

**JIG
TRANSCRIPTION
Tuesday 11 March 2014 at 1200 UTC**

Note: The following is the output of transcribing from an audio recording of the JIG meeting on Tuesday 11 March 2014 at 1200 UTC. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record. The audio is also available at: <http://audio.icann.org/gnso/gnso-jig-20140311-en.mp3>
On page:<http://gnso.icann.org/calendar#mar>
(transcripts and recordings are found on the calendar page)

Attendees:

Jonathan Shea

Apologies:

Chris Dillon

ICANN Staff:

Bart Boswinkel

Mary Wong

Terri Agnew

(Carol): The recordings are in. Thank you.

(Terry): Thank you (Carol). Good morning. Good afternoon and good evening. This is the (JIG) Call on the eleventh of March 2014. On the call to day we have Jonathan Shea. We have apologies from Chris Dillon, and from staff we have Bart Boswinkel, Mary Wong and myself Terri Agnew. I'd like to remind all participants to please state you name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much, and over to you Bart.

Bart Boswinkel: Thank you (Terri). So, this is Bart I just want to, more as an update I have two points addressed at this call. The first one is an update on the universal acceptance panel in Singapore. Secondly, is a note I just sent to the lists

around the next step after closure of the JIG. As you may recall, the JIG was requested to propose (unintelligible) counsels a way forward after the Singapore meeting, after the submission of universal report, on the final report of universal acceptance.

So, Jonathan going back to your question the universal acceptance panel or let me start with that one. The universal acceptance panel is scheduled for Monday afternoon at the Singapore meeting starting at a quarter past three until quarter to five. I've sent the names of the current panelists, some still need to be confirmed, and some still need to be looked at.

The basic idea of this panel is to define and plan for ICANN's role with the purpose to create a structure for incorporation and collaboration between the technical community, the ICANN community facilitated by ICANN. That's the idea. The panelists is at least one from the JIG (unintelligible), and the second one we now know is from (at large), and he's also involved in the (unintelligible) area with (a rack).

The other panelists are more from the technical community, and the final panelist is (unintelligible), in this case probably as a representative of for the SO and GTLD. That's all I have to say around universal acceptance panel. Jonathan any questions?

Jonathan Shea: Yes. What happened to (Hammond)?

Bart Boswinkel: (At mund) I don't know. It's (at mund), we forwarded this. We talked about this some time ago. It's very clear that say the panelists will take only half an hour/three-quarters of an hour. The rest is open for Q&A and for discussion with the audience. Everybody from the JIG has been invited to participate in the discussion. So, we've extended a specific invitation as well to (Don Hollander), because we know he's been very advocating on the acceptance of (unintelligible) in the Asian-Pacific area.

(At mund) and the other members of the JIG and (unintelligible), are very kindly requested to participate as part of the audience, as are others. That's why the book is so limited and we wanted to have, take a very clear case of (unintelligible). I think the clearest one to date has been (unintelligible). So, that's why they had the first one. They ran into these issues on universal acceptance very rapidly. They've seen the issues. So, that's why (Andre') on the panel to make clear what the case was, and the issues around (unintelligible). Does this answer your question?

Jonathan Shea: Yes. Thank you Bart.

Bart Boswinkel: Yep. Okay. Let me go back to the second item for today's call, that was the note, the (unintelligible) for a closure note (unintelligible) counsels. This is a draft from staff reporting the (gig), and was sent to the chairs, or the co-chairs of the JIG with a request to provide comments, et cetera. As we are reaching Singapore and getting closer to Singapore, we thought it would be prudent to send this document to the list as well so people would have a chance to look at it.

The drift of the document is that it's going to be very hard to, first of all to create new working group dealing with all the three topics, because they're so diverse and they have different implications in the (unintelligible). So, the recommendation is that the counsels shouldn't monitor each of these topics, and if considered prudent and necessary create, at some point, a working group to address it, or another group, and at the same time ensure that, for example, the (unintelligible) project and the universal acceptance projects are open to the community, and that the community is involved in these projects.

So, that's basically the recommendations to the counsels post-Singapore, so post-closure of the JIG because it was I think from the discussions in Buenos Aires it was very clear that this would be the final task of the JIG in order to ensure that the work would continue. Any questions on that? Jonathan?

Jonathan Shea: No. Bart it is quite clear.

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah. Yeah. Okay. Then I think at least we've addressed the two topics. One of the items given this is we don't know what is the most useful way forward is, but I'll email to (At mund) and (Unintelligible) whether this proposal would go forward as a staff proposal, or as a JIG proposal? I think you being the only one on the call today is quite telling.

Jonathan Shea: Bart is there any actions or response required from (gig) members on this document that you just emailed?

Bart Boswinkel: If you want to support it and you think it's a good idea, we would welcome your response on the email list.

Jonathan Shea: Okay. I see.

Bart Boswinkel: That's why I said after this call, but I just sent it because I was in the airport lounge and I thought I need to share this with the JIG before the call. I will send an email list, but if we want to move forward this way, one way or the other we need to ensure that it's, given the agenda procedure for (unintelligible), so by the end of this week it's going to be submitted to the (unintelligible) counsels.

Jonathan Shea: Okay. Yeah.

Bart Boswinkel: Yes? Any other thing, Jonathan, you want to raise?

Jonathan Shea: No Bart. No I haven't got any other items, no.

Bart Boswinkel: No? Okay. Thank you. (Mary).

(Mary): No Bart you pretty much summarized that for me, especially, you know, the reasons and how to move forward, and what the next steps and deadlines are.

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah. Okay. Then Jonathan thank you for being on the call. Otherwise, it would have been too much a staff call. (Terri) you can stop the recording now. Thank you.

(Terry): (Carol) if you could stop the recording?

Jonathan Shea: Thank you Bart for sharing. I hope you have a...

END