

Nathalie Peregrine:Dear all, welcome to the GNSO Council call on the 18 May 2017  
Nathalie Peregrine:Please remember to dial into the audio bridge, passcode Council. If you are having difficulties, please request a dial out here. Thanks!

Erika Mann:Hi Nathalie! Hi Team!  
Nathalie Peregrine>Welcome all!  
Michele Neylon:just waiting for the operator  
Philip Corwin>Hello all. Waiting on operator  
Philip Corwin:On audio now  
Michele Neylon:I'm in I think  
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:I'm not in the bridge and my Adobe audio is not working.  
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Will have to answer row call and vote by chat.  
James Bladel:Noted - Will work to get a dial out.  
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Here  
Ben Fuller>Hello all  
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Terri, I can't answer your private chat because it says that private chat is disabled by the host.  
Marika Konings:@Ruben - you may need to log out / log in to AC again, that usually fixes the private chat disabled option.  
Marika Konings:sorry, Rubens  
Terri Agnew:@Rubens, I have sent you a private adobe connect chat  
Martin Silva:I am also here! Martin Silva  
Nathalie Peregrine:Noted, thanks Martin!  
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Will try a new AC in other browser, but keep this AC window still open.  
Terri Agnew:Thanks Rubens  
Marika Konings:@Keith - note item 10.1 :-)  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Heard... Sorry NATA  
Keith Drazek (RySG):Excellent, thanks. Missed that!  
Susan Kawaguchi:no problem  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):oops Natalie... didn't UNMUTE in time as I was trying to get into the AC  
Nathalie Peregrine:hehe easily done  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):-)  
Philip Corwin:FYI, "Proposed Implementation of GNSO Consensus Policy Recommendations for the Protection of IGO&INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs" has just been published for comment at [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_public-2Dcomments\\_igo-2Dingo-2Dprotection-2D2017-2D05-2D17-2Den&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=25rfpD68YP6RBzeqyg6PcgHDnBxxP55glRohoZodtrc&s=nzcNUdSIIXeV\\_YWQqzJUTe9RuhceU2ZXARZCTbiY9E&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_public-2Dcomments_igo-2Dingo-2Dprotection-2D2017-2D05-2D17-2Den&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=25rfpD68YP6RBzeqyg6PcgHDnBxxP55glRohoZodtrc&s=nzcNUdSIIXeV_YWQqzJUTe9RuhceU2ZXARZCTbiY9E&e=)  
Philip Corwin:What's an IGO? ;-)  
Rubens Kuhl (RySG):Igo and Ingo always sounded to me as characteres from a horror movie.  
James Bladel:You know the song about the farmer and his dog....B I N G O  
Marika Konings>Note that the RDS PDP is also looking at obtaining legal input with regards to the questions that were put forward to the DPAs, to help inform its deliberations.  
Nathalie Peregrine:Marilia Maciel will be joining the Council meetig shortly  
Keith Drazek (RySG):For the record, I believe the GNSO Council IS the right body for this request, but there was pushback so the CPH took the initiative.

James Bladel:Thanks, Keith. I was trying to give the sense of our discussions in Copenhagen, and not a judgement on whether or not the GNSO should take the lead.

Erika Mann:I'm back in the cue, a new hand please!

Keith Drazek (RySG):I understand, thanks James.

Marika Konings:@Erika - that is why the RDS PDP WG is trying to obtain independent legal advice :-)

James Bladel:Acknowledged, but let's pull back a bit.

Nathalie Peregrine:Stephanie Perrin has joined the audio bridge

Marika Konings:DPAs provided some input, but the WG also wants to have an independent legal assessment. If you have any recommendations on who would be able to take this on, please let me know off-list.

Marilia Maciel:Hello everyone, apologies for being late. I got stuck in a panel that ran late.

Nathalie Peregrine>Welcome Marilia!

Marilia Maciel:Thank you Nathalie

Keith Drazek (RySG):The timing issue Susan raised is an important point. The RDS PDP WG is operating on one timeline, and the GDPR enforcement is moving much faster, which is putting Contracted Parties in a difficult position, stuck between laws and agreements with ICANN, with a penalty date of May 2018.

Susan Kawaguchi:completely agree Keith

Paul McGrady:Is there a way to boost James' volume? He sounds like he is hosting an NPR program from a particularly scenic cave in Hawaii. :)

Michele Neylon:lol

Michele Neylon:I'd have thought GD wouldn't use motels with paper thin walls

James Bladel:Well, they don't have winter here, so everything is just concrete & adobe

Donna Austin, RySG:I understand that our response was not timely enough for the Board to be able to consider it for the call with the GAC

Philip Corwin:Even if the Board is just engaging in these discussions to "be nice", it can raise expectations among GAC members for results that, if not realized, can further exacerbate tensions between the GAC and Board. We saw this happen when the Board/GAC/IGO small group discussions lack of concrete results resulted in very harsh remarks from GAC members in Hyderabad.

Philip Corwin:Our response is quite clear --There should be no opportunity for this Advice to cause the Board to re-open their decision on two letter codes at the second level, as contained in the Board's resolution of 8 November 2016 and subsequent implementation, which came at the end of a long process that included community consultation and input. The Council is also concerned that the Consensus Advice contained in Section VI. 4. of the Communique that essentially requires the ICANN Board to negotiate directly, and reach resolution, with individual governments on two letter domain names at the second level is, in our view, inconsistent with the Consensus Advice mechanism found in the ICANN bylaws and as such should not be considered "Consensus Advice". The GNSO Council regards this as an unhelpful attempt to sidestep requirements contained in the Bylaws to delegate GAC-equivalent consensus advice to individual GAC members, rather than the GAC as a whole. We note that this was discussed extensively during the CC

Philip Corwin:No problem, James

Rubens Kuhl (RySG):I have to drop now, unfortunately. Will catch up with the recording later.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG):If the need arises, GNSO Secs has my number.

Edward Morris: I'm in complete agreement with everything Phil has written here.

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Thomas, we have promoted you to presenter so you have control of your slides.

James Bladel:Thank you Nathalie. If we can be brief on the slides, it will leave some time for Q&A from Council.

Philip Corwin:Thanks Ed. My last long post was, to be clear, the language in the Council's review of the Copenhagen GAC Communique.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):very true Thomas

James Bladel:No, forwarding unused budget to next FY18

James Bladel:Not a new or additional budget allocation.

Heather Forrest:Don't forget to post the URL for the slides so we can review in detail afterwards

Nathalie Peregrine:Thank you Heather, we will circulate urls of all presentation decks shortly

Heather Forrest:Thanks, Nathalie -not rushing you, just making sure we don't forget

Nathalie Peregrine:Appreciate the follow up!

Paul McGrady:Not really an answer, but probably the best we will get.

James Bladel:@Paul - somebody (CCWG, Board, etc.) needs to close the door on the jurisdiction issue, because it just keeps coming back from the dead.

Stephanie Perrin:Thanks Thomas, great answer, and I guess a list of new potential items for study may result in some items being forwarded to other committees.

James Bladel:@Stephanie - New hand?

Stephanie Perrin:sorry

Thomas Rickert:Paul, I understand you are not happy with my (attempt of an) answer. We will surely manage the gorups more closely as we move on.

Paul McGrady:Thanks Thomas!

Thomas Rickert:...and try to end certain parts of the conversation.

Edward Morris:Thanks Thomas, both for this presentation and for your leadership over the past three years.

Thomas Rickert:Thanks, Ed!

Keith Drazek (RySG):With the CCWG-IG, it seems like we're still trying to fit a square peg into a round hole. While the work is important and valuable, the CCWG-IG does not fit the template for CCWGs that the community has agreed to, even with the proposed Charter adjustments. I think changing the name of the group to Internet Governance Discussion Group would allow the group to continue its engagement, receive staff/Adobe support, engage appropriately with the Board's IG Work Group, etc., but not be held to a template that really doesn't fit.

Julf Helsingius:seems so

Michele Neylon:I can't hear him properly

Michele Neylon:sounds like he's at the bottom of a pit

James Bladel:If other lines could please mute, it might help us hear Rafik

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):someone else seems to have an open mike as well I think....

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):sounds better now

James Bladel:Note that Staff is trying to reconnect to Donna. If we can reach her, we'll insert her back in teh queue.

Keith Drazek (RySG):The ccNSO raised similar concerns in Hyderabad.

Nathalie Peregrine:Donna is on

Rafik:@Keith I am talking about the amended charter, didnt hear anything from ccNSO as concern

Keith Drazek (RySG):I'll take my hand down. I put my comments in chat above.

James Bladel:Thanks, Keith.

Darcy Southwell (RrSG):My apologies, but I have to drop off this call due to a conflict. Re the CCWG IG charter, I agree with Donna's comments and the areas where she vague or unclear items in the charter. It's important that the CCWG have a work plan and that its work is transparent and regularly reported on in detail. I share Donna's concerns re how the CCWG intends to act as a voice of the community. We also still have a case where we don't have a defined beginning, middle and end for this CCWG. That seems contradictory to the intended usage of a CCWG.

Rafik:@Michele nobody is funded via CCWG-IG to go any IG related events

Michele Neylon:Rafik - for now

Rafik:@michele for now, for future, we dont even have enough staff for the CCWG.

Keith Drazek (RySG):I think it's important for the ICANN community to engage with the ICANN staff and Board IG Work Team, but I think it's important that we do not set a precedent that runs afoul of CCWG recommendations that are now in force.

Michele Neylon:+1 Keith

Marilia Maciel:There are programs that support travel Michaele. You can question their utility, but indeed, this has nothing to do with the CCWG IG.

Donna Austin, RySG:thank you Thomas for making yourself available.

Thomas Rickert:pleasure!

James Bladel:do re mi...

Thomas Rickert:Thanks, James!

Thomas Rickert:Thanks Councillors.

Thomas Rickert:I will leave the call now.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):thx Thomas

Stephanie Perrin:Bye Thomas, thanks!

Donna Austin, RySG:that would be great, thanks Cheryl

Ben Fuller:ccNSO Council is meeting as we speak. If there are any comments from that meeting I will send them to Council

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):we can but try

Keith Drazek (RySG):I have to drop off a few minutes before the top of the hour.

Stephanie Perrin:Phil is raising a real issue. If we don't know the hotel till the last minute the hotels are all full....

Julf Helsingius:I would like to know where these policies are documented

Wolf-Ulrich Knobens:@Phil +1

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):indeed James, the last minute notification of CT supported accommodation is really hard to work with and understand

Philip Corwin:Yes, carlos, let's not have another IGO discussion that runs until 9 pm

Nathalie Peregrine:There is a Security Briefing webinar planned for the end of May, details will be circulated to the Council list once we know more

Heather Forrest:There was a webinar yesterday also -

Heather Forrest:sounds like there is a possiblility of hotel shuttle from airport

Marika Konings:Susan, you have control of the slides

Nathalie Peregrine:AC Recording of the ICANN59 webinar held yesterday: Adobe Connect Recording: <https://participate.icann.org/p8hjsxno0f6i/>

Nathalie Peregrine:An additional webinar focused on security will be held shortly.

James Bladel:I think that's correct, Susan.

Stephanie Perrin:Agree with Paul's suggestion

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):thanks everyone... bye ðŹŹŹŹ for now then...

Philip Corwin:Bye all. See you in Joburg

Susan Kawaguchi:Thanks all!

Erika Mann:Thanks!

Julf Helsingius:Thank you everyone! See you in SA!

Marilia Maciel:Thank you

James Bladel:Thanks all!

Heather Forrest:bye everyone

Martin Silva:by all

Nathalie Peregrine:Thank you everyone!

Valerie Tan:Thanks. Bye!

