Marika Konings: Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of 5 September 2013
Volker Greimann: Hi Marika, I know I am early
Marika Konings: Hi Volker, you are early!
Volker Greimann: Two hours from now, right?
Marika Konings: Yes, that is right
Patrick Myles: Hi Marika
Marika Konings: Hi Patrick
Marika Konings: Welcome to your first GNSO Council meeting ;-)  
Patrick Myles: Thanks :)
Patrick Myles: I'm new to this - do I need to mute my mic... or is it default muted your side?
Marika Konings: There is no audio via Adobe Connect for this meeting so you will need to dial into the audio bridge
Patrick Myles: Oh right
Patrick Myles: Better get onto that now then...
Glen de Saint Gery: Please join the audio bridge!
Jonathan Robinson: Hello all and welcome Patrick
Patrick Myles: Thanks!
Osvaldo Novoa: Hello everyone
Volker Greimann: Dialling in
Volker Greimann: Using computer was diables?
Volker Greimann: Diabled
Marika Konings: Yes, for this call we have a separate broadcast and a conference bridge for participating Council members.
Joy: @glen: I have an apology from Magaly Pazello
David Cake: I'm having trouble dialing
Marika Konings: @ David - do you need a dialout?
David Cake: @Marika having trouble with hotel phone system, not sure
Marika Konings: OK, just let us know if you need assistance
Maria: Hi, I believe the audio has gone on this channel for ppl listening via Adobe Connect.
Maria: And now the Adobe connect sound is back, fyi, for those listening in.
Marika Konings: Apologies for the inconvenience related to the audio - it looks like AC is automatically trying to reconnect, even after having disabled that function. If it is disturbing you, please mute the audio on your computer.
Joy: @marika: some of our colleagues are having difficulties - but will keep you posted
Joy: Audio has gone again
David Cake: Hotel has now gone to get me another physical phone
Marika Konings: @ Joy - we are looking into it. It should be back shortly hopefully.
Jeff Neuman: Thanks
David Cake: Finally on the call
Jonathan Robinson: Welcome David
Volker Greimann: Jeff, you are inaudible
Volker Greimann: Worse, actually
Jeff Neuman: Community members are asking if there is a phone bridge they can dial into in order to listen
Jeff Neuman: Because the audio keeps dropping for them
Marika Konings: @ Jeff - we are looking into the issue.
Marika Konings: But it should be working now (we are keeping a close eye on the line and it hasn't dropped as far as I am aware since the last note from Joy)
Volker Greimann: A consulting role, perhaps
Volker Greimann: I did not mean council, I was referring to the GNSO
Maria: no problem
Marika Konings: The Preliminary Issue Report is published for public comment for all (and also submitted to the GNSO Council as an FYI).
Volker Greimann: Having the ability to comment is something completely different from participating in the drafting itself, especially since some comment summaries tend to be "colored" in a certain direction
Marika Konings: At any point the Council can discard the draft charter and start from scratch. It would just be an option for the Council to consider.
Marika Konings: As an FYI, this approach has been used in the past for IRTP PDP WGs (in those cases the charter questions were very straightforward)
Volker Greimann: Still, creating a draft charter creates a need to argue against the proposed charter if one feels the community creates the charter
Volker Greimann: This gives staff more control over the direction of the PDP, and I do not feel that is an appropriate direction for ICANN to go
Maria: Jonathan, I support the idea of tabulating and working out the suggestions we could go ahead with.
Marika Konings: Another option would be that like with the consent agenda, if there is a single objection from any council member to the draft charter, it would go automatically to a drafting team. Would that provide some reassurance?
Maria: That sounds sensible, Marika.
Volker Greimann: There are remaining issues?
Jonathan Robinson: @Maria - Thanks. Thta's helpful
Jonathan Robinson: RE: Support of table
Volker Greimann: Question: what is the significance of this image on the screen to the topic at hand?
John Berard: can you move the deck back to the links?
John Berard: thanks
Jeff Neuman: did we get this paper?
Mary Wong: @Jeff, not yet.
Marika Konings: @ Jeff, we expect that this paper will be published shortly (hopefully by early next week)
Volker Greimann: a) it can be renewed
Volker Greimann: b) 3 years should be sufficient
wolfgang: Can you call me in again? I lost the line?
Glen de Saint Gery: ah poor thing being called in, it dropped 16 times and as I said to Eric we should try and find out how to stabilise it
Glen de Saint Gery: yes we call you Wolfgang
Maria: Jonathan's line seems to be breaking up a little
Volker Greimann: is something different today with the line? a lot of people are having problems.
Now Jonathan is breaking up too
Maria: Getting increasingly hard to understand
wolfgang: I am back in Thx.
Zahid Jamil: I would support us going ahead with the Task Force and simultaneously communicating that this exploratory work has begun to the Board
Volker Greimann: now you are clear again
Ching Chiao (DotAsia): +1 Zahid
Zahid Jamil: this communication can be sent after a short time given for constituencies to react
Jeff Neuman: What bother me most is the fact that this came up way before new tld apps were accepted
Jeff Neuman: A number of us asked these questions as early as 2010
Zahid Jamil: agree with Jeff
Volker Greimann: Zahid, I agree with the general principle, however we should aim for a comparatively quick process. The applicants can't all wait for the results of a pdp.

Glen de Saint Gery: It was Wolfgang's line causing the disturbance.

Jeff Neuman: For anyone to make a statement that we had no idea this would even be an issue has not done their homework.

Volker Greimann: it is a badly designed process.

Zahid Jamil: agree that's why I supported the idea of a light quick task force - and not an issues report or pdp at this stage.

Volker Greimann: the possibility for incongruous results is built into the process.

Zahid Jamil: indeed - it can be much improved.

wolfgang: Jeff can you share your paper with Council Members?

Jeff Neuman: Sure... Let me make a couple of edits...... fix typos.

Jeff Neuman: and I will resend.

Jonathan Robinson: Who is on the task force? Councillors? Volunteers from the GNSO?

Jonathan Robinson: Please make sure mics are on mute.

Jonathan Robinson: Jonathan Robinson: @Everyone - Please make sure all materials presented are circulated on the Council email list. With appropriate caveats if necessary.

Berry Cobb: Point in time is also an issue. Current WG workload, holiday time, WG fatigue to mention a few.

Joy: well maybe the community is giving the Council a message that we should listen too, as hard as that may to hear sometimes.

Berry Cobb: We'll get right on the new call for volunteers. Thank you Jonathan.

Volker Greimann: We can help by liaising with our communities.

Marika Konings: @Volker - I thought your comments meant you were signing up for this effort ;-)

Volker Greimann: @Marika: hoo-boy! I need to see if I can free up the time myself.

Volker Greimann: I will do my best ;-)

John Berard: I support Jeff's view.

Jeff Neuman: Joy - How do we as a council take on decisions on items that are not allowed to even come to us with the full consensus requirement.

Jeff Neuman: Sorry - Council?

Joy: the SCI can also report on deadlock.

Jeff Neuman: I don't view one group's holding out as "deadlock".

Jeff Neuman: Requiring something other than unanimity is just good governance.

Jeff Neuman: You still have to get "consensus".

Jeff Neuman: just not unanimity.

Jeff Neuman: I do not in any way see that as lessening the standard.

Joy: @Thomas: elegantly put.

Thomas Rickert: Thanks, Joy.


Jeff Neuman: I believe (and have seen) that requiring full consensus is actually a disincentive to compromise for the party that is holding out.

Jonathan Robinson: Wolf, please say a few words on item 12.

Wolf Knoben: Yes, I do.

Jonathan Robinson: The others we will pick up on list.

Wolf Knoben: Rob just gives a short update on the GNSO review.

Jonathan Robinson: I am waiting on hold to re-join.

Maria: Re. the NPOC comment recommending the GNSO Review not be delayed, it's likely this will be endorsed by the NCSG in toto by the deadline tomorrow.

Jonathan Robinson: back in audio.

Jonathan Robinson: The key question for the review is what action does the council take (a) in the event the review is postponed and (b) if it is not
Jonathan Robinson: In some ways, this is better answered once we know the outcome of the decision to delay or not.

Brian Winterfeldt: The IPC believes that the GNSO Review should proceed on the current timeline without delay.

Wolf Knoben: @Brian: The ISPCP is in support.

Mary Wong: @Brian, is IPC putting in a comment on this?

Brian Winterfeldt: @Mary - IPC comments to this point should be submitted shortly.

Thomas Rickert: thanks!

wolfgang: Thx.