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EPDP Timeline

1 Prep work, incl. EPDP Initiation Request & Charter adoption
2 Formation of EPDP Team
3 EPDP Team Deliberation & Publication of Initial Report
4 Input from SO/ACs & SG/Cs
5 Public Comment on Initial Report
6 Review of Public Comment & Submission of Final Report
7 Council consideration of Final Report
8 Public Comment prior to Board consideration
9 Board consideration

102 Days to Temp Spec Expiration

(1) Access Model deliberations will begin after gating questions have been completed & will be added to the timeline then.
(2) Exploring option for alternative method for community input while satisfying Bylaws requirement, await response.
High-Level Overview of Final Report

- 29 Policy Recommendations
- Rec. 1 provides the 7 Identified Purposes for Processing Data
- Recs. 5, 7, 8, 9 detail the collection and transfer of specifically-identified data elements. For more detail: refer to Annex D.
- Recs. 10 – 12 detail the display and redaction of specifically-identified data elements
- Recs. 21 – 25, 27 refer to the treatment of current ICANN Consensus Policies
- Rec. 28 provides text for the proposed implementation bridge
- Recs. 2, 3, 15, 17, 18 note items to be further discussed during Phase 2 of the EPDP Team’s work
## Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Purposes</th>
<th>2. Additional Purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Commitment to consider a system for Standardized Access to non-public Registration Data</td>
<td>4. Requirements related to accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Data elements to be collected by Registrars</td>
<td>6. Consent to publish additional contact information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Data elements to be transferred from Registrars to Registries</td>
<td>8. Escrow Providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Compliance</td>
<td>10. Data Redaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. City Field Redaction</td>
<td>12. Organization Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Email Communication</td>
<td>14. Privacy/Proxy Registrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Data Retention</td>
<td>16. Geographic Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Legal vs. Natural</td>
<td>18. Reasonable Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Controller</td>
<td>20. Responsible Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. URS/UDRP</td>
<td>22. Instructions for RPM PDP WG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Data protection arrangements with dispute resolution providers</td>
<td>24. Transfer Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Impact on other policies</td>
<td>28. Implementation Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Admin Contact transition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approach to Final Report (1/2)

- EPDP Support Staff prepared a separate Public Comment Review Tool (PCRT) document for each Initial Report recommendation.

- The EPDP Team reviewed each comment in the PCRTs and discussed whether the comment warranted a change to the draft recommendation.

- Noted concerns and proposed changes were then discussed in plenary meetings.

- Following review of all public comments and noted EPDP Team concerns, the latest version of the draft final report, which is now before the Council, was circulated to the EPDP Team for a week of quiet review.

- EPDP Leadership initiated the quiet review period at the request of the Team. It is being used for EPDP Team members to note inconsistencies and errors, and to provide additional time for SG/AC/C statement submissions (which will be annexed to the Final Report).

- Quiet period concludes on Friday, 15 February.

- The following week will be used to discuss issues noted during the quiet period.
With regard to the level of consensus, the report indicates those items where:

- The Chair has indicated a consensus level and the EPDP Team has had the opportunity to review and comment on that designation
- The Chair has indicated a level of Consensus and the EPDP Team has not yet had the opportunity to review and comment on that designation
- The Chair has not made a designation yet because the issue is still open for some discussion.

For the open recommendations, we have agreement in principle and have draft final language for discussion.

Sections that still remain open are designated with brackets. The next steps for those sections are highlighted in yellow.

We will also conduct a final Consensus call on the entire document when the report is final to identify any issues raised by the interplay between the Recommendations.
Next Steps

1. **Week of 11 Feb**
   - Draft Final Report Sent to Council
   - EPDP Team Quiet Period

2. **Week of 18 Feb**
   - Final Report sent to Council for consideration at 21 Feb Meeting

3. **Week of 25 Feb**
   - Continued Council consideration and discussion

4. **March 2019**
   - Potential GNSO Council Extraordinary meeting on 4 March
   - Report to Board + Public Comment

5. **April 2019**
   - ICANN Board consideration of Final Report & recommendations

6. **May 2019**
   - Expiration of Temp Spec
Q & A
Further Information

Individuals can participate as observers

Observers can:
• Subscribe to the mailing list
• listen to audio-cast and view-only Adobe Connect of all meetings
• be a public consultation respondent

Learn about the EPDP and its work:
https://community.icann.org/x/IYEpBQ

Get involved:
Engage with ICANN – Thank You and Questions
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