Marika Konings: Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of 23 January 2014
Glen de Saint Gery: welcome David and Mary!
Glen de Saint Gery: And Berry!
Bret Faussett, Registry Constituency: Good morning everyone
Glen de Saint Gery: Please join the phone line as well
Glen de Saint Gery: Call details have just been resent as a reminder
Jonathan Robinson: All, please make sure you are on mute when not actively addressing the Council.
We have some background noises.
Marika Konings: @C - could you please identify yourself?
C: I guess "C" is me: Ching :-P not sure why I can't change it when logging in
Marika Konings: Thanks, Ching, I'll update your name
Amr Elsadr: Hello all.
Mike O'Connor: congrats Brett! first meeting.
Gabriela Szlak: Just clarifying that I do not use the *6 mute but the skype mute, as I use skype
David Olive 2: Welcome Everyone
Volker Greimann: ok, arrived @home, logged in
Mike O'Connor: there's a lot going on in the online forum that they're using to collect ideas.
Marika Konings: and http://thegovlab.ideascale.com/
Mike O'Connor: heavy breathing on the bridge
Osvaldo Novoa: Hello all, sorry I'm late
Thomas Rickert: Can those not speaking please mute their mics?
David Olive 2: We do expect the Panel to hold a webinar to talk about its activities. As soon as I have a date, I will let the Council know
Amr Elsadr: @John: Yeah. Thanks for that.
Marika Konings: Current list of members can be found here: https://community.icann.org/display/gnso/cwgdraftteam/4+Members
Mary Wong: Will do, Jonathan.
Amr Elsadr: Is the final list of ccwg members specified before the charter is finalized?
Mary Wong: @Amr this is for the CWG DT; the charter will be one of the first discussion items.
Amr Elsadr: OK. Thanks Mary.
Alan Greenberg: Not responding. New point
Jonathan Robinson: Thanks Alan
MARIA FARRELL: Memory failing - what was the RAP WG?
Marika Konings: Registration Abuse Policies Working Group
MARIA FARRELL: ah thank you, Marika!
MARIA FARRELL: many thanks, Berry. I'd actually missed that one, so v. helpful.
Bladel: That addressed my earlier hand/question. Thanks Mikey.
Amr Elsadr: Thanks Mikey.
Bladel: So approve now, but rename before calling for volunteers?
Volker Greimann: agreed
Mike O'Connor: yup, works for me
Alan Greenberg: That was my intent.
Mike O'Connor: Beer and Chips working group?
Bladel: "Free" Beer and Chips
Mike O'Connor: agreed, friendly amendment
Amr Elsadr: The charter (as I read it) doesn't preclude the necessity of critical appraisal of studies, but doesn't require it either. I would have preferred the latter, but won't insist.

Mike O'Connor: Amr, it's a great suggestion. make sure to join the WG and nudge us along. :-)

Mary Wong: @James, @Mikey: I presume you DON'T mean like free software per FSF ... ?

Berry Cobb: I've been taking notes and will ensure they are carried over to when the WG is formed.

Avri Doria: hope it was ok to use the check instead of unmuting and muting again, and going deaf in the intervening seconds.

Mary Wong: Note that unless scheduled differently, the GNSO Council Public Meeting and the ccNSO Council's meeting tends to take place at the same time at ICANN meetings.

Gabriela Szlak: Where can we check the list for GAC reps of this WG?

Amr Elsadr: @Gabi: There is no group wiki as far as I know.

Volker Greimann: as I missed the last call, I am not quite up to speed yet.

Marika Konings: Current GAC members are: Manal Ismail, Egypt, Suzanne Radell, USA, Ana Neves, Portugal Mark Carvell, UK, Gemma Maria Campillos & R. Perezga, Spain (2 reps alternating) Carlos Raúl Gutiérrez, Costa Rica.

Gabriela Szlak: thanks Marika.

Marika Konings: Mailing list archives can be found here: http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gac-gnso-cg/

Gabriela Szlak: thanks Amr for asking for this, I have been following the threads and wanted more background.

Gabriela Szlak: and info.

Amr Elsadr: @Gabi: I'm optimistic that this will be available soon. :)

Gabriela Szlak: :)

Amr Elsadr: ??

Amr Elsadr: I'm not sure I follow that last comment. There are SG/C not represented on the G-Council?

Avri Doria: indeed there are those who want to be free of the council.

Amr Elsadr: Thanks.

Avri Doria: Some of us will be doing the Ig stuff whether the council is involved or not.

Avri Doria: It is what we do.

Avri Doria: I can help.

Amr Elsadr: @Mikey: Alot of the traffic going back and forth is bandwidth pollution. A digest would be awesome.

David Olive 2: Thanks Avri you are in a good position to provide this information to the Council.

Avri Doria: in a 40 hour week I spend about 150% in internet governance.

Mike O'Connor: lol

David Olive 2: The policy staff will take this one as an action item, Jonathan.

Avri Doria: But I cannot act in the activities as any sort of council mouthpiece. I may say things the rest of council does not agree with. So reporting does not imply any sort of representation.

Mike O'Connor: right

Avri Doria: and of course we want to hear from thereasa and David.

Avri Doria: just wanted to get it said.

David Olive 2: from Theresa or Sally

Amr Elsadr: @Jonathan: +1.

Daniel Reed: Yes, hearing from them would be great.

Gabriela Szlak: +1

Mike O'Connor: even just a good edited twitter feed would be great. With the understanding that it's opinionated. :-)

David Olive 2: Yes indeed I will arrange it.

MARIA FARRELL: Thanks, David.

David Cake: thanks, Mikey, useful suggestion.

MARIA FARRELL: I really like Mikey's idea to re-review our post_BA ideas.
Jonathan Robinson:@Maria. I agree too. Keen to see some of the output
MARIA FARRELL:Would it be possible for staff to re-circulate the images of the boards we scribbled on?
Marika Konings:@Maria - I'll have a look
Mike O'Connor:with all the money we save on reports, we can buy beer and cool buttons for working-group members.
Avri Doria:i think meetings should focus on the knotty problems and on what the WG needs from the council and rest of GNSO.
Bladel:i think the problem we are dancing around is: The Council isn't really interested in the detailed work of these groups. :)
Avri Doria:there are plenty of hard issues that could use some extend discussions.
Avri Doria:well the council should be intersted in the problems of thww WG as we have to manage them and those problems
Mike O'Connor:i like the idea of "GNSO wide" focus for the meetings, rather than the Council.
*then* i think we'll get good input for WGs
Marika Konings:@Avri - +1!
Bladel:Sure. Allocate 60 minutes for all active PDPs/WGs, inculding Q&A.
Bladel:@Avri: Agree. It's a question of level of detail maybe?
Marika Konings:10 PDPs and 9 non-PDP WGs in 60 minutes....
Marika Konings:maybe we can explore speed dating updates ;-)
MARIA FARRELL:Marika +1!!
Bladel:@Marika: I'm open to anything besides presenting to a half-empty room...
Bladel:In the past, most councilors treated these updates as informal breaks...
Marika Konings:It is not about quanity but quality ;-) 
Marika Konings:We had some pretty good discussion and feedback during the last meeting, I think
MARIA FARRELL:how about a rule that any one presenting has to BEGIN with the substance - tech, policy or whatever - and can only do the process at the end of the presentation?
Marika Konings:maybe we can work with WGs to get them to focus on some specific questions or issues to stimulate debate and input?
MARIA FARRELL:would stop ppl nodding off and presenters spending too long on the 'whereas's
MARIA FARRELL:yes, that's a great idea marika
Marika Konings:@ Maria - that is the approach we've introduced since two meetings ago (although some chairs may still be tempted to dive into the background first ;-) 
MARIA FARRELL:aha! nothing new under the sun.
MARIA FARRELL:howabout also ditching the history lesson till they've talked about the issue itself and what the different interests think about it?
Marika Konings:The background is typically provided in the annex slides so that anyone interested can look at that, or if needed, the presenter can move to that to provide any background info if needed / requested
Marika Konings:but the substance is put up front
Amr Elsadr:i know very little about SSR. Would appreciate access to discussions on this topic for tutoring purposes.
Bladel:Serious question: ACs see themselves as providing advice to the Board, rather than other SO/ACs. Correct?
David Cake:i support Mikeys mailing list idea
Mike O'Connor:terrific. thanks Jonathan
Mike O'Connor:the SCI? doesn't it elect it's own?
Marika Konings:@Mikey - yes that is correct
MARIA FARRELL:yes james, that's their job under the bylaws
MARIA FARRELL:though informally there's developed a greater recognition of need to coordinate amongst ac and so. but there's nothing in bylaws - i think - saying it's obligatory
Alan Greenberg:ALAC for one also provides comments (if not "advice" to SOs.
Amr Elsadr: OK. Thanks Avri.
Mike O'Connor: neato! convey my congratulations to Sintra
Amr Elsadr: OK. Thanks Avri and Jonathan for the clarification.
Amr Elsadr: @Mikey: Will do. ;-)
Marika Konings: @Amr - SCI has specific procedure in its charter on how its elections are expected to be conducted, this is not the case for 'normal' WGs, hence the requirement for confirmation of the Chair.
Amr Elsadr: Thanks Marika.
Volker Greimann: I was disconnected
Gabriela Szlak: thanks to all!
Gabriela Szlak: bye
Volker Greimann: back