Terri Agnew: Welcome to the GNSO Council with the ICANN Board meeting to discuss next steps following the adoption of ICANN Board of the temporary specification on Tuesday 5 June 2018 at 13:00 UTC.

Syed Ismail Shah: Syed Ismail Shah
Nathalie Peregrine: Welcome Syed
Tatiana Tropina: Hi all, as I am in Georgia I can't do dial out in roaming - I am going to be on adobe connect only
Tatiana Tropina: (they dialed me out but I refused to join on the phone, sorry for that)
Nathalie Peregrine: Thank you Tatiana, noted.
Tatiana Tropina: I might also connect from the second laptop as a back up as it's on another network, so if you see "tatiana Tropina backup" trying to connect - please let me in :)
Keith Drazek: What is the phone number?
Nathalie Peregrine: 1-517-345-9004
Donna Austin, RySG: Keith: 1866 692 5726
Keith Drazek: Thanks
Nathalie Peregrine: Passcode BOARD COUNCIL
Stephanie Perrin: Only works with Google for me.
Rubens Kuhl: I'm on via Google Chrome.
Tatiana Tropina: Works on one laptop with google and on the second laptop with Mozilla (am on two laptops today)
Michele Neylon: working fine in Chrome today
Manal Ismail: Hello everyone :) !!
Heather Forrest: Hi Manal - thanks to you and your Board colleagues for joining
Michele Neylon: Did we put in a question about budget?
Michele Neylon: (I think I raised it somewhere, but I could be imagining it)
Stephanie Perrin: Surely we can ask questions offscript?
Heather Forrest: Michele - we haven't yet asked about budget/resources but that's likely to flow from scope and timing, so a natural follow-on
Becky Burr: and subject to complying with law
Donna Austin, RySG: Doesn't really relate to modifying the temporary specification.
Keith Drazek: The Board modifying the Temp Spec mid-stream is separate from the initial scoping. Related but not the same issue.
Rubens Kuhl: One possible solution to (1) and (2) is to have a two-phased ePDP. Phase 1 with only uncontroversial parts of what's already in the temp spec. The controversial ones (like the 3 points Tucows is not following) and the outstanding items from the Annex could be the scope of phase 2 of the ePDP.
Susan Kawaguchi: @Rubens or address the issues in the Annex first such as an Accreditation model since this severely impacts Security and Stability and then deal with the easy parts
Keith Drazek: Agree Rubens. Or there could be 2 parallel EPDPs.
Heather Forrest: Thanks Rubens - your comment is also noted in Q5 in the Council list
Susan Kawaguchi: I do think we have several parallel paths here
Rubens Kuhl: Keith, I surely imagined the IRT for phase 1 being parallel with the PDP for phase 2. But I don't see necessarily as being 2 PDPs, but it could be too.
Keith Drazek: To be successful, the EPDP needs to be as narrowly scoped as possible under the Temp Spec.
Michele Neylon: +1 Keith
Darcy Southwell: +1 Keith
Michele Neylon: overloading it with highly contentious stuff that isn't 100% core is a recipe for failure
Susan Kawaguchi: @ Keith then when does the community work on creating a full consensus policy?
Heather Forrest: Thanks Chris - it would be helpful to have a timeline for coming back to Q5
Rafik Dammak: staggering and phasing would be more realistic than having several PDPs in parallel as it will need probably the same resources
Keith Drazek: But to Susan's point, the accreditation and access model is a very important component of the community's work, wherever it resides. That's why I was thinking of a parallel EPDP focused only on accreditation/access. The resources for it might be very different than the Temp Spec process.
Susan Kawaguchi: I see the first question for the ePDP does the community adopt the Temp Policy as consensus policy if not then the ePDP would address creating a new policy
Susan Kawaguchi: difficult chore but sorely needed
Michele Neylon: We still don't have an answer from ICANN on which policies + contractual clauses are impacted
Keith Drazek: +1 Michele. That was part of the Board resolution, so a target date would be helpful.
Heather Forrest: To Donna's point about boundaries, there's the Annexes (anticipated, can be dealt with through some flexibility) and then there are subsequent changes not envisioned by the Annexes
Manal Ismail: +1 Keith Drazek on parallel EPDP focused only on accreditation/access
Michele Neylon: multiple temporary specs sounds like a mess
Heather Forrest: likewise multiple EPDPs, Michele
Michele Neylon: sorry, but really - one was bad, multiple ones would be a receipt for disaster
Michele Neylon: recipe .. not receipt
Manal Ismail: I just note that the Accreditation is a matter of urgency and I'm not sure if we're talking about 2 phases how long may this take..
Manal Ismail: * of urgency
avri doria: did we ever resolve the issue of whether a temp spec pdp requirement could be met by a revision to an existing PDP charter.
Susan Kawaguchi: +1 Manal
avri doria: this could eb a way of avoiding a second pdp, but hopefully this is an outside case and not something being planned on.
Heather Forrest: @ Avri - I think it all boils down to how we draft the charter. It's the perennial problem of drafting too broad, we're clueless, drafting too narrow, we don't have flexibility to deal with change
Keith Drazek: Thanks Chris, that document will be very helpful as the Council considers the scoping/chartering question.
Michele Neylon: +1 Heather
Keith Drazek: We have an extraordinary Council meeting one week from today. It would be good to have it prior to that.
Heather Forrest: Good point Keith -
Michele Neylon: clear timelines = helpful
Heather Forrest: The sole purpose of the extraordinary meeting next Thurs is to discuss Council's next steps
Rubens Kuhl: 12 months are only 52 weeks.
Rubens Kuhl: 3 weeks of those 52 already passed.
Michele Neylon: +1 Heather
Rubens Kuhl: It's indeed about picket fence.
Rubens Kuhl: Items in the Temp Spec that have been flagged so far as not belonging to a policy:
Rubens Kuhl: - SLAs
Keith Drazek: The Temp Spec muddles policy questions, implementation questions and some elements that might not be eligible for a PDP. We just need to be cautious in the chartering of the EPDP to ensure we're clear on each category.

Rubens Kuhl: Registry Monthly Reports

Heather Forrest: Let's note please that Q8 is for follow up from Board, and ideally a timeline on response would be helpful

Rubens Kuhl: RRAs
Rubens Kuhl: RRAs
Rubens Kuhl: Escrow
Rubens Kuhl: BRDA

Stephanie Perrin: the temp spec is an attempt to comply with law. There has been no admission that existing instruments have not complied with law for quite a few years now. I am not optimistic about our chances for clarity in scope if we cannot get a clear picture of which practices enmeshed in contract and policy are not in compliance with law.

Heather Forrest: @Stephanie - I'm still trying to get my head around how a regional law that does not apply to all the world has this extrajudicial effect of requiring global changes

Stephanie Perrin: Michele's example of "being good" is not a great one, with respect....figuring out what "being good" means is a policy question, cannot be left to an IRT. just putting that on the table. Tech details of implementation are safely left to an IRT.

Keith Drazek: Thanks Chris, agreed about the risk and outside pressures.

Stephanie Perrin: Apparently lots of people are having that problem Heather...

Rubens Kuhl: @Heather, are you referring to FATCA? ;-


Heather Forrest: ie are we required to comply with all local laws, about everything, all around the world

Keith Drazek: A broken clock is right twice a day!

Rafik Dammak: @keith a broken digital clock is wrong all the time :)

Rubens Kuhl: Can we include ICANN Legal?

Heather Forrest: Yes, correct, Chris - thank you

Donna Austin, RySG: Once the PDP kicks off, there is the PDP WG, Council and the Board. So who would be liaising with who? What is the role of Council and Board once the PDP WG is established and working?

Heather Forrest: I realise I'm a broken record on this point, but to the extent that such a decision-making process takes time to consult various views, delay in decision-making will have an impact on the EPDP

Manal Ismail: Sure Chris... Happy to do any necessary facilitation..

Rubens Kuhl: I believe we should afford equal representation among the ACs... we don't have favorite children.

Rubens Kuhl: (SSAC/RSSAC/GAC/ALAC, if they were willing to)

Susan Kawaguchi: +1 Rubens

Manal Ismail: +1 Rubens and Susan I'm sure there's willingness but I can only talk for the GAC..

Heather Forrest: thanks everyone

Tatiana Tropina: Thanks all! Bye

Rafik Dammak: thanks and bye all

Manal Ismail: Thanks everyone .. Bye ..

Lito Ibarra: Thanks

MAEMURA Akinori: thank you bye!

Rubens Kuhl: Thanks and bye @!!
Arsene Tungali: Thanks everyone