

Marika Konings>Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of 13 July 2017

Martin Silva:Hi, I know I logged in early. I was trying the link. Should I stay or come back?

Terri Agnew:Hi Martin, you are welcome to stay.

Tony Harris:Hi there, just to let you know that I must leave the meeting after 1 hr. 20 mins to travel

Michele Neylon:lo

Stephanie Perrin:are you actually home in Ireland Michele?

Michele Neylon:Yes

Michele Neylon:Got back early Tuesday morning

Michele Neylon:my bag got back today

Rafik: hello

Michele Neylon:it had a little holiday of its own in Ethiopia

Keith Drazek (RySG):Hi everyone

Stephanie Perrin:Wow, just where every suitcase wants to visit!

Michele Neylon:I'm sure it had a lot of fun

Michele Neylon:but it didn't send me any postcards

Michele Neylon:*boo*

Ben Fuller:Eveing everyone

Stephanie Perrin:Ah wait till the IOT really gets here., you will be able to hear it whining and complaining the whole time you are separated....

James Bladel:Hi Ben.

Michele Neylon:Stephanie - I've got two baggage tracker devices already - but of course I forgot to bring either of them with me :)

Paul McGrady:Here. Still trying to connect by phone.

Michele Neylon:somebody needs to mute themselves

Marika Konings:Please remember to mute your microphone when not speaking

James Bladel:Is there someone talking in the background? Very faint.

Heather Forrest:I barely heard Stephanie - was I the only one?

Paul McGrady:Made it on the line.

Michele Neylon:it's a charming echo

Heather Forrest:Also barely heard Avri

Heather Forrest:so maybe it's on my end?

James Bladel:No, same here

Michele Neylon:Heather - no she was faint for me

Rafik:listening via AC.

Marika Konings:To avoid audio issues as much as possible, please try to connect to the telephone bridge.

Ben Fuller:having some audio issues may not have heard my name called, I'm here

Michelle DeSmyter:thank you Ben

Rafik:@marika I am gonna commute soon in train, not the right place to go in dial in, that is why I asked for proxy :)

Marika Konings:@Rafik, I did say 'try' meaning when possible ;-)
)

Heather Forrest:old hand

Marika Konings:sorry, color coding is slightly off. We'll make sure to fix it for the next meeting.

Donna Austin, RySG:Thank you James for continuing to serve.

Mary Wong:Councilors may also be interested to know that the GAC has confirmed that Thomas Schneider will likewise remain the GAC rep to the EC Administration, and no longer be its interim rep.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben:Hi all, sorry for joining late

Donna Austin, RySG:Happy to second James

Heather Forrest:Not controversial, James

Mary Wong:23 July to support another petition

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Has any such petition appeared so far ?

Michele Neylon:this is a whole new thing?

Mary Wong:@Rubens, not yet (that we know of)

Marika Konings:@Rubens - not that staff is aware

Michele Neylon:grand - I recall us discussing this

Julf Helsingius:Can we please avoid the phrase "small team"? :)

Keith Drazek (RySG):@James: As was discussed during the RySG teleconference yesterday, the GAC references to Geo Names in the Joburg communique actually refers to previous GAC advice, so it's effectively a reiteration of previous advice.

Keith Drazek (RySG):apologies for the run-on sentence

Marika Konings:This is actually the language that was put forward by Jeff. I believe the language that Rubens suggested was not submitted to the Council list for consideration (Rubens, please correct me if I am wrong).

Jeff Neuman:When we get to the Geo language I would like the opportunity to speak if possible

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Marika, it has not. Some modified versions of it circulated in RySG and we have plenty of options to choose from.

Jeff Neuman:I will keep my hand down until then

Keith Drazek (RySG):A PDP WG nearing the end of its work is a momentous occasion. ;-)

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Instead of the paragraph I sent to the drafting team, I'm now suggesting this text to be added:

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:"The GNSO Council also takes note of the "Geographic Names as Top-Level Domains" section of the communiqué restating previous advice documents. GNSO Council will be commenting on this topic in a separate communication to be sent at a later date."

Philip Corwin:Yes it is Keith, and I am looking forward to wrapping it up

Philip Corwin:I would be happy to participate in such a discussion with the Board, and I believe that my co-chair Petter Rindforth would be willing as well

Jeff Neuman:If I can be heard on this issue

avri doria:yes i was waiting to raise my hand until the IGO issue was completed.

Donna Austin, RySG:Phil, would you be agreeable to removing the sentence ... Notwithstanding the decision by IGOS ... extensive comments received from IGOs. It seems unnecessarily confrontational.

Jeff Neuman:I assume Phil you want to talk to this IGO issue, right?

Jeff Neuman:If so, I will drop my hand

Philip Corwin:@Donna, I'll speak to that

Philip Corwin:Yes, IGO--I leave Geo names to others today

Donna Austin, RySG:I share Heather's concerns.

Heather Forrest:Sorry for the mixed metaphors

Heather Forrest:To be very clear, I do not want to slow this process down - it's important that we get our comments to the board in a timely way, so I do not mean to slow us down

Keith Drazek (RySG):Or somehow a fish stepped on a land-mine, which would really be a feat.

Heather Forrest:The GAC needs PDPs like a fish needs a bicycle?

Heather Forrest:I've heard that line somewhere

Philip Corwin:@Keith--a fish could swim into a floating mine ;-)
)

Paul McGrady:@Keith, a feat without feet

Keith Drazek (RySG):lol

Heather Forrest:So seriously: I want to hear from Jeff and Avri on a) tone of this response and how that impacts their work and b) how including reference to the geo names in this is timely and helpful to their work

Donna Austin, RySG:They chose not to participate because they were taking a detour provided by the Board.

Heather Forrest:What I think this discussion illustrates is that we all appreciate the environment we're in with quite significant challenge to the GNSO's remit.

Paul McGrady:What is the timeframe to finish this up? Is it a "must do today" thing?

Keith Drazek (RySG):I have a procedural question regarding this motion. Is there an opportunity to approve this motion via electronic vote in the next week or so, prior to our next meeting and prior to the GAC-Board meeting in early August? Or do we need to approve it today to make that deadline?

Paul McGrady:@Keith - great minds...

Philip Corwin:@Donna -- that is one reason. The other, as conveyed to me by an IGO representative, is that they did not believe the WG would render the result they desired and therefore did not wish to implicitly endorse the process.

Marika Konings:@Paul - yes, the Council could decide to consider the motion and document via e-vote.

Heather Forrest:Hear you fine, Jeff, thanks

Marika Konings:With regards to voting outside of a meeting: The GNSO Chair provides at least seven (7) calendar days' advance notice of the vote, along with notice of the beginning and ending day and hour of the voting period (in UTC), which period shall not be less than four (4) calendar days.

Michele Neylon:which doesn't give us enough time?

Stephanie Perrin:if we do it quickly we are ok, no? What is the date of the Board meeting?

Heather Forrest:+1 Jeff - there were expressly articulated challenges to the GNSO's authority in this space

Heather Forrest:@Jeff - thanks for explaining the link to previous advice

Philip Corwin:I listened to that Geo names discussion and found the position being taken by some governments to be quite disturbing

Mary Wong:@Stephanie, I believe it's sometime in August

Stephanie Perrin:If it were to be August first or second we are right up against it, it would seem....

James Bladel:I think it becomes "bait" or "chum". :)

Keith Drazek (RySG):Between Heather's concerns on the IGO/INGO section and Jeff's points on the Geo Names section, I think we may need to massage the language some over the next week before we can pull the trigger on this motion. (Or pull the pin).

Heather Forrest:I love fishing

Mary Wong:@Stephanie, correction, not Board meeting but rather the Board-GAC call, I believe.

Stephanie Perrin:SO if we announce the vote for the minimum required notice, allow four days, we are well ahead of the Board meeting.

Stephanie Perrin:as long as the language can be massaged in a couple of days....

Mary Wong:@Stephanie, yes

Mary Wong:You (Council) can, for instance, allow for another week from today to finalize the understanding on the language, take 7 days from there for the requisite notice period (and discussion with your SG/Cs), then a 4-day period for the actual e-vote. That would bring you to end-July.

Paul McGrady: Slight tweaks only to reference the mediated

discussions and to make it clear that GNSO has primacy on the issue (not just that it happens to be part of the scope of a WG charter)

Paul McGrady:@James. Slight tweaks only to (1) reference the robust participation in the GNSO-sponsored mediated discussions and (2) to make it clear that GNSO has primacy on the issue (not just that it happens to be part of the scope of a WG charter)

James Bladel:Thanks, Paul.

James Bladel:Thanks, Mary & Stephanie. It is a tight window, but we could make that work.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Paul, noting than GNSO has primacy, although true at this point, might be perceived as adversarial...

Stephanie Perrin:I would just like to point out that we can expect (potentially) similar interventions on some of the WHOIS PDPs and the IRT that we have on the go, so we need to be warmly inviting (as Paul just described) re joining the PDPs, and somewhat firm on other avenues. I thought Phil's response was good, but agree with Heather and Donna that perhaps a bit of mellowing of the language could soften potential reactions.

Michele Neylon:We are already seeing some interesting issues with the proxy / privacy PDP

Stephanie Perrin:recalling that that one is an IRT and therefore not the place to change policy....

Darcy Southwell:If we can make the timing work, it seems like a better way to move forward with the immediate motion. Allows us to get the communication to the Board but ensure it's the tone and content message that will be most effective.

Heather Forrest:I appreciate Jeff and Avri's efforts to keep the comments concise - this is my worry on the IGO text

Marika Konings:FYI, the Board-GAC call will likely be scheduled in the week fo 14 August.

Donna Austin, RySG:I think we should welcome the GAC's (a), (b) and (c) noting that the GNSO PDP WG does this and will set up a wT5.

Heather Forrest:@Marika - very helpful to know the timing

Donna Austin, RySG:There are reasons why the ccNSO did not go forward with a PDP, but opted for a CCWG instead. The ccNSO and the GAC were working on the IDN Fast Track process around that time as well and I believe there were complicating issues.

Donna Austin, RySG:ccNSO did not go forward

Paul McGrady:I'm happy to be on that team.

Heather Forrest:How do we get Jeff and Avri's input into the tweaked draft?

Jeff Neuman:If I could help with the drafting I would like to (recognizing I am not in the concil)

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Volunteering as well.

Heather Forrest:Happy to sanity check when the drafting team is done, before it goes to the list

Darcy Southwell:I will volunteer.

Philip Corwin:I will participate in any editing of the draft IGO response, but since I authored the draft will await editing suggestions from other Council members.

Stephanie Perrin:If it would help convey Avri's views, I am happy to be the intermediary but there may be too many cooks in the kettle of fish by now...

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Stephanie, Avri is in the council for the time being...

Stephanie Perrin:Yes but unfortunately only a temporary alternate for the moment

Heather Forrest:Good summary & result on the communique response, James. Thank you

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:For the meeting or for a while ?

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:I wonder if it should be submitted to GNSO Council or to all chartering organisations.

James Bladel:Rubens - that's covered under Resolved #6, no?

Terri Agnew:Update Tony Harris has left the meeting and has verbally given his proxy to Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:James, it's not. We are communicating to all orgs, but requesting the WG to only send the result to us.

James Bladel:ok,makes sense.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:So it would be a change for resolved #3.

Heather Forrest:IPC would need time to consider Keith's (nevertheless sensible) suggestion

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:3. The GNSO Council requests that members of the CCWG-IG and others interested parties come together to explore a framework / model that more fully addresses the concerns that have been expressed by the GNSO Council, and submit this framework / model to all chartering organisations for its consideration by the GNSO Council during ICANN60.

Keith Drazek (RySG):I fear that not having the automatic nature is a key driver to the value of the motion.

Darcy Southwell:Agree with James - if there's no withdrawal, the deadline doesn't really have much meaning.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:This way all orgs receive the output, but are not binding others to consider in ICANN 60, just us.

Keith Drazek (RySG):I mean it *is* a key driver

Darcy Southwell:I meant "no automatic withdrawal"

Heather Forrest:Thanks, Paul - we just haven't had sufficient time

Heather Forrest:Thanks for your understanding, Keith

Paul McGrady:@Keith - agree. We will push hard for clarity prior to the next call

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Point of order: do need Martin to confirm the votes we already had to make them unanimous ?

Paul McGrady:@James - it comes back at the next meeting but not at any "emergency" /budget meeting next week, right?

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:(do we need)

Heather Forrest:Good reminder, James

Keith Drazek (RySG):I think we can all find acceptable language prior to our next call. I encourage everyone to contribute thoughts and proposed freindly amendments to the list before our next call so we can have it largely wrapped up for a successful vote.

Keith Drazek (RySG):(And just to clarify, if we need our June 20 meeting, this will NOT be on the agenda. We're deferring to our next regular Council meeting.

Keith Drazek (RySG):July 20

Marika Konings:@James - correct

Mary Wong:@Keith, yes - it will be for August.

Mary Wong:Two more days to deadline for anyone to submit a petition to reject the Budget! (15 July)

Michele Neylon:The July 20th meeting isn't a "normal" meeting so I was ignoring it

James Bladel:Yep, thanks Michele. But it was a good question.

Paul McGrady:@Mary - the anticipation is killing us...

Mary Wong:Well, to be technically accurate - no 20 July meeting only if NONE of the five Decisional Participants (not just the GNSO) receives a petition :)

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:We would need a PDP even if CWG reached a conclusion.

Donna Austin, RySG:Agreed Rubens

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):agreed Jeff

Paul McGrady:"Green Checkmarks of Agreement" was the name of my high scholl band.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO)::-)

Heather Forrest:@Donna - it is worth noting that many ccNSO members of the CWG-UCTN have acknowledged that CCWGs have a limited role in comparison to PDPs

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):good point Donna and also followed our Study Group on Uctn, yes the Fast track IDNs for Country and Territory names was a success, but I am less convinced of its influence here...

Heather Forrest:and yes, I'm very close to this so very much value and welcome input from others

Michele Neylon:Can't hear him at all

Terri Agnew:@Ben, your volume is very low

Donna Austin, RySG:barely

Stephanie Perrin:marginally better

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):note I also served on the Fast Track Cwg

Julf Helsingius:I can hear, but very quietly

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):hard to hear Ben

Heather Forrest:No Ben but definite echo

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:I think echo cancelation is making the volume low.

Stephanie Perrin:yes

James Bladel:My own echo is clearer. :)

Heather Forrest:@Ben - do you have multiple speakers on?

Heather Forrest:No problem, James. If anyone has any questions, happy to address them on the list

Heather Forrest:Carlos is equally qualified as the other GNSO co-chair

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:So people can choose between lunch and SubPro. Maslow says SubPro loses.

Terri Agnew:Correct Cross Community topics due on Friday, 21 July

Stephanie Perrin:can you please recirculate that invitation to submit?

Marika Konings>Note that currently three slots have been marked for cross-community discussion on Monday, with two additional ones on Thursday. Should those additional ones be necessary, it may be necessary to adjust & shorten some of the time available for F2F time for PDP WGs to accomodate those sessions. The current draft only anticipates cross-community sessions on Monday.

Paul McGrady:@Michele : co-Taoiseach?

Michele Neylon:hah

avri doria:yes, of course it is still under the charter.

Jeff Neuman:yes....the charter allows this

Jeff Neuman:(or I should say the charter does not prohibit any of this)

Paul McGrady:The other WT leaders were not selected by Council. I don't see this any differently.

Heather Forrest:Thanks for the update Avri and Jeff

Heather Forrest:Have a good holiday James

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):good meeting everyone, thanks and bye for now then

Darcy Southwell:Thanks, all!

Heather Forrest:Happy summer holidays to those taking them

avri doria:bye.

Michele Neylon:bye

Jeff Neuman:Happy Winter to you

Ben Fuller:Night all

Julf Helsingius:Thank you everybody!

Martin Silva:by all

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Bye all!
Philip Corwin:Bye all
Stephanie Perrin:bye!