Marika Konings: Welcome to the GNSO Council Meeting of 14 February 2013
Marika Konings: Morning John, or is it better to say evening? ;-)
Petter Rindforth: International mix, indeed: Here in Sweden it is lunch time :-)
John Berard: The coffee is hot and unending
Glen de Saint Gery: Welcome to the GNSO Chris!
Glen de Saint Gery: Chris, would you like us to call you?
Chris Disspain: no thanks Glen, I'll dial in shortly
Glen de Saint Gery: Everybody on the Adobe Connect would you please dial into the call
Chris Disspain: should I be dialling with the usual adigo number or is there a different one?
Glen de Saint Gery: no its Verizon, are you in Aus?
Chris Disspain: yes. what's the number please?
Eric Evrard: AUSTRALIA ADELAIDE: 61-8-8121-4842 1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA
BRISBANE: 61-7-3102-0944 1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA CANBERRA: 61-2-6100-1944
1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA MELBOURNE: 61-3-9010-7713 1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA
PERTH: 61-8-9467-5223 1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA SYDNEY: 61-2-8205-8129
1-800-657-260
Eric Evrard: Chris, is AUS - Austria or Australia?
Chris Disspain: thnx
Chris Disspain: Australia! dialling now.
Brian Winterfeldt: Looks normal to me too.
david Olive: Welcome Everyone
jeff neuman: Nope.... and we do not know who is on the expert whois group either....
jeff neuman: So, when will ICANN post the list of who is on both the ATRT and the Whois Group
Wolf Knoben: fake renewal...: Mason is taking care about
Margie Milam: WHOIS announcement will be made today
jeff neuman: and the ATRT?
Margie Milam: My understanding is that it will be tomorrow
jeff neuman: thanks
John Berard: Are the action items being listed by Mason going to be put on the Action Items list?
Jonathan Robinson: I think it will be good to communicate them to the list and/or add to action list as appropriate.
David Cake: Congrats Wolf-Ulrich on the vice-chair re-election.
John Berard: At the non-contracted parties' house intercessional in LA, Fadi said "I see ICANN in three eccentric circles." A rather insightful malaprop!
Volker: eccentric?
David Cake: Yes, there were people suggesting three eccentric circles shirts for the next meeting
John Berard: A slip of the tongue, no doubt
Wendy Seltzer: but appropriate nonetheless
John Berard: Can we post the draft in Adobe?
Volker: well, to find out if this letter represents the majority of the council, we could "take the temperature of the room"
Wendy Seltzer: /me supports the letter
Volker: /me supports the letter
Volker: +1
Mason Cole: Thomas, that's exactly what I'm seeking to do
Wendy Seltzer: do it
Thomas Rickert: Thanks, Mason!
Thomas Rickert: The letter should not include any incorrect statements. Apart from that we should live with diverging views.
Mason Cole: Correct, Thomas.
Brian Winterfeldt: I am going to send my concerns remaining regarding accuracies to the list/working with Mason to correct ASAP.

Wendy Seltzer: We've only missed relevant windows

Volker: the letter needs to go out now

Osaldo Novoa: I agree, we cannot go on discussing the content forever

Maria: Hi all, I made a horrible scheduling error and have just joined the call.

Volker: I like this concept

Alan Greenberg: Should be fun to implement...

Volker: so red cross would want “RC” protected, as well as RX, RV, RD, e...

Volker: bad example due to the two-letter restriction

Wendy Seltzer: question: do you actually expect to receive response by GC?

Wendy Seltzer: (I wouldn't expect ICANN to want to provide that)

Alan Greenberg: @WEndy, yes, we are already getting prelim answers. Better question though is will we get a definitive and clear answer, and that is a different matter.

Thomas Rickert: @Wendy - We have received status reports from GC, so I hope we will get a final answer soon.

Volker: I think we called it SRSU (Single Registrant, Single user) TLDs during the VI WG

Chris Disspain: I appreciate that Jeff

jeff neuman: We should not revisit definitive views (especially the result of PDPs) absent extraordinary circumstances. And I do not see that here.

Thomas Rickert: Jeff, if noone does, that we should add that to the response.

David Cake: I agree we shouldn't definitive views of a PDP, but there seems some disagreement on what those definitive views were.

jeff neuman: I have not heard any disagreement from those that were involved in the discussions back then

jeff neuman: only from those not happy that they have realized what happened

Chris Disspain: all of your input on this has been most useful. thank you all for allowing me to be on the call. a response from the gnsoc council would be most useful. thanks.

Alan Greenberg: quite likely, but not everyone was involved then, and different individuals have different viewpoints.

jeff neuman: @Alan, I appreciate that...but we cannot allow churn and turnover to dictate policy and be an avenue for overturning precedent

jeff neuman: absent extraordinary circumstances

jeff neuman: And I would like that same principal to be conveyed to the ICANN Board as well

Alan Greenberg: Jeff, overall I agree. Unfortunately, SO many of these decisions were not really make formally and that fuzzes up the issue.

David Cake: Hand down Jonathon

jeff neuman: @Alan... and that will always happen... there will always be new issues that come up. But at this point, we need to move forward

jeff neuman: or the debate will never end

Alan Greenberg: Agree, but for better or worse, this one is now open and needs to be reclosed. Perhaps by quoting what was decided before and moving on.

jeff neuman: It should have never been re-opened to begin with.

jeff neuman: Which is another message we could send

jeff neuman: BUT... that is NOT what the proposed agreement says

jeff neuman: The Agreement specifically omits ANY mention of the GNSO or PDP

Volker: which also seems intentional

jeff neuman: Margie - Please look at Specification 4 of the new registry proposed agreement which only references public comment and not PDPs in response to the Expert Working Group

jeff neuman: I fully expect given your comments on the call that this will be changed to reflect your comments

jeff neuman: Thanks!
Volker: As registrar on the registrar NT, I expect the same, naturally
David Olive: Thanks to All