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Glen DeSaintgery: Would you like to do a role call for the report?

Julie Hedlund: Sure, thank you Glen.

Glen DeSaintgery: Thank you. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening everyone, this is the IRD call on the 21st of February.
And on the call we have Avri Doria, Yao Jiankang, Andrei Kolesnikov and for staff we have Julie Hedlund, Steve Sheng and myself Glen DeSaingtergy. I think we must have apologies from Rafik and Ram may I ask you please to say your name when you speak for transcription.

Julie Hedlund: Great, thank you so much Glen. Well I guess I’d like to perhaps turn the meeting over to Steve Sheng. Steve, maybe you could sum up some of the comments that we received on the webinars that we had last week, the outreach webinars.

And I think you also had a call with the ALAC?

Steve Sheng: Yes, so good morning and good afternoon, evening everyone. My apologies, I have a cold so my sound is different. We had I think in total four outreach calls.

Jim, one of our co-chairs did outreach to SSAC, had a discussion there and I just saw one of the SSAC members submitted a comment to the public comment docs.

I did a call with ALAC, I briefed ALAC on one of their community calls on this matter. And they are going to provide comments through the public comment.

One of the key questions that I think ALAC is concerned is the accuracy of information you know with the introduction of internationalized registration data.

So that may be one issue we want to consider when discussing the different models. We did two outreach webinars to the ICANN
community, that is we sent the invitation email to our various lists, every list that we can think of.

And that was last Wednesday and we have some good comments and I think the registrar, there are some registrar representatives and they are going to provide comments through the public comment.

So those are the ones that I have so far. Through the - after the SSAC presentation we’re also being referred to one of the language groups in Google and see how they’re experience with you know internationalization might provide some guidance.

So I asked them a few questions and I’ll forward to the list their answers. So those are the outreach efforts we have so far. We haven’t received any other invitations to present.

Dave sent some emails to you know law enforcement through the various contacts email. So those are the extent of our outreach Julie.

Julie Hedlund: Thanks Steve, this is Julie. Any questions for Steve?

Man: No.

Julie Hedlund: Well thank you. Steve what is your sense and I apologize I had to miss the last one of these IRD working group calls, as far as next steps? Have there been any other expressions of interest in you know special briefings for any other groups?
Steve Sheng: Not that I'm aware of. I mean SSAC and ALAC are the only two that ask us to do a briefing. So we are certainly willing to accommodate others if they ask.

I'll also send this to GAC and GAC - and Heather says this is too detailed for GAC to consider. So I think this is being forwarded to ccGNSO but no one from ccGNSO showed up.

Julie Hedlund: Right.

Avri Doria: This is Avri, I might have a question. I might have blinked out attention though. You said that there was some good and interesting comments, what were they?

Steve Sheng: So for the asset comments, I sent to the list, I just forwarded to the list a comment through the public comment box.

Avri Doria: Yes, saw that one.

Steve Sheng: And Dr. Sarmad Hussein who's an SSAC member been commenting in a personal capacity. So those are the only ones I have.

Avri Doria: Okay, thanks. So nothing - this is Avri again, so nothing came out of the ALAC?

Steve Sheng: No.

Avri Doria: Okay.

Steve Sheng: I don't think the...
Julie Hedlund: But Steve this is Julie, didn’t the ALAC indicate that they might submit something formally through the public comment forums?

Steve Sheng: Yes they will but they haven’t - I haven’t seen it yet.

Avri Doria: Thank you.

Julie Hedlund: Yes this is Julie, thanks. Yes, sometimes I think it does - you just have the briefing with them last week I think it does take them a little bit of time to pull together a formal comment.

So that’s probably still in the works. We can always check with Heidi on that as well. So this is Julie, Steve what’s your sense then for next steps for this group?

Obviously we need to be paying attention to what we get in the public comment forum and I’ll be summarizing those once the period is closed, probably a little bit before that you know once we have a few more comments in there so that we can have it available quickly as possible.

I guess my question is, is there anything that - we do have a slot in the San Francisco meeting schedule, it’s probably going to be on Thursday for the IRD WG to meet.

And I guess one question I would have for this group is whether or not there’s any you know type of presentation that the group would like to you know to give at that meeting.
One possibility could be that you know I could at least - you know
Steve and I could at least put together some slides summarizing the
comments in the public comment period.

And that could form the basis of a discussion. But I’d be interested in
what others think.

Steve, others, what do you think, should we try to have at least a
summary of the comments, something that we could speak to in San
Francisco?

Steve Sheng: Yes, I think if we can have the other comments and we do a summary
and we present that will be good.

So I was also thinking maybe it’s also a good idea to turn that session
into a working session. And we actually discuss you know use these
comments as a start and discuss about different models.

So those are my thoughts.

Julie Hedlund: This is Julie, thanks Steve, that’s helpful. What do others think? That
certainly can be a working session, that’s sort of the way it ended up
being in Cartagena as well and I think it ended up being pretty useful.

Steve Sheng: I guess the working group is just waiting for the comments.

Julie Hedlund: Well yes, and let’s hope we get some. We really have to hope that we
get some comments I think, that would help us a lot.

Man: Well I don’t have a comment, that’s definitely - that’s why I’m silent.
Julie Hedlund: No, that’s fine. Well let’s see what we get out of the public comment period. Are there other issues that we want to discuss today? And you know is there a need for another meeting prior to San Francisco?

Avri Doria: This is Avri, I don’t think so. I mean this is Avri, if we get comments, that’s good. If we don’t we should just resolve the ones we have and you know think that we did okay because there was nothing people commented on.

And so the questions that the SSAC asked, I think most of them are matters of clarification because I think those were mostly answered so we need to make sure. But I certainly don’t see us needing a meeting between now and then.

Unless our chairs find something for us to do.

Julie Hedlund: Thank you Avri, this is Julie. Anybody else want to comment? I agree, there doesn’t seem to be much we can do at this point until we have more comments to work with.

Well is there anything else we want to discuss today? We’re only at 20 minutes past the hour but I’m sure we all have busy schedules so I would imagine that we could probably use the time for other things if we needed to.

Avri Doria: Okay with me if we end the call.

Julie Hedlund: Steve did you have anything else you wanted to bring up?
Steve Sheng: No, not really.

Julie Hedlund: Okay, well if everybody agrees then I think we can go ahead and adjourn this call. I guess there’s a possibility that people might call in late but I would think they would have called in by now if they were going to.

So - and if they do (Miriam) I assume that if someone calls in the operators can just let them know that the meeting has adjourned?

(Miriam): That’s right, we'll just let them know.

Avri Doria: I did that this morning on a meeting, I got there 25 minutes and they told me. I don’t think it’s just this meeting, I think we’re having problems on almost all meetings mustering up people at this point.

Julie Hedlund: This is Julie, I agree with you there Avri.

Glen DeSaintgery: Julie in the meantime I will say we got regrets from Ram Mohan.

Julie Hedlund: Oh you did, okay. Thank you.

Glen DeSaintgery: That was after I sent out the reminder.

Julie Hedlund: Great, well thank you very much Glen. Then let’s go ahead and adjourn this meeting and any further discussion we have on any comments we receive we can continue those discussions on the list.

Avri Doria: Okay.
Julie Hedlund: Thank you Andre and John and Avri for joining, appreciate it.

Avri Doria: Okay thanks.

Man: Thank you.

Woman: Bye.
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