Name Collision Mitigation Update

13 March 2014



Francisco Arias

Director, Technical Services Global Domains Division



Webinar Information

Numbers: Download at http://newgtlds.icann.org/webinars

Conference ID: Name Collision Update Webinar (46-72-925)

- + Q&A session after the presentation
 - Questions submitted to ICANN prior to the webinar given priority
 - Phone questions
 - + To ask a question dial *1 (star one)
 - + To exit the question queue dial *2 (star two)
 - Questions will be answered as time permits
- + Recordings and slides available after the webinar: http://newgtlds.icann.org/webinars

Agenda

+ New gTLD Collision Occurrence Management Francisco Arias Plan

+ Communications Outreach

Nicole Davenport

Name Collision Occurrence Mitigation

Jeff Schmidt – JAS

Framework – draft proposal

Francisco Arias

Name Collision Mitigation Interactions

Q&A

New gTLD Collision Occurrence Management Plan

- On 7 October 2013 the ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee adopted the New gTLD Collision Occurrence Management Plan
- The Plan includes:
 - 1. Deferring delegating *home* and *corp* indefinitely
 - 2. Commissioning a study to develop a Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework
 - 3. Each new gTLD to receive a Collision Occurrence Assessment based on the Framework
 - 4. Alternate Path to Delegation for eligible strings
 - 5. Outreach Campaign

Name Collision

Education and Outreach

- Developed Name Collision Information kit
- + Plans to launch public mailing list (nc-info@icann.org) to coordinate outreach efforts with community members willing to help
 - https://mm.icann.org/mailman/listinfo/nc-info
- Outreach Status
 - 37 news articles published in 13 countries, covering 6 different languages
 - Made contact with 105+ IT industry associations across Africa, Asia Pacific, Europe, Middle East, Latin America, North America

Get Involved

- + Information hub:
 - http://www.icann.org/namecollision
- + Public Comment Period
 - Draft Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework

Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions



Scope

- Initial Evaluation "DNS Stability String Review" focused on a string's potential impact on the global DNS
- JAS research performed from the perspective of end-systems as "consumers" of the global DNS
- JAS found no evidence to suggest that the security and stability of the global Internet DNS itself is at risk



Risk Assessment Objectives

- The <u>frequency</u> of possible collisions has received substantial attention; JAS primary objective is to advance discussion of the possible <u>consequences</u> from the theoretical to the concrete
- Not all potential for collision results in collision
- Not all collisions are problematic
- Not all problematic collisions are equal
- Evaluate mitigation options



Definition

- Interisle: Name collision occurs when name resolution takes place in a semantic domain other than the one that was expected by a user.
- SAC062: The term "name collision" refers to the situation in which a name that is properly defined in one operational domain or naming scope may appear in another domain (in which it is also syntactically valid), where <u>users</u>, software, or other functions in that domain may misinterpret <u>it</u> as if it correctly belonged there.



Summary Findings

- DNS namespace collisions occur routinely throughout the entire DNS namespace
- Collisions have occurred prior to delegation of every TLD since (at least) 2007

TLD	Registration Date	# SLDs in theoretical block list
.post	2012-08-07	> 50,000
.xxx	2011-04-15	> 40,000
.me	2007-09-24	> 10,000
.CW	2010-12-20	> 10,000
.asia	2007-05-02	> 5,000
.SX	2010-12-20	> 5,000
.rs	2007-09-24	> 5,000
.tel	2007-03-01	> 1,000
. xnmgba3a4f16a	2013-09-13	> 100



Summary Findings

- Collisions have been mentioned in research as early as 2003
- The two previous new TLD pilot rounds yielded no serious collision-related issues
- Failure modalities seem similar in all parts of the DNS namespace
- Namespace expansion does not fundamentally or significantly increase or change the risks



Why is this happening?

- Lack of appreciation/understanding of DNS
- DNS search list processing
- Intentional use of a namespace that is not under the control of the using party
- Retirement/expiration of hostnames/2LD registrations
- Colliding DNS namespaces are often purchased
 - squatting, investing, domaining, drop-catching...



Lessons from other namespaces

- Other (important) namespaces have collisions
- Other (important) namespaces have changed
- Use notification/transition periods
 - Advance notification
 - Temporary grace/NACK period highly desirable
- 30-90 days typical
- There will be resistance to change
- In the end people and systems will adapt



JAS Recommendations (1)

- The TLDs .corp, .home and .mail should be permanently reserved
- "Controlled Interruption" zone (127.0.53.53) immediately upon delegation and extending for 120 days
 - Non-delegated: implement following delegation using wildcard
 - Delegated/APD: implement using DNS Resource Records (RR) for SDL Block List strings



JAS Recommendations (2)

- ICANN to monitor implementation
- ICANN to maintain emergency response capability to act upon reported problems that present "clear and present danger to human life"
- Don't de-delegate at root level; use EBERO for surgical edits if required
- Several recommendations around improved data collection and archival at the root zone



Name Collision Mitigation Interactions

Name Collision Mitigation Interactions

- Activation of Domain Names under the new gTLD
 - Currently not allowed during 120-days from contracting
 - Would not be allowed during 120-days from delegation
 - Only exception to the rule: nic.<tld> and under
- Registration/Allocation of Domain Names under the new gTLD
 - Allowed from delegation, subject to RPM and other requirements (e.g., see above)
- Sunrise and Claims periods
 - Registered/Allocated names are subject to the normal Sunrise and Claims period, depending on the period in which they are allocated

Name Collision Mitigation Interactions

- The 100 names for promotion of the TLD
 - Yes, but cannot be activated until the end of the no-activation period(s)
 and subject to the other requirements in the registry agreement
- Alternate Path to Delegation vs. Proposed Controlled Interruption Measure
 - Alternate Path to Delegation would not be available to newly delegated gTLDs if/when the Framework is adopted by the ICANN Board
 - Already delegated new gTLDs would only apply controlled interruption in their block list names (i.e., no DNS wildcard record under the TLD)
- Name Collision Reporting
 - Already available 24x7 to affected parties
 - New requirement in the proposal would be the threshold for demonstrably severe harm: clear and present danger to human life

Thank You & Questions?

US Toll-free: 1-877-941-2059

US Toll: 1-480-629-9654

International Numbers: http://newgtlds.icann.org/webinars

Conference ID: Name Collision Update Webinar (46-72-925)

- + Questions submitted to ICANN prior to webinar given priority
- + Ask a question: Dial *1 (star one)
- + Exit the question queue: Dial *2 (star two)
- We will alternate between questions submitted in the Questions & Comments pod and phone questions
- + Questions will be answered as time permits