

Nathalie Peregrine:Dear all, welcome to the webinar on next steps regarding temporary policy in relation to GDPR compliance on Monday 21 May 2018 at 21:00 UTC. As a reminder this webinar is for GNSO councilors and SG/C Chairs only unless agreed previously.

Carlos:Is Adobe Connect back to stay??????

Arsene Tungali:Maybe this is just a trial? Hi everyone

Carlos:don't know how to correct my name

Carlos Gutierrez:i looged out and back again but no change

Carlos Gutierrez:ahhh

Nathalie Peregrine:I did it for you Carlos

Carlos Gutierrez:thanks

Carlos Gutierrez:Gracias!

Marika Konings:Note that there is a drop down menu on the top right of the attendee pod that should have the option 'edit my info' which allows you to update / correct your name

Heather Forrest:Cool tip, Marika - thanks!

David Olive>Welcome everyone

Tatiana Tropina:Good evening, everyone (or morning, or afternoon)

Marika Konings:If there are members from your respective groups that want to listen in, please share the audiocast with them: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A\\_\\_stream.icann.org-3A8000\\_stream01&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpC IgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Aign-H4xR2EBk&m=8Y2MMrjbFLC-mg8C0Kal6CExklREtGC\\_B6PpHSF571o&s=gSBFRtRBF32E9JHmbmHzfSRcJaDGDh\\_UVYyg0yfmPUE&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__stream.icann.org-3A8000_stream01&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpC IgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Aign-H4xR2EBk&m=8Y2MMrjbFLC-mg8C0Kal6CExklREtGC_B6PpHSF571o&s=gSBFRtRBF32E9JHmbmHzfSRcJaDGDh_UVYyg0yfmPUE&e=) .

We have quite a few non-Council / SG/C Chairs trying to log into the room.

Ayden Férdeline:hi all

Marika Konings:[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A\\_\\_stream.icann.org-3A8000\\_stream01&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpC IgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Aign-H4xR2EBk&m=8Y2MMrjbFLC-mg8C0Kal6CExklREtGC\\_B6PpHSF571o&s=gSBFRtRBF32E9JHmbmHzfSRcJaDGDh\\_UVYyg0yfmPUE&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__stream.icann.org-3A8000_stream01&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpC IgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Aign-H4xR2EBk&m=8Y2MMrjbFLC-mg8C0Kal6CExklREtGC_B6PpHSF571o&s=gSBFRtRBF32E9JHmbmHzfSRcJaDGDh_UVYyg0yfmPUE&e=) - not sure why the previous link didn't completely hyperlink. Hopefully it works better now.

Marika Konings:still not :( Just copy and past basically

Ayden Férdeline:I used Chrome too... and it worked.

Ayden Férdeline:of course Adobe did first want an update, but that is far from unusual ;-)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Lucky You Ayden, I had do find a M\$ product and go through all their duff to get in Not amused Chrome loaded etc., fine but would loop when I tried to geuest log in, perhaps tech support have a ticket on this already as Michele had issues as well

Rafik Dammak:@Cheryl I had the smae problem, I had to use safari for now as workaround

Susan Kawaguchi:Heather's audio is cutting out for me

Ayden Férdeline:i can hear you Heather

Susan Kawaguchi:is it my problem or are others experiencing it

Marika Konings:@Susan - are you on the phone bridge? Sound is good on my end.

Michele Neylon:Its fine here

Nathalie Peregrine:I hear Heather fine

Keith Drazek (RySG):Heather sounds fine to me

Susan Kawaguchi:I dialed in

Pam Little, RrSG:Fine for me too

Tatiana Tropina:Fine here (audio bridge)

Michele Neylon:if anything loud :)

Michele Neylon:I had to turn down the volume :)

Marika Konings:@Susan - maybe we can try dialling out to you?

Michele Neylon:(that could be my headphones though)

Susan Kawaguchi:@ Marika yes please

Stephanie Perrin:Sorry to be late, I had quite a hard time getting connected.

Michele Neylon:the changes to the temp spec when it's already out there is a problem

Michele Neylon:by changing it does that make it a new one?

Heather Forrest:Good question, Michele - spot on

Carlos Gutierrez:each new one gets another 365 horizon?

Graeme Bunton:that would feel inappropriate to me, Michele

Keith Drazek (RySG):My understanding is that a PDP triggered by the Board's initiation of a temporary policy can confirm, amend or replace the temporary policy (or specification). That's broader than just confirming a temp policy becoming a consensus policy.

Graeme Bunton:Also my understanding, Keith

Ayden Férdeline:there is a Special Board Meeting today, i wonder if there will be any implications for our work coming out of this meeting?

Keith Drazek (RySG):I think the key is to recognize that we have an opportunity to replace the temporary spec with something we can live with long-term that finally resolves issues around WHOIS/RDS.

Donna Austin:@Keith, my understanding is confirm, or not, which is based on the Board's response to a question from the RDS Leadership team to the Board. See response to Q8. "... at the same time, the Board notes that the focus of the PDP is limited to confirming (or not) the temporary policy as a consensus policy, ...."

Donna Austin:Briefing Note, that contains Q&A mentioned above:

[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_gnso.icann.org\\_sites\\_default\\_files\\_file\\_field-2Dfile-2Dattach\\_gnso-2Dgdpr-2Drds-2Dbriefing-2D13apr18-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAVSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=8Y2MMrjbFLC-mg8C0Kal6CExkIREtGC\\_B6PpHSF571o&s=tvfb6P1uJkG8ckn6mF\\_9bL\\_MgNiZNxlgInckQ8hoViA&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_sites_default_files_file_field-2Dfile-2Dattach_gnso-2Dgdpr-2Drds-2Dbriefing-2D13apr18-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAVSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=8Y2MMrjbFLC-mg8C0Kal6CExkIREtGC_B6PpHSF571o&s=tvfb6P1uJkG8ckn6mF_9bL_MgNiZNxlgInckQ8hoViA&e=)

Keith Drazek (RySG):If that's the case Donna, we will need multiple PDPs running concurrently. One to confirm/not-confirm and another to design what needs to replace it. Otherwise we may be back at square one in a years time.

Ayden Férdeline:re: 14 June date in #5, I suggest confirming availability of Councillors first... I am personally unavailable that date, as it conflicts with the Cloudflare Internet Summit.

Donna Austin:@Keith, the manner of the specification itself also lends itself to potential multiple efforts because of some open-ended suggestions. This is why it is extremely important that we understand the task, develop a plan, and then start the work.

Michele Neylon:Ayden - is that worth attending?

Keith Drazek (RySG):Agreed that multiple tracks, each with very focused/narrow scope, may be the necessary path.

Ayden Férdeline:@Michele - I think so! more info: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.cloudflare.com\\_internet-2Dsummit\\_london\\_&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAVSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=8Y2MMrjbFLC-mg8C0Kal6CExkIREtGC\\_B6PpHSF571o&s=BFFtbDb57tdY5wrhPGZ\\_DmKcuw0oQsdlati7Qk6-COG&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.cloudflare.com_internet-2Dsummit_london_&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAVSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=8Y2MMrjbFLC-mg8C0Kal6CExkIREtGC_B6PpHSF571o&s=BFFtbDb57tdY5wrhPGZ_DmKcuw0oQsdlati7Qk6-COG&e=)

Rafik Dammak:@Keith you mean several tracks within a smae PDP?

Keith Drazek (RySG):@Rafik: Unclear. Could be multiple tracks within a single PDP, or multiple PDPs.

Michele Neylon:I've asked ICANN Staff for a list of policies etc that are impacted bu it

Stephanie Perrin:because of the impact to other policies, it seems like part of the EPDP's goal must be to set up a workplan for change.

Stephanie Perrin:It would be unrealistic to try to fix e.g. the PPSAI IRT work in the EPDP

Keith Drazek (RySG):I recommend we review the Registry and Registrar contractual language to ensure the Board's response on PDP scope is accurate and supported by the language. I do not believe the limitation of "confirm or not confirm" is a requirement of the contracts. The temporary spec triggers formation of a PDP by the Council, but we have responsibility and ultimate control over the scope.

Marika Konings:@Keith - this is the language in the RAA agreement that seems to imply that the question focuses on whether or not to confirm the temporary specification (disclaimer, I am not a lawyer:-): "If the one year period expires or, if during such one year period, the Temporary Policy does not become a Consensus Policy and is not reaffirmed by the Board, Registrar shall no longer be required to comply with or implement such Temporary Policy."

Carlos Gutierrez:to me the long lists of specs sounds like a (unmanageable) committee of the whole  
Carlos Gutierrez:long list of \*specs\* sorry

Graeme Bunton:So, my sense is that an epdp is desirable. a fundamental alteration that feels necessary is going to be limiting participation in some way.

Keith Drazek (RySG):The language does not prevent the GNSO Council from establishing a PDP that does more than confirm/not-confirm, which is clearly the minimum requirement.

Stephanie Perrin:It will be difficult to find someone experienced who does not have a firm position, I think.

Michele Neylon:Most people have an opinion

Arsene Tungali:Is there anyone with NO opinion?

Michele Neylon:I'm not aware of anyone without an opinion

Ayden Férdeline:the team composition must be balanced and not dominated by any one stakeholder group. i am inclined to suggest a maximum 2 representatives per stakeholder group, if an EPDP is formed.

Stephanie Perrin:Heather is breaking up a bit, but I certainly agree that the Council has to take ownership of this issue.

Donna Austin:@Ayden, I support your suggestion but what about inclusion from other SOs and ACs?

Nathalie Peregrine:heather, I hear you fine.

Arsene Tungali:I too agree with Heather, with the time available, Council should take control

Nathalie Peregrine:@Stephanie, we can try dialing out to you?

Stephanie Perrin:Thanks Nathalie, if it gets worse I will ping you

Nathalie Peregrine:Understood, thank you.

Ayden Férdeline:@Donna I am inclined to limit this to the GNSO voting members, but would be happy for there to be observers from other SO ACs providing inputs and advice

Keith Drazek (RySG):Agree with Michele that a single group may not be nimble enough to accomplish the goals. There are multiple tracks that interrelate, but may be better managed separately. Also agree with Donna's points.

Michele Neylon:I'm just trying to wrap my head around it so that we don't end up with nothing in 12 months

Arsene Tungali:That would be a total mess, Michele

Rafik Dammak:@Michele yes we need to know what must be done within 12 months first

Carlos Gutierrez:+1 Susan K.

Heather Forrest:On leadership, would external facilitation (perhaps at the start) be helpful?

Michele Neylon:The implementation could be a massive headache

Michele Neylon:you can draft "lovely" policy that is completely unworkable

Stephanie Perrin:What obliges us to implement in a year?

Michele Neylon:Stephanie - the timing

Donna Austin:@Heather, i think external facilitation is a non-starter because of the ramp-up needed for someone coming to this cold.

Michele Neylon:otherwise you're back to the vacuum

Susan Kawaguchi:I am skeptical about outside facilitator

Stephanie Perrin:(I realize we need to, but perhaps the interim solution will have to stick in the interim)

Michele Neylon:Susan - having external "help" would make sense, but any external facilitator would need to have expertise

Susan Kawaguchi:but would be open to a facilitator if they have amazing leadership skills

Michele Neylon:Stephanie - the temporary spec vanishes after the 12 months

Ayden Férdeline:on working methods, i am thinking that this EPDP will need to have monthly face-to-face meetings (2 days, over a weekend) if it is to make rapid progress

Carlos Gutierrez:but it has to be approved by the board or not?

Arsene Tungali:Ayden, good point. That will need to have a strong budget

Carlos Gutierrez:so we have only 10 months

Carlos Gutierrez:good reality check

Carlos Gutierrez:#2 what if Board does NOT approve...

Stephanie Perrin:But Michele does anything stop us from coming up with a policy that says this happens on x, we limp along with the solution contained in the temp spec until x plus ten months

Arsene Tungali:What if we have GNSO Council leadership chairing this EPDP? Just thinking out loud

Ayden Férdeline:Is that 3 1/2 months to come up with an initial report?

Carlos Gutierrez:more or less Ayden.....

Stephanie Perrin:I think GNSO leadership already have a full time job....

Carlos Gutierrez:Arsene, that's what i meant by Committee of the whole

Carlos Gutierrez:have the Council leadership driving

Carlos Gutierrez:sorry Ayden

Heather Forrest:@Arsene - we do in my view want to create a clear link to the Council, so let's think about how to do that

Heather Forrest:I'll take us back to the questions slide after Donna's intervention

Arsene Tungali:yes

Ayden Férdeline:yes, i think we must add more face-to-face time. if we have 3 1/2 months, this needs to be kicked off with a week-long face-to-face, and three subsequent face-to-face forums (one a month) to make substantial progress, in my opinion.

Ayden Férdeline:but who has the time to join this EPDP, one wonders....

Heather Forrest:@Ayden - if we build in more f2f time then we need a small group to meet budget constraints

Arsene Tungali:Especially with that possible extensive travel?

Donna Austin:@Ayden, on what basis are you making your recommendations for face-to-face time?

Donna Austin:We don't yet fully understand the task.

Ayden Férdeline:yes, i think the group can only make progress if kept small (maximum 16 participants)

Heather Forrest:Thanks Terri - can all scroll independently through this document?

Terri Agnew:everyone can scroll themselves

Heather Forrest:Thanks Terri -perfect

Marika Konings:See pages 3-5 for the different options (non exhaustive list)

Ayden Férdeline:@Donna while it is true that we do not yet understand the task, if we think of this as a RT or a EWG, which i think it shares some parallels with, face-to-face meetings have proven useful for these formats, especially with a tight timeline

Carlos Gutierrez:thanks for the scrolling rights!!!!!!!

Donna Austin:I think that's a good idea Michele

Heather Forrest:Possible participation models start on page 3 of the briefing doc on the screen now  
farzaneh badii:ccwg minus model then?

Heather Forrest:@Farzaneh - just so everyone's clear on what that means, I'll ask you to describe CCWG minus if that's ok?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Members per se will indeed need to commit to sticking to a published timeline/workplan, excellent points Susan

farzaneh badii:OK Heather.it's written in the document you shared :)

Ayden Férdeline:CCWG minus model looks okay to me, though I do think it is better to have a maximum of 2 members per stakeholder group, and am not convinced on the need for all SO ACs to be participating, but i could be persuaded otherwise there

Heather Forrest:Thanks Farzaneh - just checked, it's option 5 on page 4, each SG appoints max 3 with alternates

Heather Forrest:I wasn't sure which page it was on

Susan Kawaguchi:my line dropped

Nathalie Peregrine:Dialing back out to you

Susan Kawaguchi:@nathalie I am trying my microphone and speakers for now

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):That same model is what the ALAC and AT-Large used to support their 5 CCWG Members as well Donna it is essential in my view to do something like that

Keith Drazek (RySG):Donna makes some good points. There is some risk in limiting participation too narrowly where you end up without the benefit of meaningful and constructive contribution, but if there's regular coordination at the SC/C level with their respective reps, it might be ok.

Arsene Tungali:I am okay with Option 5 (CCWG minus model)

Arsene Tungali:with the provision that reps understand that they ARE reps of their respective groups and have to coordinate. They are not representing themselves

Michele Neylon:I honestly don't think that someone could come in cold on this topic

Ayden Férdeline:agreed @Michele

Stephanie Perrin:I do not think we can accept people coming in cold. Those of us who were on the RDS group, know that we were pretty close to compromise on a few issues.

Stephanie Perrin:It would therefore be good to have folks who had volunteered on that group and were constructive.

Tatiana Tropina:I agree that CCWG minus model is probably the best for EPDP -- at least it looks the best

Ayden Férdeline:I really think it should be max 2 members per GNSO stakeholder group - any more than that is too large

Ayden Férdeline:i like CCWG minus with the amendment of max 2 rather than 3 members

Stephanie Perrin:we do need redundancy, the schedule is very demanding so we need to ensure coverage

Michele Neylon:I like the idea of primary + alternates

Arsene Tungali:That's why i would suggest we stick to 3 members to ensure we are well covered

Marika Konings:Resources needed will need to be part of the discussion with the Board as it has not been specifically budgeted for (as this was not on the cards when original budget was developed)

Michele Neylon:Thanks Marika

Donna Austin:@Arsene, there is a balancing issue with regard to a good 'number' and equal/fair representation.

Susan Kawaguchi:I do not think we want this group to be limited in numbers but we need to find a balance in not making it too large

Arsene Tungali:Agreed Donna, Susan

Susan Kawaguchi:if we appoint a liaison to this group that will be a major responsibility  
Heather Forrest:Please don't be offended Michele  
Marika Konings:Per the PDP Manual, the Council has the ability to suspend or terminate a PDP.  
Stephanie Perrin:I Say keep it on life support in case we need it....  
Marika Konings:there is a specific process in place to do so.  
Michele Neylon:Heather - I'm not offended in the least :)  
Michele Neylon:I think we need to address that PDP somehow  
Michele Neylon:The RDS leadership team people aren't going anywhere  
Michele Neylon:but the PDP itself needs something  
Donna Austin:@Michele, perhaps it would make sense to do so once we understand the requirements of this effort. However, I doubt that it is possible to continue to the RDS PDP WG at the same time as the EPDP.  
Arsene Tungali:That would be wasting resources  
Michele Neylon:Donna - I've no issue with that - I think people need to have some kind of certainty  
Keith Drazek (RySG):Can staff remind us about the order of next steps, i.e., when will we establish a charter drafting team? Defining the scope of work is going to be critical for success.  
Stephanie Perrin:there may be issues we could punt to the RDS group to work on while we do other work  
Marika Konings:@Keith - first follow up call with the Board although the drafting team could already work on other items that are not dependent on further clarification?  
Heather Forrest:After Tatiana I'll summarise some final points and we'll wrap up, to continue the discussion on Thursday at the Council meeting  
Keith Drazek (RySG):Thanks Marika, yes, I think we can start working on the requirements/scope/framework of deliverables needed.  
Donna Austin:I think it makes sense for that group to be Council  
Donna Austin:@Keith, should we do that independently and then share with the Board and agree the road forward.  
Susan Kawaguchi:how are council volunteers to be selected?  
Marika Konings:The notes/action items should go out shortly after this meeting together with the slides.  
Marika Konings:Thank you Heather on behalf of the team :-)  
Ayden Férdeline:thanks all, looking forward to our next call on Thursday  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Bye for now...  
farzaneh badii:thanks for the meeting  
Susan Kawaguchi:Thanks all  
Donna Austin:Good job Heather  
Tatiana Tropina:thanks all  
Michele Neylon:bye  
Donna Austin:Thanks everyone  
David Olive:Thank you ALL - good discussion  
Tatiana Tropina:bye  
Keith Drazek (RySG):Thanks all  
Rafik Dammak:bye all! thanks