Marika Konings: Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of Thursday 24 May 2018
Syed Ismail Shah: Thank you
Rafik Dammak: hi all
Nathalie Peregrine: Welcome!
Ayden Férdeline: Hi all, nice time for once for you Rafik, Heather, Syed... ;-
Heather Forrest: I’m sorry about the time zone Tony - APAC is relieved to not be at 1am for a change
Rafik Dammak: :)
Pam Little, RrSG: +1 Heather
Tatiana Tropina: Hi all. I have some problem with Internet connection in my hotel in Rome, has enough bandwidth only in one corner of the room and not stable. I am on the phone bridge but might be disappearing from Adobe.
Martin Silva: Hi all
Tony Harris: Time is fine, gives me an early start of the day...
Heather Forrest: Just think how efficient you’ll be today Tony :) 
Julf Helsingius: 6 am is not too bad for me, but guess it is 5 am in the UK
Marika Konings: Please remember to mute your microphones if you connect your audio via Adobe Connect
Donna Austin, RySG: Heather, Rafik and Pam are basking in the glow of a call at reasonable hour.
Heather Forrest: Philippe - are you "P" in the AC room?
Heather Forrest: Quite right, Donna - watch out, we’re wide awake for a change!
Martin Silva: Ayden is dialing in in a few seconds or minutes
Ayden Férdeline: Do you mean Arsene, Martin?
Martin Silva: no no, you are already in!
Martin Silva: Arsene gave me a proxy
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): Unless like Heather you have been up overnight on calls anyway ;-
Michele Neylon: it’s still not loading for me in Chrome
Michele Neylon: working fine in Safari
Julf Helsingius: Works fine on Opera on linux
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): Yes Michele I tried again on Chrome first, I trust that is a ticket they will get to eventually
Martin Silva: loading in chrome/mac no problem
Ayden Férdeline: so glad we are using Adobe again
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): Martin for me it loops at the guest log in accept name then ask again ... rinse repeat... so forced to find a MS machine
Ayden Férdeline: i am Chrome/Mac too
Nathalie Peregrine: Stephanie Perrin joined the AC room
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): ahh that is I suspect the difference then, I am not an IOS user
Martin Silva: (chrome opened the mac app in my case)
Stephanie Perrin: Apologies for being late.
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): That is a significant loss
Ayden Férdeline: Happy to second that Heather
Heather Forrest: Thanks Ayden, much appreciated
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): Yes Michele that is a pity
Keith Drazek: +1 Heather, agreed regarding thanks to Chuck. I expect Chuck to be around occasionally, but I doubt he’ll be taking on any leadership roles.
Keith Drazek: Maybe we can make a video in Panama with actual applause.
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): Great idea Keith
Marika Konings: This item can now move to implementation as the Board voted on it
Ayden Férdeline: This email contains some useful links re: ICANN org response to Council's comment
https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/council/2018-May/021308.html

Marika Konings: Please make sure to provide your input on the PDP 3.0 paper by 8 June.

Donna Austin, RySG: No update

Philippe Fouquart: same here :)

Nathalie Peregrine: Susan Kawaguchi has joined the audio bridge

Keith Drazek: Agreed

Ayden Férdeline: on the CCEG-Internet Governance, I would appreciate a response from staff to the questions asked on the Council mailing list back in March on resources. may be helpful to start an inventory of questions asked on our list, and when a response is delivered (if a response is delivered), as I feel like many questions go unanswered, unintentionally I am sure as traffic is high

Marika Konings: @Ayden - these are still on staff's list and I am hoping to get a response soon. I think all the work on the budget may have delayed feedback on the questions that the Council provided.

Ayden Férdeline: thanks Marika

Stephanie Perrin: I think that would be a helpful idea, to see the question list. I find this list we are looking at now extremely helpful, and the question list would also be useful.

Marika Konings: You should have an email from Julie Hedlund in this regard.

Keith Drazek: My understanding is the CCEG-IG receives no travel support and minimal staff support outside of supporting monthly calls. Relatively speaking, associated expenses are not material. But I agree a formal response would be good. K

Marika Konings: Here is a link to the email: https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/council/2018-May/021321.html

Ayden Férdeline: the CCWG-IG’s annual report, was instance, was written by an external consultant, so one wonders what other support it does receive that we may be unaware of. it may not receive all that much support, but still good to know the overall cost implications.

Keith Drazek: Agreed

Rafik Dammak: @Ayden the report is taken care by GE team

Rafik Dammak: the CCWG is not managing any budget

Ayden Férdeline: @Ayden the document’s metadata includes the name and revision history of someone who is not ICANN staff. the budget to produce it may have come from the GSE budget but there is surely a cost implication here.

Tatiana Tropina: @Nathalie, Terri - am sorry for joining and re-joining, hotel Internet is unstable (but the phone bridge is working just great)

Ayden Férdeline: and there have been messages forwarded to the list occasionally from Cullen International’s subscription service, which is extremely expensive, so would be curious to know how many of these subscriptions that GSE needs to keep abreast of the issues that the group tracks. these are important issues and should be tracked, but i would like to know how much its direct and indirect support is costing.

Ayden Férdeline: i know ICANN does not work in isolation and the work of the CCWG-IG/CCEG-IG is very important. but it is my feeling that the costs associated have been downplayed. i might be wrong however.

Heather Forrest: Just noting for everyone that after this agenda item we are going to come back to the consent agenda vote and correct one of the proxy votes

Heather Forrest: I am happy to second the motion

Heather Forrest: To clarify, is the concern having NCAs on the committee, or having them make nominations of candidates for SSC to consider?

Marika Konings: Just to clarify, nominating committee members are not excluded from the SSC, they are just expected to select a representative from the three NCAs
Heather Forrest: Thanks Marika - you read my mind :)  
Marika Konings: while an SG/C can decide who to appoint, with the assumption that a member would come from that respective group as it is a representative function.
Donna Austin, RySG: Thanks for the clarification, apologies if I misunderstood.
Julf Helsingius: And happy to hear it was discussed - just wanted to be sure it had not been forgotten. :)  
Rafik Dammak: congrats for Susan for reappointment
Heather Forrest: Thanks, Susan - for everyone's reference, a section 3.7 appeal under the PDP WG Guidelines first requires that WG member discuss the matter with the PDP WG chair/s, and if that doesn't resolve the matter, it can be raised with the GNSO Chair. As we had just completed our review of the role of the liaison and resolving disputes within the PDP fell clearly within what we had documented as liaison role, I asked if Susan would be willing to assist
Heather Forrest: +1 Donna - an excellent idea to reflect on this response to a 3.7 for future consideration and learning
Keith Drazek: Yes, this was a very heavy lift for Susan, Heather, Mary and others. A really difficult situation.
Keith Drazek: An after-action report or post-mortem would be very helpful with an eye to the future.
Stephanie Perrin: Indeed it would be useful for transparency as well as future guidance.
Ayden Férdeline: On language in the letter on geographic diversity (which I presume is being used as a rough proxy for overall population diversity), the CCWG Accountability Work Stream 2 Diversity subgroup identified other elements of diversity, i.e. gender, age, among others. Should this broader definition be used?
Philippe Fouquart: Nothing to add thanks
Keith Drazek: Nothing more from me
Rafik Dammak: and thanks to Donna and Philippe for volunteering in the small team
Martin Silva: here as well
Ayden Férdeline: I can hear you Heather, not much interference - but there is a little
Nathalie Peregrine: Stopped now
Susan Kawaguchi: The RDS RT have been in communication with Theresa and MSSI and the RDS RT may be removed from the comments
Ayden Férdeline: I hate how the community is blamed for this
Ayden Férdeline: we did not tell MSSI to act as they did and not to consult with anyone...
Heather Forrest: Given that RDS RT envisions winding up its work this year, after having started in July 2017, it seemed strange that it was included
Keith Drazek: I think shutting down the RDS RT at this stage is a mistake, for several reasons.
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): I believe that sentiment would be shared by ALAC and many in At-Large as well @Keith
Donna Austin, RySG: At a minimum, it would have made sense for Theresa's team to have a conversation with the RDS RT before they published the reports.
Stephanie Perrin: I agree with what Keith is saying, from a procedural perspective this is of great concern.
Ayden Férdeline: agreed Keith. It is odd, if not grossly inappropriate, for the organisation that should be reviewed to propose that its independent reviews be delayed/suspended/etc. RTs should have their own, independent budgets to prevent this happening again.
Carlos Gutierrez: +1 keith!!!!
Susan Kawaguchi: completely Agree with Keith
Philippe Fouquart: +1 to what Keith has just said. It doesn't look good at all.
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): Again I note these views resonate with what I hear from ALAC discussions at this point
Susan Kawaguchi: the timing of this comment period is also suspect
Stephanie Perrin: I actually think a sternly worded letter would be better.
Keith Drazek: @Heather: My reference to SSR2 RT was just an example, I wasn't being critical of the good work you and the other SO/AC leaders have undertaken on that subject. My comment was intended to be more about the importance of the independence of Specific Reviews in general.
Susan Kawaguchi: Could not believe anyone in ICANN.org had time to focus on this the week before the GDPR deadline
Heather Forrest: Helpful update on ALAC Cheryl - thank you
Ayden Férdeline: agreed on a letter
Stephanie Perrin: I do not want our concerns to be lost in a Q and a session.
Susan Kawaguchi: Could not believe anyone in ICANN.org had time to focus on this the week before the GDPR deadline
Ayden Férdeline: @susan perhaps the date was by design... call for a suspension of independent reviews when we are all distracted
Carlos Gutierrez: agree with Stephanie
Carlos Gutierrez: don’t think Q&A session is the right path
Stephanie Perrin: The budget implications of killing off the RDS Review at this point, with so much spent already, strike me as financially reckless.
Susan Kawaguchi: @ayden it does make you wonder
Keith Drazek: I happen to agree with the need to find the right "cadence" or balance of Reviews, but not shutting down active work that is supposed to be independent and are key accountability mechanisms.
Stephanie Perrin: I think we all agree with that Keith, and that is what this should have been focused on.
Susan Kawaguchi: We do need to think about the ATRT3, that has been on hold for 9 months so it should be put on the schedule but when it is reasonable for the community
Keith Drazek: I will volunteer to participate in the Charter drafting team and any engagement with the Board.
Susan Kawaguchi: I will also volunteer for the drafting team
Ayden Férdeline: I think they should be the same participants
Heather Forrest: Very good point - I am assuming that we’re having a call with the Board irrespective, but quite right that we need to be specific
Keith Drazek: The RySG had our bi-weekly call earlier today. We are undertaking a review of the Temporary Specification to identify what components would be eligible for a PDP, and what will be out of scope vis-à-vis the picket fence. This should help focus the charter drafting team and ensure we don’t take on more than is necessary and/or appropriate within the PDP WG.
Michele Neylon: +1 Keith
Pam Little, RrSG: Agreed with Keith also. Also RrSG has not had a chance to discuss the Temp Spec until our next meeting which is one week away from today. So we need some more time.
Keith Drazek: This is a very complex issue and we’re in uncharted waters vis-à-vis a Temporary Specification and the ensuing PDP or ePDP. The Temporary Spec has some serious issues, so we should be looking to find the best path forward, not simply to affirm the Temp Spec as Consensus Policy or to reject it outright.
Donna Austin, RySG: @Heather, the RySG also discussed the need to be mindful of the timeframe, but not rush unnecessarily because of the timeframe.
Michele Neylon: It’s a balancing act
Keith Drazek: Also, there may be a deadline for certain parts of the Temp Spec and PDP, but other components might be appropriately deferred.
Michele Neylon: Keith - yeah - there's a few things in there that are "crucial" and others that really aren't
Keith Drazek: Yep
Rafik Dammak: @Keith as proposed during the webinar we can use the worstream approach and list the item.

Keith Drazek: I agree Rafik, I think that's an important option.

Stephanie Perrin: The temp spec does indeed have some serious issues. Compliance with GDPR also threads in and out of the "picket fence". I don't see how,....while respecting the concern of contracted parties to not subject picket fenced items to a pdp let alone an expedited pdp....I cannot figure out how to navigate that.

Keith Drazek: @Stephanie: I agree but we have identified that as a concern and we're spending time and energy to assess it.

Keith Drazek: @Heather: The RySG is beginning that work

Heather Forrest: Good to know, Keith - thank you

Keith Drazek: We will be sure to keep the GNSO Council informed about that assessment as we move toward a charter drafting effort.

Stephanie Perrin: I wonder if anyone, in all their outreach to the DPAs, has explained exactly what is inside or outside of the picket fence...if so, I would love to read it :-)

Keith Drazek: I think we need to have our conversation with the Board and then a special meeting prior to Panama.

Stephanie Perrin: I would be happy to join the charter drafting team too.

Ayden Férdeline: I would be happy to dial into a Special Council Meeting, but would prefer we do a Doodle poll to identify the most appropriate date for everyone. not sure how the 14th was chosen but it may not work for all

Carlos Gutierrez: +1 extra meeting around the 14th or so

Heather Forrest: Calling a special meeting must happen 14 days in advance

Michele Neylon: we probably need to engage with the Board ASAP

Heather Forrest: I think it would be useful to speak to the Board first, but probably should have our SG/C meetings before that?

Marie Pattullo: Agree with Keith.

Donna Austin, RySG: I agree that we need to speak to the Board, but what is the purpose or objective of that conversation.

Ayden Férdeline: The Board has a meeting on 30 May to potentially adopt the FY19 Budget, if there are budget implications associated with the EPDP, should we notify the Board before then? Not sure how this works or where we can get funds/resources from potentially

Keith Drazek: I agree we should invite/invoke other parts of the community, similar to the Subsequent Procedures WT-5 (Geo Names) work track. But it MUST be a GNSO PDP that follows GNSO PDP operating procedures. The outcome will have a direct impact on registry and registrar contracts.

Marie Pattullo: Can that be discussed/defined in the special meeting before the Board call, Donna?

Heather Forrest: Bear in mind that WT5 has 180 members (number not exact, but you get the point)

Susan Kawaguchi: @ Keith agree it is a GNSO PDP of some sort.

Marika Konings: Note that all options included in the next steps document foresee representation from other SO/ACs that are interested in this topic.

Julf Helsingius: Indeed, GAC won't be able to react quickly, but we don't want them putting pressure on the board either.

Ayden Férdeline: +1 Michele - DPA views are crucial

Susan Kawaguchi: @ Michele we need to figure out how to work with those who identify with the GAC

Rafik Dammak: yes it is a GNSO PDP and we got to follow the process, we are not excluding other ACs but it is not a WT5 which was a particular case

Tatiana Tropina: Very much support the concept of GNSO PDP (and being clear about it)

Julf Helsingius: Absolutely keep it a GNSO PDP - but let's use the quick look mechanism
Stephanie Perrin: I would like to repeat my recommendation from earlier this week....we need to do a formal post mortem on why the RDS group failed, and ensure we do not repeat those faultlines in the structure of this group.

Donna Austin, RySG: To Rafik's point about WT5: Under no circumstances should this effort have a leadership team with representation from all SG/Cs. A recommendation of the RDS PDP leadership team is that One Chair supported by Vice Chairs is the preferred model.

Stephanie Perrin: How about having the ACs as observers?

Keith Drazek: Thanks all. I think we're all in agreement, but we just need to be careful as we reference "CCWG model" for participation, or other terminology that might lead others to misunderstand our intent.

Tatiana Tropina: Keith, yes. May be we need to change the language in this regard

Heather Forrest: The Board made clear in its resolution that it requires the GNSO to address the matter

Ayden Férdeline: agree with that suggestion @Stephanie - both the need for a post mortem, and ACs there as observers, not voting members

Keith Drazek: Agreed Rafik

Tatiana Tropina: Heather, in WT5 for example it took quite some time to explain that it's a GNSO process, as we have so less time here -- better to be crystal clear so time won't be wasted explaining to other parts of the community as to what's going on

Tatiana Tropina: based on the board resolution etc. of course

Marika Konings: @Ayden - do note that there is no voting as such in PDPs :)

Rafik Dammak: we can replace the name of CCWG for option and find something else.

Keith Drazek: I think we should meet as SG/Cs before meeting with Board

Tatiana Tropina: Rafik, +1

Keith Drazek: Yes, agreed Heather.

Tatiana Tropina: CCWG minus is too complex for a name anyway :)

Darcy Southwell: Agree with Heather. We need to talk with our SG/Cs first.

Donna Austin, RySG: Agreed

Susan Kawaguchi: agree

Marika Konings: @Tatiana - Task Force Plus?

Keith Drazek: I think the extra week will give us a much clearer picture of what we need to discuss.

Tatiana Tropina: Marika, why not! Anything with no CCWG! :)

Donna Austin, RySG: Let's not try to put a title on the type of WG for now. Let's focus on principles of what we want and work from there.

Keith Drazek: Agree Donna

Carlos Gutierrez: YES

Pam Little, RrSG: Agreed with Heather.

Keith Drazek: +1 Heather

Darcy Southwell: Yes

Philippe Fouquart: yes!

Stephanie Perrin: True Marika, but we could not reach rough consensus in the RDS because we were swarmed with third party data users who were not affiliated with a constituency.

Susan Kawaguchi: yes

Rafik Dammak: +1000

Tatiana Tropina: yes yes and yes

Nathalie Peregrine: You can find the schedule posted here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__gnso.icann.org_sites_default_files_file_field-2Dfile-2Dattach_icann62-2Ddraft-2Dgnso-2Dschedule-2D22may18-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrWII3mSVzgifkbPSS6sJms7xclI5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFz
Michele Neylon: My glasses aren't that good
Julf Helsingius: I am on a small-screen laptop, no chance to read that one
Syed Ismail Shah 2: Sure. Will have a look.
Carlos Gutierrez: looking for my pijama
Pam Little, RrSG: Final Proposed FY19 Operating Plan and Budget webinar: 24 May at 14:00 UTC
Berry Cobb: As part of the SCBO, I do plan to provide a summary delta from draft to proposed budget. As Ayden stated, not significant changes, but at minimal just to note as it relates to Council comments.
Syed Ismail Shah 2: Thank you
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): Bye everyone...
Ayden Férdeline: thanks all
Michele Neylon: see you all
Marie Pattullo: Thanks all.
Tatiana Tropina: Thanks all - have a nice day / good night :) bye
Philippe Fouquart: Thank you.
Martin Silva: bye all
Julf Helsingius: Thank you all, safe travels!