Agenda

- GDD Summit 2019
- CZDS 2.0 Update
- Data Escrow Data Processing Agreements
- RDAP Update
- IDN Implementation Guidelines 4.0
- PICDRP Improvements
- RSEP Improvements
- Compliance Audits
- RySG Priorities / What can we work on together?
2019 GDD Summit – Bangkok Thailand

- https://www.icann.org/gddsummit

- Fun Filled week: GDD Summit + ROW +DNS Symposium + DNS OARC

- We need your help, join the planning committee!
CZDS 2.0 Coming January 2019

- New platform will enable future enhancements
- Refreshed user experience for community users
- Migrating Registry functionality into NSp
  - Not migrating registry CZDS users
  - RPC’s will be given initial access within NSP
  - Option to delegate or add additional CZDS only users
- Existing access to zone files will be migrated
- More info and training materials will be published before year end
Update: Data Escrow Data Processing Agreement

- Per the Temp Spec – Registries & Registrars were expected to ensure their data escrow agreements included data processing requirements consistent with GDPR

- Similar to RRAs we had agreed to work together (ICANN + CPH) to develop a consistent approach – progress has been slow

- There are differing views on controller / processor relationship for each of the parties (CP, ICANN, DEA) and what agreements are needed

- Suggested Approach
  - ICANN work with Data Escrow Agents to develop approved template data processing agreement that can be added as addendums to the existing data escrow agreements when a RO or RR believes it is necessary
RDAP Implementation Status

- The Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data calls for gTLD registries and registrars to implement RDAP following a common profile, SLA, and registry reporting requirements.

- A proposal for a gTLD RDAP Profile ended its public comment period on 13 October 2018.

- ICANN org and the contracted parties continue to negotiate an RDAP SLA and registry reporting requirements.
Expected RDAP Implementation Timeline

13 Oct 2018
Draft gTLD RDAP profile ended Public Comment

Oct 2018
Public Comment Period

Nov-Dec 2018
Publish draft gTLD RDAP SLA, and registry reporting requirements for Public Comment

Dec 2018
135-day Implementation

1H 2019
RDAP service becomes generally available

Publish Final gTLD RDAP profile
Publish Final gTLD RDAP SLA, and registry reporting requirements
IDN Implementation Guidelines

Background
- For second-level IDN registration policies and practices
- To minimize the risk of cybersquatting and consumer confusion

- gTLD – registries and registrars offering IDNs contractually bound
  - Required by most Registry Agreements
    - For example, new gTLD Registry Agreement:Specification 6 Section 1.4
  - Required by many Registrar Agreements
    - For example, 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement: Additional Registrar Operation Specification Clause 3

- IDN ccTLDs – “expected” by the Fast Track Process and the proposed IDN ccTLD Policy
History and Status of Update

- Call for Community Experts
- Working Group formed
- Initial issues list presented
- Interim draft presented
- First draft for Public Comment presented
- Final draft for Second Public Comment presented
- Final Draft Presented to ICANN Board + Second Public Comment response presented
- Final Proposed Ver. 4.0 published

Last updated in 2011
Topics Covered and Next Steps


- Total of 7 topics and 19 guidelines with additional notes:
  - Transition (4)
  - Format of IDN Tables (2)
  - Consistency of IDN Tables and Practices (4)
  - IDN Variant Labels (3)
  - Similarity and Confusability of Labels (4)
  - Publishing IDN Registration Policy and Rules (1)
  - Terminology (1)
  - Additional Notes I - VII

- ICANN org currently analysing the requirements posed by IDN Guidelines 4.0

- Targeting for ICANN Board consideration in Jan. 2019; Implementation Required as early as August 2019

- Contracted Parties should be reviewing and preparing now
**PICDRP Improvements**

- Public Interest Commitments Dispute Resolution Procedure (PICDRP) developed and implemented in 2014

- So far only 2 cases utilized a PICDRP Panel

- Based on recent experience & the [Complaints Office](#) identified some opportunities for operational improvements
  - Sharing communications with both parties similar to other DRPs
  - Disclosing identities of selected panelists with the parties
  - Setting timing expectations as to when the Panel will be appointed
  - Publishing all PICDRP Panel reports on a dedicated page

- Intention is to publish updates for public comment in 1Q 2019 with goal to finalize by end of 2Q 2019