue Report – mandatory preceding step to a possible PDP (Nov 2013)
- Preliminary Issue Report published for public comment (March 2014)
- Public comments analyzed; Final Issue Report submitted to GNSO Council for vote on whether to initiate a PDP (May 2014)
What might a possible PDP cover?

Issue Report recommends:
- Initiate PDP to: (1) explore whether UDRP & URS should be amended; and (2) if so develop policy recommendations accordingly
- This could include developing a separate, narrow dispute resolution procedure specific to IGOs & INGOs, modeled on UDRP & URS
- PDP to be limited to those IGO & INGO identifiers listed for protection by the IGO-INGO PDP WG
- Further data research and analysis of prior efforts should be done as an initial phase of work
- Should not overlap with upcoming (2015) potential PDP to review all gTLD rights protection mechanisms (i.e. preventative & curative, not limited only to UDRP & URS)
Further Information


Other GNSO Projects
Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information PDP Working Group

What is it about?
The PDP WG deals with the question of whether gTLD Directory contact information should be translated and/or transliterated. This is an important issue because of the DNS’s internationalization – especially in countries that do not use Latin script. The on-going efforts to reform gTLD Directory Services further add to the need to address this issue through GNSO policy.

Status (June 2014)
The WG is currently evaluating input from SO/ACs and SG/Cs to assure they are taken into account when formulating its initial recommendations.

Next steps
The WG is gathering for a F2F meeting in London and plans to publish its Initial Report in time for ICANN51 in Los Angeles (see http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule/wed-transliteration-contact)

More info: www.tinyurl.com/t-tpdp
Data & Metrics for Policy Making non-PDP

What is it about?
• The WG is exploring opportunities to review standard methodologies of reporting and metrics that could better inform fact-based policy development and decision making; including how the community can collaborate with contracted parties and other service providers in the sharing of metrics and data.

Status (June 2014)
• Evaluated previous GNSO WG efforts as use-cases to detect gaps in use of data & metrics for its deliberations (Fast Flux, PEDNR, AGP)

Next steps
• Continue due diligence, establish current state baseline, define framework for collaborating with contracted parties for data demands

More info: http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/dftpmm
Policy & Implementation

What is it about?
GNSO has formed a Working Group to address issues that have been raised in the context of the recent discussions on policy & implementation that affect the GNSO such as developing a process for developing policy advice other than “Consensus Policy” and a framework for implementation related discussions associated with GNSO Policy Recommendations

Status
• WG started its deliberations in Aug – broad participation and interest
• Conducted outreach to all SO/ACs to obtain input at an early stage
• WG has developed working definitions & principles and has now started deliberating on charter questions

Next steps
• F2F Meeting in London, open to anyone interested on Wednesday 25 June from 15.30 – 17.00

More info:
http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule/wed-policy-implementation
GNSO Review

What is it about?
ICANN’s Bylaws require that its structures, including the GNSO, be reviewed on a five-year cycle. This review is part of ICANN’s commitment to continuous improvement, accountability and transparency. It uses mechanisms and measures to maintain public confidence in the viability, reliability and accountability of ICANN. The GNSO Review addresses the new, improved approach to conducting reviews. It will inform the work on evolving ICANN’s Review Framework, as part of the broader accountability discussion.

Status
A GNSO Review Working Party has been assembled to act as a liaison between the GNSO, the independent examiner and the SIC. Preparatory working taking place now – review scheduled to kick-off on 1 July

Next steps
• GNSO Review Working Party in London on Sunday 22 June from 17.00 – 18.30 (see http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule/sun-gnso-review)

More info: https://community.icann.org/x/OJLhAg
ccNSO Policy Issues

@ccNSO

Bart Boswinkel
ccNSO Focus London

- Framework of Interpretation WG
- IANA Stewardship Transition Process & ICANN’s Accountability Process
- CWG Use of Names of Countries and Territories
- Other sessions
Framework of Interpretation: Purpose and Scope

• No new Policy, but interpretation of existing policy
  • Basic policy document: RFC 1591, 1994

• No ccPDP -> CWG structure

• ccNSO and GAC have to support recommendations
Framework of Interpretation WG Topics

- Obtaining and documenting consent
- Obtaining and documenting support from Significantly Interested Parties (Local Internet Community or LIC)
- Revocation and un-consented re-delegations
- IANA reporting on delegation and re-delegation.
- Glossary of Terms
Progress Framework of Interpretation WG

- WG full consensus on Interpretation around Revocation/un-consented re-delegations, Significantly Interested Parties and Consent
  - Major substantive topics
- Glossary of terms full Consensus WG
- Final Report, including all recommendations under discussion
- Final Report to be submitted to ccNSO and GAC for support (post London meeting)
IANA Stewardship Transition & ICANN Accountability Process: ccNSO activity and meetings

- Submissions ccNSO Council
- London sessions
  - Interview Panel on perspectives on ICANNs Accountability
    - Tuesday 12-13.00
  - Discussion on Accountability and IANA Stewardship Transition
    - Wednesday 14.00-15.30
  - Topic for meetings with the GNSO, Board and GAC
CWG Use of Names of Countries as TLDs

• Purpose & Scope
  – Review representations of country and territory names, under current ICANN policies, guidelines and procedures
  – consistent and uniform definitional framework, applicable across the respective SO’s and AC’s, and provide detailed advice as to the content of the framework
  – Limited to: country and territory names on ISO 3166-1 and TLDs!
Deliverables

- Work Plan and schedule
- Progress Papers: to inform community on progress
- Final Report
  - Interim Paper
  - Final Paper
  - Supplemental paper (if required)
Other Activities

• Tech Day (Monday)
  – Name Server Roundtable

• ccNSO Meeting sessions
  – Security session
  – Panel ccTLD-ICANN accredited Registrars

Standardize one size does not fit all?
  – ccTLD news session
Further information

- SOP WG submissions
  - http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/sopiwg.htm
- FoI WG overview
  - http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/foiwg.htm
- ccWG use of Country and Territory names
  - http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/ccwg-unct.htm
- ccNSO meetings agenda
  - http://ccnso.icann.org/meetings/london50
- Tech Day agenda
  - http://ccnso.icann.org/meetings/london50/presentations.htm
- General information
  - http://ccnso.icann.org
ASO Policy Issues

Carlos Reyes
ASO Address Council

The ASO Address Council has 15 members, 3 from each region, and one person per region is elected each year.

The regional policy forum of each RIR selects two members.

The Executive Board of each RIR also appoints one person from its respective region.

This year marks the 15th anniversary of the creation of the ASO per a 1999 MoU that was replaced in 2004 with a new MoU.
ASO Participation at ICANN 50

- The ASO AC will be meeting formally at ICANN 50
- Additionally, many of the NRO Number Council members will be in London
- The ASO will also meet with the ICANN Board
- The ASO will be briefing the ICANN community 13:30 to 15:00 on 25 June
Policy Activities

Advice
• ICANN’s IANA department requested to know whether IPv4 allocations should be made immediately after the allocation phase of the policy was activated or at the start of the next allocation period.
• The ASO AC advised ICANN to make an immediate allocation.

Implementation
• The allocation phase was activated when LACNIC’s inventory of IPv4 address space fell below 8.3 million addresses on 20 May.
• ICANN’s IANA department immediately allocated each RIR about 2 million addresses, using about half of the recovered pool.

Emergency meeting
• The ASO AC discussed the end of 16-bit Autonomous System Number availability at an emergency meeting on 23 April.
• The ASO AC determined that no change in policy can be made but that it should consult the regions on the impact of the runout.
RSSAC Update

Steve Sheng
Restructure Update

• The RSSAC continues to make progress on its restructure effort

• The RSSAC’s operational procedures document is undergoing another round of edits and is nearing completion

• A Membership Committee was established in November 2013 to evaluate potential members of RSSAC
Caucus Formation

- The Executive Committee—in coordination with the Membership Committee—approved a working definition, membership requirements, and application process for interested and qualified parties to join the RSSAC Caucus.

- Caucus formation information has disseminated by the Membership Committee across the technical community (including IETF and IAB).
RSSAC Activities at ICANN 50

- The RSSAC has a public information session on its recent activities planned for Monday 23 June, 13:30-15:00

- The RSSAC will have several working sessions throughout the week

- A meeting with the Board is also planned
SSAC Update

Julie Hedlund and Steve Sheng
Recent SSAC Activities

- Comments on the JAS Phase I Report
- IANA Functions Stewardship Transition Work Party
- Identifier Abuse Metrics Work Party
- Public Suffix Lists Work Party
- Outreach to Law Enforcement Representatives
- SSAC Workshop at 2014 Internet Governance Forum
- SSAC Reports are available at:
SSAC Events in London

- DNSSEC for Everybody: A Beginner’s Guide, Monday, 23 June 2014, 5:00 pm Local Time, Thames Suite
- DNSSEC Workshop, Wednesday, 25 June, 8:30 am Local Time, Hilton 1-6
- SSAC Public Meeting, Thursday 26 June 2014, 8:00 am Local Time, Sandringham
**SAC 066: SSAC Comment on JAS Phase I Report on Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions**
Background

- “Namespace collision”: where a name that is defined and used in one namespace may also appear in another.
- Users and applications intending to use a name in one namespace may actually use it in a different one.
- Unexpected behavior may result where the intended use of the name is not the same in both namespaces.
Background, continued

• Circumstances that lead to a name collision could be accidental or malicious.
• In the context of TLDs, the conflicting namespaces are the DNS namespace reflected in the root zone and any other namespace, regardless of whether that other namespace is intended for use with the DNS or any other protocol.
Summary of Operational Recommendations

ICANN should:

• Expand the range of situations that would trigger an emergency response.

• Instead of a single controlled interruption period, introduce rolling interruption periods, broken by periods of normal operation.

• Perform an evaluation of potential notification approaches prior to implementing any notification approach.
Summary of Operational Recommendations, continued

ICANN should:

- Implement a notification approach that accommodates IPv6-only hosts as well as IPv4-only or dual-stack hosts.
- Provide clarity to registries on the rules and the method of allocation of blocked names after the conclusion of the test period.
Summary of Strategic Recommendations

ICANN should:

• Consider not taking any actions solely based on the JAS Phase One Report.

• In due course publish information about not yet disclosed issues.

• Seek to provide stronger justification for extrapolating findings based on one kind of measurement or data gathering to other situations.
GAC Update

Olof Nordling
Overview

• The Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC) currently has 135 governments as members and 30 IGOs as observers

• The GAC meets face-to-face at ICANN meetings, with inter-sessional work conducted remotely

• The GAC provides advice to the ICANN Board on public policy matters
Current Policy Activities

- Recent advice has been focused on the New gTLD Program and the GAC expects to conclude on the few remaining issues in that regard in London.

- Other topics to address in London include IANA Stewardship Transition, ICANN Accountability, FOI WG outcomes and WHOIS-related matters.

- A joint GAC-GNSO Consultation Group will present its findings and seek support for proposals to improve early engagement of the GAC in GNSO policy work.

- The ATRT2 Recommendations related to the GAC will be addressed by the re-launched BGRI-WG and the GAC WGs on Working Methods and Gov’t/IGO Engagement Strategy.
Current Policy Activities – continued

• The UK will host the second High Level Governmental Meeting in the GAC room on Monday in London – yes, it will be an open meeting

• Two information sessions for the community are scheduled:
  - Geographic Names (WG findings for future rounds)
  - GAC Open Forum (to inform about GAC work)

• The GAC has a full agenda in London, from Saturday to Thursday, and most sessions are open

• Welcome to the GAC meeting room: Palace Suite
ALAC/At-Large Update

@ICANN_AtLarge

Heidi Ullrich
Policy Activities between Singapore and London

*Policy Advice Development*

The ALAC submitted 14 Policy Advice Statements and Correspondences between the Singapore Meeting and mid-June, including a record 10 in a four week period.

*Statements Highlights*

Related to the **Transition of the Stewardship of the IANA Functions**, the ALAC submitted two comments highlighting their support for the process as well as stressing the need for the end-user perspective to be included.

The ALAC submitted four statements related to the **Strategy Panels** offering their overall support, but suggesting key additions.

All ALAC Policy Advice Statements are available at: [http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence](http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence)
More than 150 globally diverse At-Large Structures representatives will discuss ICANN issues from the Internet end-users perspective.

Overarching theme is: "Global Internet: The User Perspective"

5 Thematic Groups for basis of discussions:
- TG 1: The Future of Multistakeholderism
- TG 2: The Globalization of ICANN
- TG 3: Global Internet: The User Perspective
- TG 4: ICANN Transparency and Accountability
- TG 5: At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN

The ATLAS II is a rare opportunity for ICANN's global end-user community to meet, learn, discuss, mentor and influence policy.
ATLAS II: How It Was Prepared

- At-Large community-driven process
- 1 ATLAS II Organizing Committee with 9 ATLAS II Working Groups – a total of 50 preparatory calls to date
- Preparatory Activities included:
  - A series of 8 ATLAS II Capacity Building Webinars
  - 5 ATLAS II newsletters
  - An ATLAS II website (see: http://atlas.icann.org)
  - At-Large Social Media Strategy developed and implemented for ATLAS II using Facebook and Twitter (eg. #ATLAS2)
  - A promotional video prepared
- 3 Sponsors have contributed over $40,000 to ATLAS II
ATLAS II Activities

- 5 Plenary Sessions
- 5 Thematic Groups will each hold 4 breakout sessions
- Each of the 5 RALOs will hold a General Assembly
- An At-Large Fayre offering the opportunity to network with 160 At-Large Structures will be held Tuesday, 24 June between 19:30-21:30
- ATLAS II participants will meet with the Board and AC/SO chairs as well as participate in At-Large and other ICANN 50 Meetings
- An ATLAS II Mentoring Program will allow ALSes to learn from At-Large leaders
- An ATLAS II Declaration will be presented to the Board on Thursday, 26 June and will contribute to post-ATLAS II development of the At-Large community
Question and Answer Session
Questions Submitted to the Policy Development Support Team

Q: How will the transition of NTIA’s stewardship of the IANA functions be discussed at ICANN 50?

A: Sessions are planned on 23 June from 10:30 to 12:30 and 26 June from 13:30 to 15:30. For more information: http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule-full

Q: How did the GNSO and the Board reach the decision to the effect that Registrars may—and do—own Registries?

A: The Board reached a decision on vertical integration in Resolution 2010.11.05.01 available here: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2010-11-05-en
Questions Submitted to the Policy Development Support Team, continued

Q: What are the next steps in the report on Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions?

A: ICANN’s Global Domains Division team will identify additional steps after the public comment period closes: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en.

Q: Please explain any changes or newly implemented plans regarding ICANN disputes or arbitrations, specifically in new ccTLDs and gTLDs.

A: The “What’s New with the Global Domains Division” session at ICANN 50 will provide further information: http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule/mon-gdd-new-gtld.
Your Questions

Press *1 to join the queue and ask the Policy Development Support Team your questions.
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