GNSO WG Newcomer Open House session
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Goals for this session

+ Informal sharing of experiences
+ Answer your questions
+ Provide you with some resources to find your way around
+ Obtain your feedback on this first try
Questions – what do you want to learn more about?

+ Tips and Tricks
+ Consensus Policy / Picket Fence
+ GNSO Policy Development Process
+ Standard Methodology for Decision Making
+ ...............
Tips & Tricks
Finding Your Way Around

• [http://gnso.icann.org](http://gnso.icann.org)

• Review core materials such as GNSO Operating Procedures which include GNSO WG Guidelines and PDP Manual

• Review GNSO 101 Materials

• Review current GNSO projects (Action Items)
  [http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active](http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active)
Finding Your Way Around

• Acronym Helper – see GNSO home page
• GNSO wikis - https://community.icann.org/category/gnso
• ICANN Learn - http://learn.icann.org/
• Find an experienced WG member either from your SG/C to provide guidance / answer questions
• Follow ICANN/GNSO on social media (Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn)
• Contact the GNSO Policy Staff
“Consensus Policy” and the Picket Fence
“Consensus Policy”

- ICANN-accredited registrars and registries are bound to ICANN by contracts - http://www.icann.org/en/general/agreements.htm

- In this context, "consensus Policy"* is a specification broadly supported by ICANN stakeholders, and thus could bind registrars and registries

* Need to refer to contracts for specific limitations & need to refer to Bylaws for overall scope issues. This presentation does not constitute legal advice or a waiver or modification of any ICANN agreement
Background

In its original agreements with ICANN, registries and registrars agreed to comply with “consensus” policies adopted by ICANN provided (i) that such policies did not unreasonably restrain competition and (ii) that the policies related to:

1. Issues for which uniform or coordinated resolution is reasonably necessary to facilitate interoperability, technical reliability and/or stable operation of the Internet or domain-name system;
2. Registry / registrar policies reasonably necessary to implement Consensus Policies relating to registrars/registries
3. Resolution of disputes regarding the registration of domain names (as opposed to the use of such domain names)

Detailed topics subject to “Consensus Policy” are defined in the gTLD Registry and Registrar Agreements
Picket Fence

+ The general policy making authority granted to ICANN to preserve the stability and security of the DNS and the policy authority described in previous slide create a “picket fence” around ICANN’s authority.

+ ICANN could establish policy and/or best practices affecting issues outside the picket fence, but could not mandate registry and registrar compliance with such policies through a Consensus Policy.
Further Reading

http://gnso.icann.org/en/basics/consensus-policy/about
GNSO Policy Development Process
Mikey’s view of the bottom-up process (Ideal)

- International model for bottom-up consensus-based multi-stakeholder decision-making
- The only place in ICANN where all the “silos” work together
- Deep/diverse participation with focus on policy-making WG’s
- A light, volunteer leadership/administrative layer to guide the policy-making process
- Superb tools (such as remote participation) put worldwide participants on an equal footing
- Newcomers are welcomed with a clear path to full WG participation. Emerging leaders are identified, mentored, and rewarded for continuing WG contribution
GNSO Council – Manager of the PDP

- Request for an Issues Report
- Initiate Policy Development Process
- Form Working Group
- Gather Public Comments
- Request for Stakeholder Group/Constituency Statements
- WG Initial Report
- WG Final Report
- GNSO Council Deliberates
- Recommendation to ICANN Board
- Gather Public Comments
- Board Vote
- Implementation

GNSO Policy Development Process
*Summarized

*Some steps omitted, for brevity. Process being revised during 2010
Issue Identification

Is this issue intended to result in consensus policy?

Yes

No

Follow other GNSO Process

Issues not intended to result in a Consensus Policy may be referred to another GNSO process by the GNSO Council.

(Moving ahead with a PDP or not?)

Issue Identification
Issue Scoping
Issue Report
Working Group
Council Deliberations
Board Vote
Implementation

No intermediate vote required for Issue Reports requested by the ICANN Board

Initiate PDP
Create a Drafting Team to develop the PDP WG Charter
Adopt a Charter: Same voting thresholds apply as for the Initiation of the PDP

Council Vote
YES
NO

In Scope:
1/4 of each house or 2/3 of one house in favor of initiating PDP

Out of Scope:
GNSO Supermajority

Following rejection of a PDP requested by an Advisory Committee (AC), option to meet with AC representatives to discuss rationale for rejection, followed by possible request for reconsideration by AC
(Exploring the issue in depth and developing recommendations)

- Issue Identification
- Issue Scoping
- Issue Report
- Working Group
- Council Deliberations
- Board Vote
- Implementation

Seek opinion of other ICANN Advisory Committees and Supporting Organizations

Working Group Deliberations

Request for Stakeholder Group/Constituency Statements

Publish WG Initial Report

- Compilation of statements
- Recommendations and level of consensus achieved
- Statement concerning impact of the proposed recommendations

Publish WG Final Report

Required Public Comment Period

- WG Review and Analysis of Public Comments
- WG Deliberations and Finalization of Report
(Assess / Affirm WG recommendations)

GNSO Council considers recommendations (discouraged from itemizing or modifying)

- Not Adopted
  - If there are concerns / modifications, council is encouraged to send back to PDP-WG

- Adopted, following voting thresholds *

  Council Recommendations Report to the Board

* For voting thresholds, refer to Article X, Section 3(9) of the ICANN bylaws.
If the Board determines that the policy is not in the best interest of the ICANN community or ICANN, the Board can reject the GNSO Recommendation by a 2/3 vote of the Board (in case the PDP Recommendation was adopted by a GNSO Supermajority) or majority vote (in case the PDP recommendation was adopted by less than a GNSO Supermajority).

- Board articulates the reasons for rejection and submits this Board Statement to the GNSO Council.
- GNSO Council to review statement by the Board and schedule meeting to discuss.
- Council shall meet to affirm or modify its recommendation and communicate that conclusion (the "Supplemental Recommendation") to the Board.

Board can reject Supplemental Recommendation if it determines that such policy is not in the interests of the ICANN Community or ICANN (by 2/3 of the Board in case of GNSO Supermajority Vote on Supplemental Recommendation or majority vote of the Board in case of less than GNSO Supermajority Vote).
- Optional - Formation of Implementation Review Team to assist ICANN Staff in developing the implementation details for the policy.

- ICANN Staff should inform the GNSO of proposed implementation of a new GNSO recommended policy.

- Implementation must conform to GNSO recommendation
PDP WG Requirements

• Constituency / Stakeholder Group Statements
• Formally seek the opinion of other ICANN Advisory Committees and Supporting Organizations early on in the process
• Development of Initial Report & Public Comment
• Review of Comments
• Final Report
Further Reading

- Annex A of the ICANN Bylaws -
  http://www.icann.org/en/about/governance/bylaws#AnnexA

- PDP Manual -

- PDP Overview -
  http://gnso.icann.org/en/basics/consensus-policy/pdp
GNSO Working Group Guidelines
The objective of the GNSO Working Group Guidelines is to assist Working Groups to optimize productivity and effectiveness.

The main elements of importance to Working Group members covered are:

- First meeting of the Working Group
- Working Group Member Roles and Responsibilities
- Use of sub-teams, briefings and subject matter experts
- Participation and Representativeness
- Process integrity, Behavior and norms
- Standard Methodology for Making Decisions
- Appeal process
- Communication and collaboration tools
- Products & Output
Standard Methodology for Decision-Making

- Outlines available designations for WGs to indicate support for policy recommendations
- Chair is responsible for determining level of support – iterative process
- Current designations:
  - Full consensus,
  - Consensus,
  - Strong support but significant opposition,
  - Divergence, minority view
ICANN Learn (log in required)
http://learn.icann.org

• ICANN 101(video and text)
  • http://learn.icann.org/mod/page/view.php?id=101

• Beginner’s Guide to ICANN Meetings (text)
  • http://learn.icann.org/course/view.php?id=11

• Critical Things an ICANNer should know (video/text/powerpoint)
  • http://learn.icann.org/course/view.php?id=10

• How to keep up with ICANN
  • http://learn.icann.org/course/view.php?id=4

• ICANN Resources to know about (text)
  • http://learn.icann.org/course/view.php?id=3
Further Reading

Thank You & Questions?