Terri Agnew: Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting on Thursday, 16 August 2018 at 21:00 UTC.

Ayden Férdeline: Hi all
Michele Neylon: evening
Syed Ismail Shah: I’ll dial in shortly
Marie Pattullo: Evening!
Julf Helsingius: Evening
Darcy Southwell: Hello, all!
Martin Silva Valent: hello
Susan Kawaguchi: Hello All!

Ayden Férdeline: just brewing some coffee, wish i could share it with everyone... i think we’d all enjoy it at this hour ;-) 
Michele Neylon: I’m drinking green tea
Michele Neylon: Kill me now :)

Susan Kawaguchi: @Ayden, this is a perfect time of day for me! No middle of the night call
Martin Silva Valent: 18hs, purrfect for the american continent

Ayden Férdeline: ah good, I thought it might be the mid-afternoon slump for some ;-) 
Marie Pattullo: Coffee at this time of day! Brave man. I havw water. Rock’n’roll lifestyle...

Rafik Dammak: hi all
Keith Drazek (RySG): Hi all, apologies for not speaking up during roll call...my Adobe shut down and I wasn’t connected to the phone bridge yet. I am present and accounted for.

Tatiana Tropina: Hi all - am bravely having coffee :)
Philippe Fouquart: Hi this is Philippe. Apologies I’m late.
Michele Neylon: I *did* spend part of today discussing my pension - does that count?
Michele Neylon: +1 Darcy
Ayden Férdeline: Yes, good observation
Rafik Dammak: ok
Marie Pattullo: Agreed.

Darcy Southwell: Thank you. I suggest that the EPDP should be the first topic of discussion after the votes for every meeting while the EPDP is pending.

Terri Agnew: action items wiki page: https://community.icann.org/x/RgZlAg
Heather Forrest: Noted Darcy thanks
Donna Austin, RySG: I think its probably in the too hard basket.
Mary Wong: Indeed, Cheryl! :)
Heather Forrest: Excellent Cheryl, thank you
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC Liaison): Happy to do an update on what was recommended (though it is ancient history now)

Rubens Kuhl: Tatiana, do you see NCSG ever being able to adopt this motion in the future?
Ayden Férdeline: We deal in the present, not hypotheticals, Rubens
Tatiana Tropina: Heather, yes, we just need a bit more time to understand the reasoning.
Mary Wong: @Tatiana, @Ayden, do let staff know if you think having Thomas (the WG chair) visit with NCSG will be helpful.

Ayden Férdeline: thank you @mary
Tatiana Tropina: Mary - thanks a lot!
Donna Austin, RySG: I’m happy to second this motion
Martin Silva Valent: @Mary yes! thanks!
Julie Hedlund: Sorry -- that was meant to be a graphic.
Heather Forrest: @Mary on Reconvened RC, could you please send the link to the recording of the final RC call in which the comments from the NCSG were discussed in detail. I recall we spent 30 minutes or so focusing principally on those comments.
 Mary Wong: @Heather, sure, I will send it to the Council list.
Heather Forrest: +1 Donna - thanks to Rafik and the group.
Donna Austin, RySG: Thanks to Jen Wolfe who Chaired this effort, and Wolf-Ulrich as Vice Chair.
Rafik Dammak: thanks all.
Terri Agnew: We can hear you Rafik.
Stephanie Perrin: we lost you for a few seconds there Rafik.
Ayden Férdeline: 24-27 September
Ayden Férdeline: 24-26, sorry.
Keith Drazek (RySG): Thanks for the EPDP update Rafik. On the point of the EPDP Vice Chair/Council Liaison roles, I am ok with you doing both. This is a unique situation where the size of the group is limited in size. If there are problems down the road where a conflict develops between the duties, I think we can deal with it. If a different VC were appointed, they would have had to come from the group of appointed members.
Michele Neylon: I've no issue with Rafik holding both positions.
Michele Neylon: if it becomes an actual issue then we can deal with it.
Tatiana Tropina: I agree with Michele that we can deal with this if we see any issues.
Keith Drazek (RySG): Plus there are other Councilors participating in the WG, so if there are issues, we'll know.
Keith Drazek (RySG): I think the next step in the Charter is to focus on the list of questions related to the Temp Spec and gating for the other discussions.
Marika Konings: The triage is expected to help inform priorities and the detailed work plan going forward. And as Rafik mentioned, we do have a high level timeline that outlines the different milestone delivery dates.
Heather Forrest: @Darcy - I think picking up on your earlier suggestion about making EPDP update a standing first item after motions, we could note specifically that the update should include an update on timeline/work plan.
Pam Little, RrSG: Have we seen a work plan developed by the EPDP Team?
Marika Konings: @Pam - that is still in development. As said, the triage effort is expected to help inform how to tackle the Temporary Spec / Charter questions.
Stephanie Perrin: I think Darcy is raising a reasonable concern... I wonder if one solution would be for Rafik to raise issues upon request. There are many councillors on this group. We can suggest a report back to the Council.
Pam Little, RrSG: Thanks, Marika.
Ayden Férdeline: Yes, the EPDP is not making much progress, if any.
Ayden Férdeline: Some participants from Advisory Committees spend time on calls asking for things that could be resolved over email, i.e. please can you call out to our Observer who has poor Internet.
Darcy Southwell: @Marika, when is the intended date to complete analysis of the survey results?
Michele Neylon: loud and very clear
Rafik Dammak: yes
Arsène Tungali: +1 Heather
Ayden Férdeline: A lot of time is being spent relitigating what is in the charter, too, particularly as it relates to access.
Darcy Southwell: +1 Heather about weekly updates. And they don’t have to be fancy or pretty!
Michele Neylon: +1
Philippe Fouquart: Agree. would be helpful
Rafik Dammak: indeed it would be a simple template
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC Liaison): Heather for the Record That role your offering to take has ameliorated some of the concerns ALAC had regarding Council Liaison role in situations of conflict... THANKS
Terri Agnew: finding the line
Michele Neylon: I now want to know what Darcy is doing in her garage
Keith Drazek (RySG): I think we’ve covered the EPDP issue sufficiently. I’m good with the current arrangement.
Heather Forrest: If the leadership arrangement works for the EPDP (with the modifications discussed, ie Council Chair resolves conflicts as per Ops Procedures) then I think we should let them focus on the substance and get on with it
Heather Forrest: If it isn’t working for them or keeping them from doing the substantive work then we should pursue this
Ayden Férdeline: I have lost audio, did anyone else?
Keith Drazek (RySG): Phone bridge is still ok, Ayden. Not sure about Adobe
Mary Wong: AC audio is working for me
Heather Forrest: While Donna is speaking secretariat team can we pull up the options diagram?
Stephanie Perrin: I keep losing audio, but I suspect it is my slow Internet speed.
Ayden Férdeline: thanks all; i have audio again now
Terri Agnew: @Stephanie, would a dial out on the telephone help?
Keith Drazek (RySG): Conflict with GAC Advice is not a reason to reject a PDP WG Final Report. A valid reason to reject a Final Report would be a violation of process and operating procedures, lack of true consensus, ignoring bottom-up, consensus based engagement, etc.
Keith Drazek (RySG): Or a situation where the Final Report is factually incorrect or based on false assumptions.
Susan Kawaguchi: I agree with Donna the working group members were very vocal about that they had considered the GAC advice but didn’t agree
Rubens Kuhl: What the guidelines say about consensus regarding group representation? If 13 GAC representatives agree, 1 GNSO member disagree and 1 ALAC member disagree, is that a consensus?
Mary Wong: @Rubens, there is no explicit provision that goes into that level of detail. But the Guidelines do expect that a WG is representative.
Keith Drazek (RySG): An additional question for Council is whether the recommendations in the Final Report are viable and constitute "good policy" vs. "bad policy." This is a tough
one...we as Council will own whatever comes out the other end, so we need to be comfortable with the recommendations.

Darcy Southwell: That's a slippery - and subjective - slope.
Keith Drazen (RrSG): ...and the minority statements too, Heather.
Heather Forrest: PDP Manual: 

Heather Forrest: The GNSO Council is strongly encouraged to allow sufficient time for Stakeholder Group, Constituency and Councillor review of the Final Report prior to a motion being made to formally adopt the Final Report. However, the GNSO Council is also encouraged to take formal action on a Final Report in a timely manner, and preferably no later than the second GNSO Council meeting after the report is presented. At the request of any Council member, for any reason, consideration of the Final Report may be postponed for no more than one (1) meeting, provided that such Council member details the rationale for such a postponement. Consideration of the Final Report may only be postponed for a total of one (1) meeting, even if multiple Council members request postponement. The GNSO Council may, if deemed appropriate, schedule a separate session with the PDP Team to discuss the Final Report and ask any clarifying questions that might arise.

Susan Kawaguchi: sorry you are correct
Mary Wong: Of course, Heather

Mary Wong: Note that the language says "recommendations THAT THE PDP TEAM has identified as interdependent" (emphasis added) - so Heather's point is, well, on point.

Pam Little, RrSG: The final report is 151 page long

Mary Wong: None of the 5 recommendations have been identified as interdependent by the WG.

Pam Little, RrSG: Could staff please forward the policy impact assessment referenced in the final report to the Council mailing list please?

Mary Wong: @Pam, we'll take that as an action item.

Pam Little, RrSG: Thanks Mary

Michele Neylon: seems reasonable
Ayden Férédeline: separate webinar is a good idea
Philippe Fouquart: agree

Keith Drazen (RySG): I support a webinar on 3.0

Tatiana Troina: +1 from me

Marie Pattullo: Agree, Heather. Way too much for 2 mins chat now.

Darcy Southwell: +1 Michele

Michele Neylon: Seems reasonable

Pam Little, RrSG: Yes, Heather

Philippe Fouquart: good!
Keith Drazek (RySG): I agree with Michele on timing and agree it makes no sense to kick off the group now.
Stephanie Perrin: Yes, makes sense to me
Rubens Kuhl: Makes sense
Syed Ismail Shah: Looks good
Rubens Kuhl: Audio bridge dropped
Terri Agnew: calling you back Rubens
Rubens Kuhl: Terri, I am now following thru AC...
Terri Agnew: ok, will leave it as is unless you inform us otherwise Rubens
Heather Forrest: Thanks all for input on 3.0 webinar. Look out for an invite in your inbox. Leadership will work with staff to get this going right away
Stephanie Perrin: Agree wholeheartedly with Michele's comment. Fund the folks who do the work
Heather Forrest: Draft GNSO schedule in the AC pod now
Mary Wong: @Donna, we're working on it now b/c of the combined sessions
Michele Neylon: Will this draft schedule be circulated?
Michele Neylon: no problem
Michele Neylon: I'm just trying to block out my GNSO stuff in my calendar :)
Michele Neylon: though I'm not flying solo in Barcelona
Tatiana Tropina: Michele same here
Tatiana Tropina: (I mean blocking GNSO)
Tatiana Tropina: (out)
Donna Austin, RySG: Great job Heather
Tatiana Tropina: LOL
Michele Neylon: interesting angle Tatiana
Syed Ismail Shah: Thank you all
Tatiana Tropina: It's late here, can't be coherent
Ayden Férdeline: thanks all
Tatiana Tropina: thanks a lot all - bye
Rafik Dammak: thanks all, bye!
Marie Pattullo: Thanks all!
Michele Neylon: bye all
Philippe Fouquart: Thanks Heather as always. Thanks all. bye.
Rubens Kuhl: Thanks all, bye!
Heather Forrest: Bye everyone - good work, hard topics on our plate now
Heather Forrest: (just when you thought things would get easy after EPDP charter off our plate...)