**COMPILATION OF RELEVANT GAC ADVICE ON IGO & RED CROSS CURATIVE RIGHTSPROTECTIONS**

# I. On IGOs:

*From the Beijing Communique:*

“IGOs perform an important global public mission with public funds, they are the creations of government under international law, and their names and acronyms warrant special protection in an expanded DNS … IGOs are in an objectively different category to other rights holders, warranting special protection by ICANN in the DNS, while also preserving sufficient flexibility for workable implementation …”

*From the Los Angeles Communique:*

“Concerning curative protection at the second level, and noting the ongoing GNSO PDP on access to curative Rights Protection Mechanisms, the GAC reminds the ICANN Board that any such mechanism should be at no or nominal cost to IGOs; and further, in implementing any such curative mechanism, **the GAC advises the ICANN Board** [t]hat the UDRP should not be amended… and supports continued dialogue between the GAC (including IGOs), the ICANN Board (NGPC) and the GNSO to develop concrete solutions to implement long-standing GAC advice.”

**II. On the Red Cross Movement:**

*From the London Communique:*

“[T]he protections afforded to the Red Cross and Red Cross designations and names stem from universally agreed norms of international law and from the national legislation in force in multiple jurisdictions.  Accordingly … the Red Cross and Red Crescent terms and names should not be equated with trademarks or trade names and … their protection could not therefore be adequately treated or addressed under ICANN's curative mechanisms for trademark protection.”