

**Policy Process Steering Committee (PPSC) Working Group Model (WG) Work
Team (WT)
TRANSCRIPTION
26 May 2010 at 18:00 UTC**

Note: The following is the output of transcribing from an audio recording of the Policy Process Steering Committee Working Group Model (WG) Work Team (WT) meeting on Wednesday 26 May 2010 at 18:00 UTC. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record. The audio is also available at:

<http://audio.icann.org/gnso/gnso-ppsc-wg-20100526.mp3>

On page:

<http://gnso.icann.org/calendar/#may>

(All MP3's and transcriptions can be found on the calendar page).

Present:

J. Scott Evans - IPC Work Team Chair
Avri Doria - NCSG
Cheryl Langdon-Orr – ALAC chair
Iliya Bazlyankov (on Adobe Connect only)

Staff:

Liz Gasster
Marika Konings
Glen de Saint Gery

Absent apologies:

Caroline Greer

Coordinator: Excuse me. I'd like to remind all parties this conference is being recorded. If you have any objections you may disconnect at this time.

You may begin.

Glen de Saint Gèry: Thank you (Kelly). Good morning, good afternoon, good evening everyone. This is the 26th of May and it is the PPSC Working Group, Work Team. On the call we have Cheryl Langdon-Orr, J. Scott Evans, Avri Doria and for staff - and on the Adobe Connect Room we have Iliya Bazlyankov

who will not be joining the call but will be staying on the Adobe Connect. For staff, we have Marika Konings, Liz Gasster, and Glen de Saint Gèry, my self.

And can I please remind you to say your name when you speak.

Thank you J. Scott, over to you.

J. Scott Evans: This is J. Scott Evans. I'm the Chair of this Working Group. As you know we have taken the last few weeks and we've gone through the public comments we have received with regards to the draft that we posted for public comments in February. Those comments have now, we've made certain revisions to the text and where we did not affect necessarily the comments we had prepared a version that says our rationale for why we did or didn't do anything in response to a public comment that received on certain sections.

I apologize. I've only gotten through about half of it because every time I tried to pull it up it was crashing my system. I don't know why that is.

I can tell you that I would suggest to the group that everyone needs to go through because I'm seeing little things, no substantive issues. But part of the document we talk about charting organization and then at some point we define it and start calling it a CO. So half of the document has it - or at least three-quarters defined and then used in an abbreviation and then the other doesn't. And I think little details like that need to be cleaned up.

I also know this, that in certain sections where we have a discussion where we sort of tried to ammonize this as to being particular to the GNSO that's not carried through in every section.

So that sometimes it talks about the Working Group, Working Group, the charting organization and the next section will talk about the GNSO. And sort of use that as a substitute for chartering organization.

So I think that substantively there are no problems but I do believe that there are some of the drafting details and getting consistency through the document so that it just flows better. That seems to be where we are.

So to the group I want to ask should we go ahead and do a call for consensus that would last 72 hours and request that everyone review this and in the review send any editorial comments they may have to Marika and copy the list.

And do a call for consensus by acclamation. In other words all we want to hear from are your editorial comments and if you don't think this should be put forward to the PPSC.

That's sort of what I had envisioned but I now would like to open it up to the floor.

Avri Doria: I'm sorry, hand up.

J. Scott Evans: You don't need to - that's Avri.

Avri Doria: Yes, so this is Avri. When you said 72 hours I guess, I was silent so I guess I think that I know we need to finish it, you know. I know we had a deadline for this weekend for reading.

I apologize for not having had a chance to read it.

Yes, maybe 72 hours since you're waiting for affirmative. But I'm hard pressed to make it in 72 I have to confess.

J. Scott Evans: Do we want to do, I mean I have no problem saying Marika would it be okay if we said close of business your time Sunday which is the 30th?

Marika Konings: This is Marika. That's fine. I mean I can, you know, do any cleaning up on Monday morning my time and still get it in. Because I think the idea would be that indeed J. Scott I think they send it out to the PPSC.

And my proposal would be to maybe put a little cover note that includes, you know, the membership list and the attendance which we haven't included in this document.

But at the same time I think it would be a good idea to also get it actually publicly posted so people know that this document is out now and going to...

J. Scott Evans: Right.

Marika Konings: ...be qualified (as PPSC). So I think if, you know, I can take care of that on Monday morning European Time, I think we should still be fine so I don't have any problems with close of business Sunday evening deadline.

Avri Doria: That's great, because the weekend is often when I try to catch on my other stuff.

J. Scott Evans: Okay. I mean I was just trying to get it to Marika...

Avri Doria: Yes. No, you're right.

J. Scott Evans: ...to meet the 31st deadline. But I do want eyes on this because as you see I think substantively we're fine. I think it's just refining it into the piece of work we want it to be. It's going to take some editorial work. And I need - we need more than one editor so we can catch things.

I see Cheryl is in affirmance for that plan, close of business on Sunday.

Woman: Yes.

J. Scott Evans: Could somebody type that into the chat for Iliya so if he's following he would know that that's our plan because he's apparently logged in even though he's on another call?

So does that sound like a plan?

Yes. J. Scott thinks it's a plan. Cheryl thinks it's a plan.

Well we're recorded so you can't nod your head guys.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Yes. No, no, that's...

J. Scott Evans: Okay, well then I think that's what we need to do is...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Avri.

Avri Doria: Can I ask you a question about your plan?

J. Scott Evans: Sure.

Avri Doria: Okay, because actually if I could use the rest of this hour to do some reading, I will. But you said by affirmation. The problem with by affirmation is that if you haven't heard from everyone in the crew you don't have your consensus.

J. Scott Evans: I...

Avri Doria: And that leaves you stuck.

J. Scott Evans: That's not what I meant.

Avri Doria: Oh okay.

J. Scott Evans: What I mean is I'm going to send it out and say...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: By ascension.

J. Scott Evans: Yes, by ascension. We're doing a call for consensus. Please reply to this if you do not agree we haven't reached consensus.

Avri Doria: Okay thank you. Maybe I misunderstood you.

J. Scott Evans: And so a silence will be ascension.

Avri Doria: Okay, sorry. I may have misunderstood. Thank you.

J. Scott Evans: Yes, well I probably may have misspoke but we now know what my intention is.

Avri Doria: Okay, great.

J. Scott Evans: Okay. Does that work for everybody?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: It does.

J. Scott Evans: Okay. Well I will then get right off this call. And Marika all I'm going to do is forward the email you sent out last week that has the link to the three versions from the wiki.

Marika Konings: Yes, that's fine (unintelligible).

((Crosstalk))

J. Scott Evans: I'm going to forward that on rather than do something that has a bunch of attachments to it so that they can then choose to read whichever version they'd like to.

Okay? All right. I will send that off right now as soon as we get off the call. And I will put the deadline at close of business your local time Sunday, May 30th for getting back both editorial, any suggested editorial revisions, and any problems with the call for consensus.

Hearing no objections I will say this call is at an end and thank everybody for their attendance.

Marika Konings: J. Scott can I ask you a question?

Woman: Welcome.

Woman: Bye-bye.

J. Scott Evans: Yes. Marika.

Marika Konings: Are you going to - will you be able to submit the document to the PPSC on Monday? I know it's a public holiday in the U.S. Or would you like me then at that point as well to submit it to the PPSC?

J. Scott Evans: I would like once we know it's been - we could - what we can do is I think (Jeff) - somebody. Glen is (Jeff) on the - our list? Do you know? Is he on the mailing distribution list? Because I think as co-Chairs we're on both lists.

So I can...

Glen de Saint Gèry: I think he is.

J. Scott Evans: I think in that call for that I can let him know that this is coming and will be submitted to them early next week.

So I think if we get it to him Monday or Tuesday we'll be fine. I just think it needs to be publicly available by Monday, right?

Marika Konings: Yes.

J. Scott Evans: Okay. So, you know, it can be published Monday and we can distribute it as soon as we get it kind of posted and out and let everybody know. All right?

All right, thank you everybody.

Woman: Yes.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Okay.

Woman: Bye.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Bye.

J. Scott Evans: Chow.

END