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Coordinator: The recording is now started. Please go ahead. Thank you.

Michele Neylon: Thank you. Could Marika or somebody do a nice little roll call for us please?

Glen de Saint Gery: I’ll do it for you Michele. On the call we have...
Glen de Saint Gery: Michele Neylon, James Bladel, Barbara Steele, Kevin Erdman, Berry Cobb, Michael Collins, Paul Diaz, Mike O'Connor, Robert Mountain, Matt Serlin and Chris Chaplow. And for staff we have Marika Konings and I am here Glen de Saint Gery and Gisella Gruber-White is on the call too. She is on mute. Thank you.

Marika Konings: And we have apologies from (Matt Menthal).

Michele Neylon: Yes.

Glen de Saint Gery: Thanks Michele. Over to you.

Michele Neylon: All right. Thank you. Good afternoon everybody. Hope you're all alive. And thanks to those of you who've been playing email ping-pong on the list. I appreciate it. It's good. Let's keep that up.

I put something on the list in the last half hour or so in relation to Issue D, which was the thing I was meant to be doing but didn't get around to doing it until today. So that's there now.

So we're looking at the initial report at the moment. And there's been going backwards and forwards with some of the stuff related to various little points along the way. Are there any particular matters that people wanted to raise for discussion?

Mikey O'Connor: This is Mikey. I have a question about the background noise. What is that? A jar being opened or...

Michele Neylon: I'm not sure. We could run a competition to guess what the background noise is and the winner will get something...

Mikey O'Connor: But it sounds delicious.
Michele Neylon: You're just hungry Mikey. Marika, go ahead.

Marika Konings: Yes. This is Marika. I have a question in relation to the ETRP as there were quite a number of email exchanges and I'm not clear on whether it was discussion on elements that are in there or whether further updates are required to the latest version that Paul is circulating to the list on Friday and that's now incorporated in his draft that's up on the screen.

So would like some feedback I think especially Mike and I think, Kevin were some of the people that were commenting if they see further edits required or any elements that need to be highlighted for further discussion maybe in the summary of the report to call it out to the community that those are issues that need feedback. So was just hoping to get some input on that.

Michele Neylon: Okay. So those of you who've been playing email ping pong on this, I'm going to start picking - oh well perfect. I was about to pick on you Paul. Go ahead.

Paul Diaz: Beat you to it Michele. The only thing from me - I don't think folks will probably have any issue with the tweaks that I made. Just trying to clarify which particular registrar and which particular registrar and I think it's pretty clear.

The one question I do have is really directed at Barbara but basically anybody in the group. I wasn't sure in the ETRP when we talk about our expectations of what the registry operators will do if that accurately captures what Barbara called for or asked for at a call a little ways back in particular about fees that may or may not be assessed. I mean it looks okay but Barbara I would just ask please that you're comfortable with that and make sure that we haven't mischaracterized what you're looking for.
Barbara Steele: I've been away from it - I'm sorry. (Unintelligible) recognized. I actually have been away from this a little bit. So I'll just need to read back through it and I can confirm that to you if possible.

Michele Neylon: Okay Barbara, as Chair I would humbly, politely ask you if you could try and come back on that within the next few days on the list because we're trying to kind of move - just get some of this stuff out the way so that - meet those deadlines. Here I go with the background noise again in my ear.

Michael and who else was talking about - Michael and - Michael Collins, do you have anything you want to add about - in about the - about that policy - that process rather?

Michael Collins: Well I think all my - I think all my comments on - by email were sufficient. If anyone has any questions about my comments, I'm happy to try and provide further comments.

Michele Neylon: Okay. So okay. So that we can - what are you suggesting Marika that we do here exactly?

Marika Konings: No. I mean my suggestion would be that everyone indeed reviews the report and make sure that, you know, we've captured everything that has been discussed and also the exchanges on the email list and that as people put to the mailing list if they have any suggestions for changes to the language.

I mean there's still two - if you look at the annex there I think still two elements that are highlight there that basically say that if you look at 2.5 where we have - it says this section should be expanded to demonstrate why existing methods are deficient. I mean it's something we can just leave in and do it for the second round.

There's also still a comment there from Paul relating to the 60-day period. But (whether) that needs further clarification as well or if that's just a question that
we would like to include there for the community to comment on. There's also in 4.2 a yellow highlighted section, which says we should require a 60-day lock in order to allow time for the ETRP to be contested.

Although I think we - I think we did capture that somewhere else. But maybe I'm wrong. So if people can just focus on that and provide their input on what should go into the final version of the initial report, that would be great.

Michele Neylon: Okay. Right. So issue a - which is people just go over just to make sure there's nothing that has been left out. So if you could try to do that within the next couple of days, it would be helpful.

Issue B, draft language as additional report for view following last week's discussion including draft recommendations provided by James. Does anybody have any issues with what has been put in there under Issue B? Has anybody - have you all had a chance to read over the latest version of the document? Why is my mouse not working?

Marika Konings: This is Marika. If we can, you know, maybe put in - you know, following this call, have a deadline posted on the mailing list, you know, I can incorporate your recommendation that you sent to the list and then maybe give, you know, people a week's time to review and provide edits so that maybe on next week's call we can maybe sign off on it because I think we're getting close and, you know, we have identified draft recommendations or options for all the issues.

So I think - unless people see that there's still, you know, bit gaps, I think we're looking at just, you know, reviewing the report and see if there are any edits or issues that I'm missing so we can capture those and, you know, maybe try to finalize it on next week's call.

Michele Neylon: That would be ideal. How about we make Friday the cutoff so that just allows, you know - if the meeting's on Tuesday, if there's a draft issued on the
Monday with whatever - incorporating whatever registrar or early on the Tuesday. I mean doing it otherwise I don't think there's enough time really is there Marika?

Marika Konings: No. I mean I can definitely get out an updated version, you know, with your language included and another one Barbara can respond but, you know, if anything comes out later today, I can get a new version posted tomorrow with the deadline for Friday.

I mean I'm happy if people feel that they would want to look at it over the weekend. I'm happy to put it as well, you know, the deadline on Sunday evening if it's that helps and gives people additional time.

Michele Neylon: Okay.

Marika Konings: So I can get a, you know, final version out on Monday for, you know, hopefully final discussion on Tuesday by the group. And then if there are any further edits, people can still have a couple of more days and I think at that point we probably should just limit it to, you know, grammar edits. No, you know, no major changes before we publish the report.

Michele Neylon: Okay. Chris and then Mikey.

Chris Chaplow: Chris speaking. Yes, Sunday evening sounds better. And Marika as just a housekeeping report for those (not a member) of the team like me who keep printing off copies to take away with us, if you could put the date into the box at the top - what you call it - not the footnote, the top note.

Man: Header.

Chris Chaplow: Header, thank you. That's the word I was looking for. It'd be helpful. Thanks.

Marika Konings: Okay. I'll do that.
Michele Neylon: Mikey.

Mikey O'Connor: Thanks Michele. This is Mikey. I think part of the reason that it's so calm today on this phone call is that this is a darn fine start and that what we've got - the perfect initial report to take back to the community, get feedback, talk to people and dig in after Brussels and refine it down to a final report. So I wouldn't be dismayed or distressed that it's pretty quiet today because I think we've got a pretty good piece of work in front of us here.

Michele Neylon: Okay. Any other comments or thoughts? Mikey's - okay. You've got - you removed yours. All right then. So Marika, go ahead.

Marika Konings: Yes. This is Marika. Just more follow up like after we've, you know, we've done the work on the report and, you know, we can publish it and send it out there. Just to maybe briefly talk about the Brussels meeting where we'll have done an information and consultation session.

And as we discussed before, the idea would be then to open a public comment period following the Brussels meeting. So maybe once we get closer to the Brussels meeting we can, you know, schedule another meeting and maybe talk with the group on how we would like to organize that meeting. You know, who will be presenting.

If there's a way we can actually facilitate discussion by maybe pulling out some specific questions or that might be something that, you know, people can think about in the coming weeks. And then we, you know, lead up to the Brussels meeting have a discussion on that so we can put a good meeting together basically.

Michele Neylon: What kind of - what are we - have we scheduled or do you think we're going to have scheduled in Brussels Marika.
Marika Konings: I've actually submitted the request form today and I've proposed a Wednesday afternoon I think from 4:00 to 5:30. And this is all still tentative and at this point as well I don't have any view what meetings will be scheduled opposite it. So that's something we'll need to review as well if there are any, you know, major other meetings. At this point it doesn't look like it but other people are still submitting their forms as well.

So we'll need to discuss as well. And I can send it on the mailing list once I have a clear idea if that time will work and then once the draft schedule is out, people can comment as well if they think, you know, it will work. But just to note as well, I mean there will be very limited time availability because with many things going on, many big meetings as well.

So the idea would be to have an hour and a half information consultation kind of session and I was thinking along the lines of having first a presentation by the working group of the report and the main recommendations and maybe in a way trying to tease out some of the questions that we're interested in getting community input on and putting those then out to the community and having a, you know, community discussion on some of those items.

Michele Neylon: Okay. So no breakfast meetings anyway. That's the main thing.

Marika Konings: Not for now. But you never know.

Michele Neylon: Yes well - okay. Just those of you who are on this call, how many of you plan to attend Brussels? James, Matt I presume you're there. Matt Serlin I mean. Mikey, you're going. Berry is. Mr. Mountain, are you going?

Robert Mountain: I am.

Michele Neylon: Chris.

Chris Chaplow: Yes I am.
Michele Neylon: Barbara, you're not going or you don't know?

Barbara Steele: At this point I'm not planning to attend in person. But, you know, I may be able to attend remotely.

Michele Neylon: In spirit.

Barbara Steele: Well or have a call in number.

Michele Neylon: Okay. So, most of you will be attending. Well Paul Diaz as well. So most of you will be in attendance anyway which would be - which would be good. And yes of course volcanoes allowing. I think they changed a lot - some of the air routes around your - to kind of route around that bloody volcano.

Okay. Well anyway we can all see how that plans out. So when you - when will you know Marika about the time slots?

Marika Konings: Everyone’s supposed to have submitted their forms I think by this Thursday and I'm looking at Glen. When do you think they'll have a draft schedule out? I think the idea was to have 31st of May as schedule published.

Glen de Saint Gery: Published, yes.

Marika Konings: So normally before that time, you know, we'll be contacted with...

Glen de Saint Gery: (Unintelligible).

Marika Konings: ...yes, normally it'll come back to us if they see any major conflicts so we can try to switch around. So but normally by the 31st it should be all confirmed.

Glen de Saint Gery: I think.
Michele Neylon: Okay.

Marika Konings: But again, this is all...

Michele Neylon: Conjecture.

Marika Konings: Guessing.

Michele Neylon: Okay.

Marika Konings: But yes, that's the plan. So as said, as soon as I get, you know, further inside of some more - a firm time, I'll put that out to the list. But again, you know, the challenge will be as well to see what is going to be scheduled opposite it because yes, doesn't - it makes sense to have a meeting if we know that no one's going to attend because some big new gTLD session is taking place at the same time. So we'll do our best to try to avoid that.

Michele Neylon: Okay. Perfect. Are there any issues anybody wants to raise at present related to the draft report because I think in some respects we're just waiting on a bit of feedback from a couple of people and also just to allow people to read over the most current version I think or am I missing something. Would that be a correct assessment Marika?

Marika Konings: I think that's correct. Something that remains for me to do as well is to finalize the executive summary but that...

Michele Neylon: Okay.

Marika Konings: ...basically is normally just, you know, recapturing what's already in the other parts so that shouldn't be too controversial. So but I'll try to get that done as well shortly.
Michele Neylon: Okay. So is there anything else we need to address in this meeting? Gosh you’re all very quiet. Okay. If there’s nothing else that we need to address in this meeting, then I think we should adjourn. And just let’s take the time to review over the actual - the draft report that we have and please get things back in by Sunday evening. Which time zone was that in Marika? Was that Europe or America?

Marika Konings: Any time zone. End of day any time zone as long as I have it by Monday morning.

Michele Neylon: Okay. So you have it Monday morning your time.

Marika Konings: Yes.

Michele Neylon: Okay. Perfect.

Marika Konings: So let’s make it Sunday evening for everyone. That makes it easier.

Michele Neylon: Okay. Yes I was just - I was having a chat with somebody the other day about something about - and they said it was going to happen at dawn. But they didn’t say where. Does anybody have any other issues they wish to raise at this juncture or can I adjourn the meeting? Okay. I will take that...

Man: (Unintelligible) Michele.

Michele Neylon: Go ahead.

Man: This is a - this is a record I think by the way.

Michele Neylon: What for shortest meeting?

Mikey O’Connor: I was going to comment on that.
Man: Yes. I don't think I've ever - I don't think I've ever been on an ICANN call that's ended 20 minutes in, 40 minutes early. That's great.

Man: Well, lack of a quorum sometimes but otherwise it's unprecedented.

Michele Neylon: Well because of all of you here, nobody seems to want to say anything. And you all seem to be relatively happy. So I'll put it to a vote.

Man: Define (unintelligible).

((Crosstalk))

Man: Unless you just want to talk about the beer some more.

Mikey O'Connor: Yes, or volcanoes. We're (playing) volcanoes on the chat.

Michele Neylon: Well if anybody wants - anybody who wants to talk about the beer, you can chat with me about beer on Facebook. I'll give you a blow-by-blow account.

We can do that asynchronously over the next couple of days. We don't need to do it this minute.

Mikey O'Connor: All right. All right. Dang it.

Michele Neylon: Yes. I'm sorry. So okay, without further adieu then I will adjourn the meeting. Get everything in by Sunday evening your time and we'll all speak next week. Okay.

Mikey O'Connor: Quite lovely, Michele thanks.

Michele Neylon: Thank you.

Man: Thanks Michele.
((Crosstalk))

Woman: Bye bye.

Man: Bye bye.

Man: Bye bye.

Man: Bye bye.

Man: Bye.

Woman: Bye bye.

Man: Bye bye.

Woman: Bye bye.

Man: Bye bye.

Woman: Bye bye.

Man: Bye bye.

Michele Neylon: Lots of bye byes there.

END