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Instructions for GNSO Council:
When issues identified are considered in the forthcoming survey. Please read this framework, then fill in the survey at [DIRECT LINK]

Step 1: GNSO, the Policy Team and ICANN GDD, the Policy Team and Compliance gather minimal to produce a Post-Implementation Policy Status Report. The Post-Implementation Policy Status Report would at a minimum include the following information: original policy recommendations, their stated goals and/or intent, the implementation, metrics established by POP-WG and/or IRT, data collected from implementation, metrics established by the Post-Implementation Policy Status Report?

Step 2: Post-implementation Policy Status Report is posted for public comment, requesting specific input on issues identified, further data that may be needed as well as proposed next steps.

Step 3: Policy Team and Compliance develop a Final Post-Implementation Policy Status Report based on input received via public comments, as appropriate. Policy Team submits Final Post-Implementation Policy Status Report together with the report of public comments to the GNSO Council.

Step 4: The GNSO Council considers the Final Post-Implementation Policy Status Report and report of public comments, and decides on next steps.

Step 4a: If the GNSO Council determines that the issues identified relate solely to the implementation of the policy recommendations, it may:

Step 4b: If the GNSO Council determines that the issues identified relate to the underlying policy recommendations, the GNSO Council may:

Step 5a: If the GNSO Council determines that the issues identified relate solely to the implementation of the policy recommendations, it may:

Step 5b: If the GNSO Council determines that the issues identified relate to the underlying policy recommendations, the GNSO Council may:

Step 5c: If the GNSO Council determines that the issues identified relate to the underlying policy recommendations, the GNSO Council may:

Step 5d: If the GNSO Council determines that the issues identified relate to the underlying policy recommendations, the GNSO Council may:

Step 6a: Confirm that possible modifications (if any) to the implementation suggested in the Post-Implementation Policy Status Report conform to the intent of the underlying policy recommendations. The Council may then indicate approval for staff to proceed with modifications to the implementation to address any issues identified.

Step 6b: Form a Post-Implementation Review Team (PIRT), which would be tasked to review the implementation and propose modifications to address the issues identified. The PIRT may also be tasked to gather further data/information to help inform its recommendations. If any key policy issue is identified, the PIRT should inform the GNSO Council, including any feedback from the community. The PIRT may publish staff summary of data collected to address those issues. Any proposed recommendations should be published for public comment prior to submission to the GNSO Council. Following the completion of the PIRTs work, the GNSO Council is expected to review the recommendations and confirm whether any proposed changes to the implementation conform to the intent of the underlying policy recommendations. The Council may then indicate approval for staff to proceed with modifications to the implementation to address any issues identified.

Step 6c: Instruct the Policy Team to develop a Preliminary Issue Report as a first step in a potential PDP. See [DIRECT LINK] for guidance.

Step 6d: Indicate an expedited review process for cases that have been met. See [DIRECT LINK] for guidance.

Survey Question: What are some options for enabling transparency and participation in the review process? What type of stakeholder representation should be encouraged or required? How can a robust review process be resilient to criticism in light of the challenges in the GNSO community?