

First, I want to thank all the members of a PDP team and I think several of them are with us in the attendance and also want to thank Janice and the support policy staff for all the work done in phases two.

I acknowledge that the product is not perfect, but it's the result of a consensus process and lot of compromise. Although it would have been nice if the outcome of PDP could make everybody happy and give everyone everything they want, unfortunately, it is not possible. It's not how it works.

I want to also stress that all groups participated along the process with their representatives in the deliberation and the small teams in all calls in reviewing the comments and shaping the recommendation with a lot, a lot, a lot of wordsmithing.

In terms of process, we received the report the 31st of July and the rest minority statements later on. The GNSO council I had two meetings to cover the report, in addition to this meeting and that's why I insisted to ask people for comment or question.

Procedural points should have been flagged it before in order to be discussed and clarified, so I think that's important to make it and highlight: those points would have been discussing and pointed before.

Again, and this is maybe to reiterate what we say along the year, like during the SPS, the GNSO council is not the place to discuss substance as it's the policy manager. We care about the process and procedures.

As it was just mentioned, the Council heard the concerns about the remaining priority two items. You can see in the Council's agenda that it will be discussed with another proposal and we already started this discussion since June when we outlined the different possible steps. So nothing in priority two items will be left.

I just heard the comment about the consensus designation, and I want to make the point that any concerns should have been raised during the consensus called and that's the place for to explain the process. I don't have enough time now to explain how I made the assessment. The assessment is not just a matter of counting the different groups who are supporting or not. It is more to follow what the charter for the EPDP charter states about the GNSO balance. So it's not counting and we can maybe disagree about the evaluation, but at the end that should have been flagged it during the consensus call. Now, it is not really the time to make it.

Just, I want to maybe end up with more positive point, we cannot always be fully satisfied with what we get as outcome, but at least we can be proud after so many difficulties and hurdles. So I want again to thank everyone. It was quite long journey. And that's what we should appreciate at the end. Thanks everyone.