

GNSO Council Adobe Chat - 14 February 2013

Marika Konings:Welcome to the GNSO Council Meeting of 14 February 2013

Marika Konings:Morning John, or is it better to say evening? ;-)

Petter Rindforth:International mix, indeed: Here in Sweden it is lunch time :-)

John Berard:The coffee is hot and unending

Glen de Saint Gery:Welcome to the GNSO Chris!

Glen de Saint Gery:Chris, would you like us to call you ?

Chris Disspain:no thanks Glen, I'll dial in shortly

Glen de Saint Gery:Everybody on the Adobe Connect would you please dial into the call

Chris Disspain:should I be dialling with the usual adigo number or is there a different one?

Glen de Saint Gery:no its Verizon, are you in Aus?

Chris Disspain:yes. what's the number please?

Eric Evrard:AUSTRALIA ADELAIDE: 61-8-8121-4842 1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA
BRISBANE: 61-7-3102-0944 1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA CANBERRA: 61-2-6100-1944
1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA MELBOURNE: 61-3-9010-7713 1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA
PERTH: 61-8-9467-5223 1-800-657-260AUSTRALIA SYDNEY: 61-2-8205-8129
1-800-657-260

Eric Evrard:Chris, is AUS - Austria or Australia ?

Chris Disspain:thnx

Chris Disspain:Australia! dialling now.

Brian Winterfeldt:Looks normal to me too.

david Olive:Welcome Everyone

jeff neuman:Nope....and we do not know who is on the expert whois group either....

jeff neuman:So, when will ICANN post the list of who is on both the ATRT and the Whois Group

Wolf Knobens:fake renewal..: Mason is taking care about

Margie Milam:WHOIS announcement will be made today

jeff neuman:and the ATRT?

Margie Milam:My understanding is that it will be tomorrow

jeff neuman:thanks

John Berard:Are the action items being listed by Mason going to be put on the Action Items list?

Jonathan Robinson:I think it will be good to communicate them to the list and/or add to action list as appropriate.

David Cake:Congrats Wolf-Ulrich on the vice-chair re-election.

John Berard:At the non-contracted parties' house intercessional in LA, Fadi said "I see ICANN in three eccentric circles." A rather insightful malaprop!

Volker:eccentric?

David Cake:Yes, there were people suggesting three eccentric circles shirts for the next meeting

John Berard:A slip of the tongue, no doubt

Wendy Seltzer:but appropriate nonetheless

John Berard:Can we post the draft in Adobe?

Volker:well, to find out if this letter represents the majority of the council, we could "take the temperature of the room"

Wendy Seltzer:/me supports the letter

Volker:/me supports the letter

Volker:+1

Mason Cole:Thomas, that's exactly what I'm seeking to do

Wendy Seltzer:do it

Thomas Rickert:Thanks, Mason!

Thomas Rickert:The letter should not include any incorrect statements. Apart from that we should live with diverging views.

Mason Cole:Correct, Thomas.

Brian Winterfeldt:I am going to send my concerns remaining regarding accuracies to the list/working with Mason to correct ASAP.

Wendy Seltzer:We've only missed relevant windows

Volker:the letter needs to go out now

Oswaldo Novoa:I agree, we cannot go on discussing the content forever

Maria:Hi all, I made a horrible scheduling error and have just joined the call.

Volker:I like this concept

Alan Greenberg:Should be fun to implement...

Volker:so red cross would want "RC" protected, as well as RX, RV, RD, e...

Volker:bad example due to the two-letter restriction

Wendy Seltzer:question: do you actually expect to receive response by GC?

Wendy Seltzer:(I wouldn't expect ICANN to want to provide that)

Alan Greenberg:@Wendy, yes, we are already getting prelim answers. Better question though is will we get a definitive and clear answer, and that is a different matter.

Thomas Rickert:@Wendy - We have received status reports from GC, so I hope we will get a final answer soon.

Volker:I think we called it SRSU (Single Registrant, Single user) TLDs during the VI WG

Chris Disspain:I appreciate that Jeff

jeff neuman:We should not revisit definitive views (especially the result of PDPs) absent extraordinary circumstances. And I do not see that here.

Thomas Rickert:Jeff, if no one does, that we should add that to the response.

David Cake:I agree we shouldn't definitive views of a PDP, but there seems some disagreement on what those definitive views were.

jeff neuman:I have not heard any disagreement from those that were involved in the discussions back then

jeff neuman:only from those not happy that they have realized what happened

Chris Disspain:all of your input on this has been most useful. thank you all for allowing me to be on the call. a response from the gnsoc council would be most useful. thanks.

Alan Greenberg:quite likely, but not everyone was involved then, and different individuals have different viewpoints.

jeff neuman:@Alan, I appreciate that...but we cannot allow churn and turnover to dictate policy and be an avenue for overturning precedent

jeff neuman:absent extraordinary circumstances

jeff neuman:And I would like that same principal to be conveyed to the ICANN Board as well

Alan Greenberg:Jeff, overall I agree. Unfortunately, SO many of these decisions were not really made formally and that fuzzes up the issue.

David Cake:Hand down Jonathon

jeff neuman:@Alan...and that will always happen..there will always be new issues that come up. But at this point, we need to move forward

jeff neuman:or the debate will never end

Alan Greenberg:Agree, but for better or worse, this one is now open and needs to be reclosed. Perhaps by quoting what was decided before and moving on.

jeff neuman:It should have never been re-opened to begin with.

jeff neuman:Which is another message we could send

jeff neuman:BUT...that is NOT what the proposed agreement says

jeff neuman:The Agreement specifically omits ANY mention of the GNSO or PDP

Volker:which also seems intentional

jeff neuman:Margie - Please look at Specification 4 of the new registry proposed agreement which only references public comment and not PDPs in response to the Expert Working Group

jeff neuman:I fully expect given your comments on the call that this will be changed to reflect your comments

jeff neuman:Thanks!

Volker:As registrar on the registrar NT, I expect the same, naturally
david Olive:Thanks to All