

Nathalie Peregrine:Dear all, welcome to the first session of the Geographic Names webinar on Tuesday 25 April 2017 at 15:00 UTC

Nathalie Peregrine:For more information on this webinar, please visit this wiki page:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_p77RAw&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=j7Uk7n4OyIo5VDxyBVEJlwwB7rPuSTbrMBTiXHg8VMw&s=MgjLdim_RFaHChy4PIp4K5zD8b8705qAxKM4IprkSXw&e=

baudouin schombe:apologize, I am called to present a report of work to the provincial authority on the project "INTERNET NETWORK OF MUNICIPLAITES".It was not foreseen but I must to answer to this convocation of work.However, I reserve my questions that I will post online after this meeting.

Nathalie Peregrine:Thank you Baudouin, noted!

Stephany Villagomez>Hello, is the sound on? I cannot hear anything-

Nathalie Peregrine>Hello Stephany, the webinar will start in 23 mins.

Stephany Villagomez:thanks!

giacomo mazzone:giacomo mazzone - i cannot access....

giacomo mazzone 2:can we do an audio test ? i suspect you don't hear my mike...

Chancelle MBARA NKOUSOU 2:Thanks Nathalie

Heather Forrest:Hi Emily, Nathalie - I'm on the bridge and adobe. Thanks for your help

Emily Barabas:Thanks Heather

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Giacomo, I have muted your microphone as we could hear background noise from your line.

Nathalie Peregrine:To unmute, please go to the microphone icon at the top toolbar and unmute.

Stephany Villagomez:CA n you please help me

Stephany Villagomez:i dont seem to find the icon to mute my microphone

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Stephany, you have not activated your microphone yet.

Jim Prendergast:yes

Maureen Hilyard:Yes

Tom Lowenhaupt:Test my audio.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):hello everybody

milagros castañon:hello

Mzia Gogilashvili:Hello

Stephany Villagomez:Hi from Ecuador!

jaap akkerhuis:Afternoon all

Miguel Muñoz:Hello everyone!

Amrita Choudhury:Hello

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:Hello all

Tom Lowenhaupt:Test my audio please.

Nathalie Peregrine:Giacomo we can hear you loud and clear

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Chancelle, I have muted your microphone as we could hear background noise. Thanks.

John Laprise:Hi all

Nathalie Peregrine>Welcome!

Carlos Gutierrez (Costa Rica):may I ask for a call? no Costa Rica number in the list

Carlos Gutierrez (Costa Rica):sorry

Carlos Gutierrez (Costa Rica):+50688377176

Nathalie Peregrine:of course Carlos

Chancelle MBARA NKOUSOU 2:@Nathalie Okay no problem

jaap akkerhuis:SOund problems will retry

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Presenters, please note that only one person, the speaker, can move the slides, or it creates confusion. Thank you!

jaap akkerhuis:Back in

milagros castañon:sound problems

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Milagros, please log out and back in, it helps. Otherwise, please join the phone bridge.

Nathalie Peregrine:If need be, we can dial out to you.

Stephany Villagomez:will you have a short summary of the webinar?

Nathalie Peregrine:<QUESTION> or <COMMENT> for instance

milagros castañon:problem solved. thank you

Emily Barabas:@Stephany, there will be transcripts available providing full text of the webinars

Nathalie Peregrine:Recordings will be posted here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_group-2Dactivities_calendar-23apr&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=j7Uk7n4Oylo5VDxyBVEJlwwB7rPuSTbrMBTiXHg8VMw&s=9JKFBx0igVbp815UGyn2r2TN8HSF4r5_mzzsrCpkISI&e=

Nathalie Peregrine:Sorry, was coming off mute!

Julie Hedlund:@All: We will control slides for all presenters.

Kavouss Arasteh: Could the presenters kindly speak slowly, clearly, separating syllabus one from another and avoid using slang

Nathalie Peregrine:Thanks Kavouss, this has been relayed to them.

Emily Barabas:Heather's Paper is available here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_p77RAw&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=j7Uk7n4Oylo5VDxyBVEJlwwB7rPuSTbrMBTiXHg8VMw&s=MgiLdim_RFaHChy4Plp4K5zD8b8705qAxKM4IprkSXw&e=

hadia Elminiawi:@Nathalie may I receive a call as my audio is not working, thanks

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Hadia, of course, can you please provide your number?

hadia Elminiawi:+201003300867

Robin Gross:Individuals and companies also have free expression rights to use words considered geo names.

Nathalie Peregrine:Thanks Hadia, the operator will dial out to you in a few moments.

hadia Elminiawi:Thank you

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:#Heather: Legally you might be right, Heather, but I think that you forget something very essential. The way ICANN has always worked is to reach policy decisions based on community inputs. If it was just a matter of what is and what is not the law it would have been an easier process and a lot quicker process. There is now law as such saying that ccTLDs have to be 2-letters. This has been community policy – consensus. Policy also decided 3-letters and more as gTLDs. With capital cities etc., it seems to have been well acknowledged in the community that applications for .paris etc need official support or non-objection. This is not a question of law, but of community consensus, hence policy. The other point is that not trade mark owner can claim an EXCLUSIVE monopoly of a term worldwide across all areas of goods and services, which is the case of a TLD string.

James Bladel:Interesting. (Question): Are there any case studies where the rights of a non-government party have prevailed over a government?

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:#Robin: I think this is to draw the freedom of expression very far

Stephany Villagomez:Can you please speak clearer

Heather Forrest:@James - several interesting UDRP decisions where non-government party prevails on basis of legitimate rights and interests in the geo name

Kavouss Arasteh: The statement made by Dr Heather was just her personal view

Stephany Villagomez:its hard to understand

Robin Gross:Annabeth, I disagree. The law is actually very clear that people and companies can use words against the wishes of governments under free expression law.

Heather Forrest:Apologies all for not continuing - I will be very happy to follow up by answering any questions about my presentation or briefing paper. Enjoy the webinar, and many thanks to the PDP WG and staff for coordinating this opportunity

Rosalía Morales:@Annebeth good point. The multistakeholder model is not based on international law exclusively but on the opinion of all sectors of the Internet community. If all decisions were based strictly on the law (or international law) we would be a very different organization, definitely not how ICANN currently operates.

Rubens Kuhl:Annabeth, I believe the mention to law is that frequently some objections suggest or infer some law basis, where there is not.

James Bladel:@Kavouss - If so, it was a very well supported and researched personal view. Which gives it significant weight over competing personal views that less supported.

Kavouss Arasteh: (Q)Pls ask Dr Heather to provide all references to the international Law that she referred to

Donna Austin, Neustar:While they may not be covered in the 2012 round, the GAC principles from 2007, were discussed on many occasions in the development of the AGB and these discussions should not be disregarded in discussing for any future rounds.

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:James: A PhD will all the same be the research of one academic. Not necessarily the whole truth.

Kavouss Arasteh: The conclusions made by Dr. Heather were far from the reality and prevailing circumstances and should be merely considered as her personal views

James Bladel:(question): IN practical terms for an applicant, is a requirement for non-objection the same as obtaining permission?

Steve Chan:@Jorge, 30 seconds left.

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:James, not necessarily. Support is more active, might contain some conditions for support etc. While a non-objection could be easier.

Kavouss Arasteh:Tks in deed to Jorge which described the heart of the problem and not theory

Robin Gross:Requiring permission for innovation is a good way to kill innovation.

avri doria:Alexander Schubert speaking next

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):sorry for being over time - difficult to explain so much work in such little time - the key is that with geographic terms not covered by the 2012 AGB we had a problem and we will continue to have one, unless we develop a solution which is acceptable to all relevant stakeholders and is sound in terms of public policy

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@James: in the draft proposal the non-objection is less than a permission in a way - as the applicant may take his/her case to an independent panel deciding the case, considering the legitimacy of the claim

Kavouss Arasteh: The presentation made by the owner .bERLIN is merely commercially motivated

Nick WS, Nominet:A good reason for being cautious about the alpha-3 codes is that according to the ISO 3166 glossary the three-letter code is usually more closely related to the country name

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:# Alexander: A pragmatic view that shows will to find a solution

Rubens Kuhl:Kavouss, even with such motivation, there is a blackhole today where some labels of interest to a country can't be either gTLDs or ccTLDs. I'm neutral on whether they should be g's or cc's, but it should be possible for them to be something.

Steve Chan:Alexander, 1 minute notice.

Kavouss Arasteh:Robin

Kavouss Arasteh:there should be an agreed process and not ta Doctora theses

avri doria:Flip Petillion (MARQUES) speaking

Liz Brodzinski:@Jorge, when the GAC WG discussed the proposal in Copenhagen, it appeared that while the proposal has support from some WG members there are also a number who do not support it.

What do you see as the next steps for this proposal, and indeed for the GAC, bearing in mind: (i) the recommendation from the GAC Durban Communique that you quoted: that ICANN should collaborate with the GAC to refine the AGB for future rounds; and (ii) the fact that work is currently underway in the Subsequent Procedures PDP to consider all aspects of the policy relating to future releases of gTLDs?

Kavouss Arasteh:(Q)

Kavouss Arasteh:How these presenters were selected and what criteria were used to have a balance type of presentation

Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair):@Kavouss - Everyone that volunteered to present was allowed

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Liz: the decision taken in Copenhagen was to continue discussions within GAC - and personally I may add that we would try very hard to come up with a common ground input from the GAC...

Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair):We did not invite any presenters, but sent out a call for presenters. These are the persons/organizations that put themselves forward to present

avri doria:and we reached out quite a bit to make sure those who might want to present knew about the opportunity.

avri doria:we wanted to make sure that everyone who had a case to make, or a contribution to add, would have a chance to speak.

avri doria:.... a chance to present. all will have a chance to speak in the follow-on work.

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@ Flip: In the "real world" trade mark owner cannot claim an exclusive monopoly of a term worldwide across all areas of goods and services, which is the case of a TLD string. That makes it very different in DNS.

avri doria:Sebastien Ducos (GeoTLD Group) speaking

Alexander Schubert:The Internet User has no incentive from having a geo-gTLD restricted to one brand - instead of being open to registration for all Internet Users from that region: I don't want to have .london restricted to webpages from the Condom brand "LONDON" - but rather open to all Londoners!

Kavouss Arasteh: Countries which are not parties to those so-called international treaties or agreements are not bound to the terms and conditions contained in those treaties or agreement

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):Annebeth makes a key point, which is the uniqueness of TLDs...

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@Alexander: Good point

Flip Petillion 3:link to the book: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.linkedin.com_feed_update_urn-3Ali-3Aactivity-3A6246323265997021184_&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0A1gn-H4xR2EBk&m=j7Uk7n4OyIo5VDxyBVEJlwwB7rPuSTbrMBTiXHg8VMw&s=U1KKS0NcebM8-CovIMVHOFcwfwn8GzMGzfwNvmHZH0g&e=

Rubens Kuhl:Most Flip's arguments can be reversed to the benefit of communities. Just replace "trademark owners" with "communities". It cut both ways.

Rubens Kuhl:(cuts)

Ashley Heineman:(Q) What is a legitimate claim? What is an acceptable basis of objection? This is the crux of some concerns with a letter of non-objection approach. Also, at a minimum, any approach should not contradict international law.

Donna Austin, Neustar:the onus was on the applicant to do due diligence to identify the relevant government authority

Tom Lowenhaupt:Like to recommend a book with relevance to the topic: Owing The Earth ----- The Transforming History of

Kavouss Arasteh:IF it is or may be difficult to get the agreements from governments for use of a given geographic name it should be resulted to bypass those seeking agreement process

Tom Lowenhaupt:Land Ownership by Andro Linklater

Rosalía Morales:Overall, I notice that whenever presenters base their arguments on law (whether International law or trademarks, etc), their view does not include all the technical implications as well as community impact (externalities) when applied to the DNS. I would be cautious to assume that variables and trends of one industry will always apply in the same way to the DNS, that is definitely not the case.

Rubens Kuhl:On not having auctions, it should be noted that a government can issue non-objections to more than one applicant, like what happened with .OSAKA.

ras:What would still require clarity is the notion of "level" of government. For example, there exists a small town in Ontario called "Athens", would a letter of support from their "mayor" be sufficient to apply for .ATHENS (as an example)

Donna Austin, Neustar:It would be really helpful if those making comments could indicate who they are affiliated with, ie, ccNSO, GAC, GNSO or other

Kavouss Arasteh: The answer is NO

Rubens Kuhl:@RAS, in the 2012-round Athens was protected as a country capital. So only Paris, France could get .paris, not Paris, Texas.

ras:@Rubens, OK, Athens is a bad specific example, but in general many such examples will exist

Kavouss Arasteh:For Athene, one should contact Greece and not the mayor of Ontario

avri doria:Martin Sutton (Brand Registry Group) speaking

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@Rosalia: You make a good point here. We cannot have a system when international law is used sometimes - when it benefits what you want to achieve - but not in other circumstances. It is also worth noticing that ICANN enters into a contract with the applicant based on policy made by the community

Rubens Kuhl:@Ras, one example that I like is there are many cities in the US named "Bud". There is also a well-known beverage brand in that name. That conflict is likely to be "interesting".

ras:@kavouss you would be right if the policy clearly indicated some kind of priority of one government body over another, but with a lack of definition of what "government" has the say it is open to interpretation

Rubens Kuhl:I have to drop now. Will listen to the recording and try being there for the next session.

Susan Payne:Question for Sebastian - Can you please expand on how you envisage the obligation for applicants to check for potential geographic conflicts working in practice. How do they identify the "relevant authorities"?

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@Martin: Using brands this way will lead to getting an exclusive right for one brandowner. This is taking it much further than outside DNS.

Alexander Schubert:A city or a country "belongs" to the community of their citizens (inhabitants). It's their collective identity. THEY should have a say in how an identical gTLD should be operated (or who operates it). The citizens of cities and countries elect from their midst representatives who represent them: City and Nation Governments. I think it is natural that for the people their elected representatives should be looped in (provide a letter of non-objection).

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):some of these ideas may be relevant for the second level - but harder for TLDs, where a string is unique -

Paul McGrady:+1 Susan. Sebastian - under your proposal do you have to check with Toledo, Ohio and Toledo, Spain and Toledo, Oregon? What happens if each of them apply for .toledo in the same round?

Kavouss Arasteh: There are thousands of terms that could be used by .brand instead of putting their finger to geographic names which have national, cultural, relations and strong association with the names in a given countries

Alexander Schubert:national = natural (sorry - typo)

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:It seems to be forgotten that authorities in countries represent the inhabitants of that country - they represent a common good.

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:As for finding the "relevant authority", this is a practical problem that can be solved.

Rosalía Morales:@Jorge, good point about the uniqueness of TLDs, I support your idea.

Kavouss Arasteh:(Q) Who and how the presenters were chosen?

Sebastien Ducos - geoTLD.group:> Susan Payne - This is of course debateable. Many Geos may exist in multiple countries. This said, most applicants will have in their due diligence scoped their potential competition in applying for a given name and have a fair idea where that competition may be.

avri doria:Peter van Roste (CENTR)

Emily Barabas:@Kavouss: there was an open call for presenters. Anyone who signed up was welcome to present.

Nick WS, Nominet:We are talking internet addresses and identifiers here; rightly or wrongly, outside of the ICANN bubble, the user at large identifies a geographic identifier as being endorsed by the relevant public authority

avri doria:speaking

Sebastien Ducos - geoTLD.group:> Susan Payne - the idea in any case is not to allow governments to stop anything, but to incentivise everyone to apply in full knowledge of the environment

Kavouss Arasteh:With all these one sided comments on the unconditional use of geographic name the whole issue is ignored

avri doria:Kavouss, I believe that is one side of the issue. We cannot ignore their viewpoints.

Kavouss Arasteh:Geographic indicators are different from geographic names

jaap akkerhuis:BTW, SS is already allocated

Susan Payne:@Sebastian, even if you intend to operate to represent a geographic region how would you know all of the towns around the world using the same name? let alone if you are a Brand

John Laprise:It's important to remember "Intellectual Property" is a western (originally English) legal construct and as such depends on rule of law. In jurisdictions where rule of law is weak, so is the concept of IP and IP enforcement. Geo TLDs are special because states (which enforce the law) have a vested interest in them.

Alexander Schubert:Just in case anybody here is interested in obtaining a copy of my short presentation: shoot me an email to alexander@schubert.berlin

Sebastien Ducos - geoTLD.group:@Susan, What we are suggesting are measures to handle possible collisions. If a brand shares a name with a village around the world, the chances of that village applying are slim. By not applying they would forego any future right to block the name

avri doria:Thomas Lowenhaupt speaking

Alexander Schubert:A SWAMP :D

Glenn McKnight:Great job Tom

Alexander Schubert:Thomas: Your email?

avri doria:Johanne Asselin (INTA / IPC) speaking

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):".swiss" (which is proposed as an example) was applied for by the swiss government and is now open to any initiative with a clear link to switzerland

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@Jorge: Exactly - to the benefit of all

Alexander Schubert:But the Airline ALMOST grabbed .swiss!
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):this was done bearing in mind that ".swiss" as a TLD would be unique - and that it should be open to all complying with the swiss link requirement
avri doria:Paul McGrady speaking
Emily Barabas:Please start and end questions with <QUESTION>
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):smart thinking... :-)
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):last was to @Jorge
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Cheryl: swiss pragmatism :-)
James Bladel:Thanks, Paul. I'll try to be more quotable in the future. :)
Kavouss Arasteh: Yes ,It is obvious that co-chairs should be thanked as they invited almost everybody who are against any principle associated with geographic names having national, religious, cultural and community link
avri doria:Kavouss, we invited everyone who had a organized position to come and make it.
Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@Paul; What about governments not represented in the GAC?
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):problem with the GeoPIC idea is not only the burden of monitoring the process for governments all over the world (some of them not represented in ICANN), but -more essentially- that the TLD would be unique, and be the one and only string with the corresponding geoname on the TLD-level...
James Bladel>Note there was a recent Compliance incident relating to an existing registry PIC. Those are enforceable, even if voluntary.
Alan Greenberg:[QUESTION] For Paul McGrady, I presume that the GeoPIC would be irrevocable. Correct? [QUESTION]
avri doria:Q&A
James Bladel:Or, alternatively, the "non-objectionless evolution" of the Internet.
Mark Carvell UK GAC rep:Think Geo-pic proposal is worth considering in Jo'burg. Appreciate the respect for govts' concerns at heart of this concept.
Terri Agnew:finding the line
James Bladel:Very interesting proposal from Paul. Perhaps rather than setting a number of GAC members to object, they could include some number of "geographically relevant" countries.
Alexander Schubert:I have a question - but as I have been a speaker already I am fine to wait for others.....
Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair):Heather is no longer on
James Bladel:I believe Heather had to drop.
Martin Sutton BRG:Question to Pater van Roste (CENTR) - your presentation focused on the terms already in the AGB, what would be your position regarding names not covered in the AGB?
Mark Carvell UK GAC rep:Appreciate very much all presentations which prepare for a very informed debate in Jo'burg.
giacomo mazzone:QUESTION - Why nobody is thinking of co-existence of more than one entitled entity under the same roof ? With search engines of AI search problem will be solved QUESTION
Peter Van Roste (CENTR):@Martin: this only related to ISO 3166-1 alpha 3 codes. We do not have a CENTR position on other geo names. Does this answer your question?
Ashley Heineman:My question was to all who raised the concept of non-objection. Just to clarify
avri doria:thanks you
Martin Sutton BRG:@ Peter - thanks, just wanted to check if there was a position beyond these codes.
Robin Gross:QUESTION to Jorge on GAC proposal - How does the GAC proposal account for the free expression rights of others to use words coveted by govts to be considered a geo name and thus restricted? Is there some balance of rights or other model for accounting for other rights to use words?
QUESTION

Stephany Villagomez:<QUESTION> the domain .ec is a cctld for ecuador but its also used as Ecommerce and Eropean Community, how this influence the geonaming laws?

Kavouss Arasteh:

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Robin: good question. The claims would need to have a legal basis. In the end the dispute resolution process would need to balance... (my personal opinion)

Mark Carvell UK GAC rep:@ Robin: Jorge's presntation was a contribution by the GAC Working Group based on work in progress so is not a "GAC proposal" as you describe it.

Alexander Schubert:BOTH Toledos!

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):both

Margarita Valdes:Stephany, the way that is used a ccTLD is a matter of use of the registrants...

Alexander Schubert:For .berlin (3.5 Million) we talked to 6 other Berlins (largest had 25k people)

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Robin/Mark: as I repeated many times: there is a draft proposal within the GAC WG - which is work in progress

Donna Austin, Neustar:I agree Paul. ICANN, in consultation with the GAC, has done a lot over the years to address this and continue to do so.

Robin Gross:Can we see the draft proposal within the GAC WG?

Tom Lowenhaupt:< Question> With the ICANN having moved away from strong influence of the United States government, should it rethink the role of nation-states in the ICANN processes / the GAC? Perhaps leading to a process inclusive of all governments, creating a space for cities to participate in the TLD allocation processes. </Question>

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@ Paul: Thanks. But do we have a right to "empty" the namespace from countries that do not know anything about what is going on in ICANN.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Robin: the PPT sums it up

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):+1 Annebeth

Ashley Heineman:@Tom - all national governments have the opportunity to participate in the GAC, but not all do... for a variety of reasons (not all of which I'm familiar with).

Alan Greenberg:There are unanswered questiona at the top of the list.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):As to country and territory names I guess that the inputs received in the public consultation (just closed) regarding the CWG UCTN interim paper will need to be considered...

Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair):@Jorge - yes. In the charter of the SubPro PDP, we are required to take in all inputs from that CCWG

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):for ease of reference:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_public-2Dcomments_cwg-2Ductn-2Dinterim-2Dpaper-2D2017-2D02-2D24-2Den&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=j7Uk7n4Oylo5VDxyBVEJlwwB7rPuSTbrMBTiXHg8VMw&s=wqunNbxUEeM2E5FuUbAV_zTntgy4UMlID2D3b_HN4D4&e=

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@ Jorge: UNCT will send out a Final Paper after the comments have been gone through by co-chairs

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):In Switzerland it is quite clear that there are name rights that pertain to the different levels of Government

Robin Gross:Is it the case that anyone including GAC members can participate in this working group, but only GAC members can members can participate in the GAC working group on the subject?

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@Alexander: I agree. The government represents the people.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Robin: my understanding is that the WG as such is a GAC WG only - but its sessions are open and I guess that its chair would welcome inputs from non-GAC members

Degezelle Wim:@Annebeth - will the UNCT be reviewed or will there be a summary of received input added to the current report ?

Kavouss Arasteh:Avri I have raised my hand

avri doria:yes Kavouss i see it after Paul.

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:@WIm: It remains to be seen, Wim. The staff is going through the input now.

Mark Carvell UK GAC rep:@ Robin: yes WGs are open and we invite non-govt experts to contribute but they cannot be accredited as WG members. To do so would raise the risk of capture by specific interests.

Robin Gross:That's good to hear, Mark. Thanks.

Paul McGrady:@Avri - correct!

Olivier MJ Crépin-Leblond:I have personally found the discussion very interesting.

Steve Coates:Thanks Avri and Jeff.

Stephany Villagomez:thanks for everything

Donna Austin, Neustar:this has been very well done and very helpful. thanks to all involved.

Bruna Santos:thank you for the webinar!

Maureen Hilyard:This has been a very informative session

Annebeth Lange, ccNSO:A very interesting discussion. Thanks to Avri and Jeff and all presenters

Rosalía Morales:Thanks everyone!

Ken Taylor:Thanks all!

hadia Elminiawi:Thank you all

Robert Burlingame:Thank you to all the speakers and moderators.

Robin Gross:Thanks, all!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):great Webinar thanks again everyone... I appreciate your time

Paul McGrady:Thanks Avri & Jeff. Great webinar.

Tom Lowenhaupt:@alexander toml@communisphere.com

Christa Taylor:Thank-you!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye

Joke Braeken:thank you. bye

hadia Elminiawi:bye

Sebastien Ducos - geoTLD.group:Thank you Avri and Jeff for organising this

Cecilia Smith:Excellent session! Thank you.

Johanne Asselin:Thank you Jeff and Avri

chancelle MBARA NKOUSOU 2:bye