

Marika Konings:GNSO Council Meeting - 19 January 2012

David Olive:Hi Marika

Marika Konings:Morning David

Zahid Jamil:morning everyone - must be very very early for those in the US

David Taylor:Morning, afternoon, evening all

Liz Gasster:or night? :-)

Brian Winterfeldt:It's very dark here still so I'm going with night!!

Mary Wong>Hello everyone (Glen, I'm here; sorry I woke up a bit late limited network - she will join as soon as she can

wseltzer:Olof++ for the opera reference :)

wseltzer:HA! "It was noted that China's action had tremendous impact on WHOIS accuracy"

Brian Winterfeldt:Agree that a face to face would be a good idea as well.

Zahid Jamil:)

wseltzer:we should also note its impact on free expression

Ching Chiao:agree with Wendy!

wseltzer:+1 to Jeff

Bill Drake:+2 to Jeff

Zahid Jamil:sounds soemthing that the Pakistani Govt or Courts have already advocated in other areas for 'blasphemy' etc. God help!

Ching Chiao:agree with Jeff> Bill Drake:It's a somewhat generalizable question on RTs...

Bill Drake:There's a lot of understatement being practiced on the Chinese dimension....

Jeff Neuman:Dont forget to add this to the Board agenda

Jeff Neuman:please

Liz Gasster:@ Jeff (and Wolf) -- you want this added as an agenda item for the GNSO Council's meeting with the Board in C.R.? (To confirm)

wseltzer:"this"?

Liz Gasster:@ Wendy - it was the concern he raised about the policy-related recommendations by the WHOIS Review Team

wseltzer:thanks Liz, I agree

David Taylor:Thanks Mason, and all. Would be good to get anyone elses input on the IPC comments

Mary Wong:Agree with Jeff

Bill Drake:agree with Jeff again!

wseltzer:NO, let's vote

wseltzer:as in NO, we don't need more time

Mary Wong:How about saying "nonetheless the GNSO Council agreed to receive and consider the IPC proposals"?

Thomas Rickert:Why not use the word "considered"?

Brian Winterfeldt:I agree on this one - I think we can vote.

Bill Drake:Stronger =?

Brian Winterfeldt>Last one we had actual suggestions that we wanted reviewed.

wseltzer:+1 Jeff

Mary Wong:Did someone say B instead of A? LOL

Jeff Neuman:I want to note for the record that Wendy has agreed with me 3 times during this meeting and Bill twice

Bill Drake:actually three Jeff, but I did it with the little green check
wseltzer:Jeff, you say that as though it's a surprise
Mary Wong:Couldn't a future Council decide to waive the single charter principle if warranted? These are principles and not binding rules (or are they?)
Jeff Neuman:+1 Wendy.
Bill Drake:@Jeff glad I was able to find someplace we disagreed. The earth is back on its axis :-)
Alan Greenberg:@Mary, these are not yet pri. for future CCWGs but prin for entering into discussions with other SO/ACs.
Mary Wong:@Alan, thanks - and that supports my point, doesn't it?
Jeff Neuman:@Bill - yep, we are now in balance
Alan Greenberg:If multiple charters have orthoganol directions, there certainly would be a problem. If one is asuperset of the other, not a problem at all, operationally.
Bill Drake:right Alan, for example
wseltzer:but if one is trying to narrow the charter, they're saying "we can't to commit to agreement on this issue now"
wseltzer:and that should be given some weight
Alan Greenberg:#mary, yes, even if it were in final version it could be waived, but likely would eliminate chance of the CCWG. And creates a possibly major point of contention in discussions with SO/ACs
Mary Wong:@Alan, I agree with that - and as Bill says one of the biggest concerns that NCSG members have is just that (overall, w.r.t. the Principles, not just on this issue)
Liz Gasster:Note that at the time in 2008 the Council decided to handle it that way. It was not required that it be handled that way. It was simply the decision at the time.
Jeff Neuman:@Liz - true, but we are a different council than in 2008 3.5 years ago)
Bill Drake:Stephane is in buddha mode
stéphane van gelder:lol Bill, what does that mean? That I'm bald and cuddly?
Wolf Knobens:Liz, can you provide us with the material presented? Thanks
Bill Drake:well, bald...
Bill Drake:"the answer is there is no answer"
Marika Konings:@ Wolf - the presentation can be found here
<http://gnso.icann.org/correspondence/presentation-outreach-update-to-gnso-council-19jan12-en.pdf>
stéphane van gelder:lol
Wolf Knobens:Thanks Marika, got it
Bill Drake:do you know the way to San Jose...
Wolf Knobens:follow the "Imperial beer" sign
Bill Drake:Sorry for quoting Dionne Warwick in Council...
stéphane van gelder:Now Bill's in Dionne Warwick mode ;)
Mary Wong:Mwahhahah ... Bill, u r up for Music Night in San Jose!!! :)
Bill Drake:@Mary if we can NPOC sing YMCA, yes...
David Taylor:Thats what Friends are for...
Osvaldo Novoa:Sorry for the delay, I have just join the meeting
Bill Drake:Did we actually decide that Yoav? My recollection was people voted against the motion to adopt the OTF because they felt more time was needed, and a new motion was supposed to be forthcoming...
Zahid Jamil:have been dosconnected
Zahid Jamil:back in
stéphane van gelder:I'll come back to you next Zahid, thx

Zahid Jamil:thanks

Yoav Keren:You are right Bill, but the next motion was not seconded, which is a type of saying no.

Alan Greenberg:@Mary +10

Yoav Keren:In any case - I am not against talking about this specific issue further, but lets not be afraid to have our own views

Bill Drake:@Yoav it would be interesting to hear affirmative declarations that it was a type of saying no, no OTF, done. That would clarify things.

Bill Drake:I've never noticed an absence of own views, Yoav!

Bill Drake:Is it possible to add a one minute question to the AOB?

Alan Greenberg:@Zahid, Quite correct, but note that this particular issue is not Policy but internal process.

Zahid Jamil:@Alan thanks

Wolf Knoben:Bill, do you expect the answer included in this minute?

Bill Drake:Maybe just a few grunting sounds, Wolf-U

wseltzer:where are they posted?

wseltzer:(I didn't see them on the meetings page)

Bill Drake:My apologies we misremembered and thought we were told it wasn't possible

Marika Konings:<http://gnso.icann.org/calendar/index-2011.htm>

Jeff Neuman:I think here: <http://gnso.icann.org/calendar/>

wseltzer:here again, I find myself agreeing with Jeff

Bill Drake:I hate to say this, but here's my fourth agreement with Jeff....

Alan Greenberg:@Jeff Agree.

Bill Drake:#5..

Mason Cole:+1 on surprise comments

David Taylor:and lets get the F2F with the Whois Team in there somewhere

Mary Wong:In the interests of time, just to say 100% agree with Jeff, happy also to work with Wolf n Jeff on the schedule.

Jeff Neuman:thanks Mary