

COUNCIL Vote – Agenda item IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms Motion – 18 April 2019

<https://community.icann.org/display/gnsocouncilmeetings/Motions+18+April+2019>

Rationales for objection to the vote (as noted on the transcript of the call):

Flip Petillion: I referred to the reasons we've given before. It's a one, five all in one package please thank you.

Paul McGrady: Yes thank you. So again this is Paul McGrady for the record. First of all the IPC would like to thank those members of this working group who acted in good faith and tried really hard to get something across the finish line. However looking at the history of this particular working group it's pretty clear that the process was broken. And even the co-chairs I believe indicated that they thought there were significant issues with regard to the process. And we just think that is dangerous to vote even for one through four which has various levels of innocuous notice when it could be viewed as rewarding those who were involved perhaps in disrupting the process of the working group. We also don't think that the – that this really got to the heart of the need that was raised by the GAC in the first place. And so both procedurally and on the substance we think this is one that should not – and it shouldn't go any further. So that is why the IPC is voting no. That is not in any way to as I said, detract from those who engaged in good faith in this particular working group and we do not vote no lightly. Thank you.