

Marika Konings:Welcome to the GNSO Council Meeting of 20 April 2017
Nathalie Peregrine:@ all, there have been reported AC audio issues today, please remember to dial into the phone bridge for voting purposes, or request a dial out here. Thank you!
James Bladel:Understood. Dialing in.
Julf Helsingius:OK! Will dial...
James Bladel:Please be sure to mute whne not speaking
David Olive:Welcome All
Paul McGrady:119, 118, 117,...
Marilia Maciel 2:Hello everyone
Stephanie Perrin:test
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):hi all
Ben Fuller:Hello everyone
James Bladel:Staff is still working on dial-outs, so will give them a few extra minutes. Thanks for your understanding
Stefania Milan:Hello everyone!
Stephanie Perrin:Hi Stef!!
Stephanie Perrin:I think Rafik has disappeared now, may rejoin by phone.
Michele Neylon:I'm on the bridge - the wifi keeps dropping so I might not be on adobe
Nathalie Peregrine:Thank you Julf noted
Martin Silva Valent:Rafik was around a few minutes ago
Stephanie Perrin:I believe Fik is on the metro.
Donna Austin, RySG:good news that we didn't lose you Susan
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Is there a reason for IGO-INGO appearing both in Board Vote and Implementation ?
Marika Konings:Yes, some recommendations are still outstanding for a Board vote while others are already adopted and being implemented
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Ok, got it.
Michele Neylon:makes sense
Susan Kawaguchi:Thanks Donna! happy to be able to continue
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:I volunteer for the T&T IRT.
Donna Austin, RySG:Somewhat related, the RySG and RrSG have written to Akram requesting an independent legal opinion regarding the implications of GDPR on contracted parties.
Susan Kawaguchi:Thanks Rubens!
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:;-)
Marika Konings:Erika is a non-voting nominating committee appointee :-)
Julf Helsingius:Ah, yes :)
Heather Forrest:If we don't get this done by 27 April, it will not be effective, as it will come after the Board meeting.
Stephanie Perrin:I like that idea....
Paul McGrady:Could we get it done by April 24?
James Bladel:I think we need 7 day notice of the vote, and then the ballot has to be open for some period (10 days?)...
Mary Wong:The GNSO OPERating Procedures require a 7 day advance notice of an electronic vote
Paul McGrady:@Mary, is there any waiver of the 7 day rule?
Michele Neylon:well that's annoying
Mary Wong:@Paul, there's no specific exception bult into that provision.
Michele Neylon:the key thing is that the comment in some form is put in front of the board in time
Stephanie Perrin:too bad we could have put that in the motion had we known....
Paul McGrady:@James - could this be a letter instead of a motion?

Paul McGrady:We sometimes do letters by consensus rather than vote...

Michele Neylon:ooo now that's interesting way around it

James Bladel:I like that idea.

James Bladel:Paul's proposal + Donna's comment.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:If only have one outstanding item of discussion, IGO-INGO, we could approve it without this item and send only IGO-INGO as a letter.

James Bladel:If we take this to the list, will need Stephanie and Michele to agree to withdraw. Or any Councilor to defer.

Stephanie Perrin:I would be happy to withdraw the motion.

Stephanie Perrin:Actually Rubens has a great idea there....would that solve our problem for today?

Michele Neylon:happy to withdraw just let me know what you want me to say / where

Stephanie Perrin:I agree, my concerns re whether Phil was happy was whether we had agreed on tone. And it is a difficult file so important to get it right.

Heather Forrest:+1 Paul - the text was not controversial among the drafting team (except not having Phil's input on proposed edits to IGO/INGO)

Paul McGrady:@James, I have no problem with any of these approaches and hope we can get the content to the Board somehow before that meeting with the GAC

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:I second it.

Heather Forrest:Noting for the record that this motion does not deal with acronyms

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:I think the finite x limited discussion seems to be using the "finite" proposed language from RCRC and I thought we disagreed with that...

Stephanie Perrin:I agree with Paul. Deferral seems like a good idea.

Mary Wong:@Rubens, "finite" was also used during the facilitated discussion. The idea is to emphasize that the list of names and permitted variations is a very restricted one.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:4-billion is a finite number...

Michele Neylon:lol

Michele Neylon:I think the key is that the finite number might need to be specified

Mary Wong:@Michele, yes, that was the undersanding.

Paul McGrady:@James, thanks!

James Bladel:And I don't know that there's any rush on this.

Mary Wong:There will be one list and all names and allowed variants will be on it.

James Bladel:We are still working the IGO/INGO issue concurrent with this.

Heather Forrest:And that list will be based on the baseline of 190 names

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:I understand the difficulties in defining an amount, but for qualifiers, limited/narrow/small are better than finite.

Susan Kawaguchi:call dropped dialing back in

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Susan, please provide a dial back number, so the operator can call you back the moment you drop.

Susan Kawaguchi:back in

Susan Kawaguchi:but just in case 408 655 6111

Nathalie Peregrine:thanks Susan, noted

Mary Wong:The Council doesn't decide until two things happen: (1) a consultation with the original WG, AND (2) public comments.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:The exception procedure could take those reserved names to registrations.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:The potential names might include names not currently reserved, but would be in the same nature of those already reserved.

Mary Wong:@Rubens, and some names on the current interim reserved list may end up not being reserved.

Stefania Milan:+1 Paul!!
Stephanie Perrin:+1 Pau
Stephanie Perrin:l
Michele Neylon:finished
Michele Neylon:sorry
Michele Neylon:I'm in a loud area
Michele Neylon:you really don't want to hear the child beside me yelling at his father
Paul McGrady:+1 Susan. Would love to add a "one time only" WHEREAS
Donna Austin, RySG:Support Susan's suggestion.
Paul McGrady:+1 again
Michele Neylon:+1
Stefania Milan:+1 Susan ... still, people tend to have short memory, so it might not work. But worth trying
Susan Kawaguchi:agree with you Stefania
Paul McGrady:WHEREAS, the GNSO is taking this step as a one-time concession given the important work of the Red Crss and Red Cresecent movement and it should not be viewed as the GNSO Council's consent to reopen PDPs whenever GNMSO-developed policy is in dischord with GAC advice
Mary Wong:@Paul, how about "unprecedented step" instead of "one time concession"?
Paul McGrady:@Mary - I guess if it is unprecedented when we do it once, it would then be "precedented" next time, so that is why I like "one time"
James Bladel:heretofore unprecedented
Mary Wong:@Paul, that may tie the Council's hands for all eternity
Paul McGrady:How about "extraordinary step"
Paul McGrady:That means its not ordinary
Michele Neylon:exceptional circumstances
Rubens Kuhl - RySG:I'm ok with the general tone of edits we have been discussing so far.
Susan Kawaguchi:I like extraordinary step
Mary Wong:@Paul, how about adding the following phrase to the end of the Whereas clause Heather mentioned, right before the 5 special factors are listed: "and that the Council agrees is a justifiable basis for it to take this extraordinary step"?
Paul McGrady:I think we need to be on record for the rest of it "and it should not be viewed as the GNSO Council's consent to reopen PDPs whenever GNMSO-developed policy is in dischord with GAC advice"
Heather Forrest:@Mary, is it accurate if we amend (5) to say, rather than "The GAC Had provided clarification... on the finite and specific list" instead "The GAC had provided, following completion of the GNSO PDP....., the finite and specific list"
Susan Kawaguchi:agree with Paul
Paul McGrady:Maybe there is a way to make that sound nicer, but I think we have to say it.
Heather Forrest:ie, is it the case that GAC clarified the list? Or was this a first look at the list?
Mary Wong:@Heather, the GAC confirmed they meant the National Societies and two Internatonla names, but didn't actually send a list
Heather Forrest:OK, then I think we can safely say GAC provided this new list post-PDP, and this gives us justification to re-open
Paul McGrady:I think we can just pass the new WHEREAS along on the list and then do a 7 day e-vote...
Susan Kawaguchi:agree with not deferring to the May meeting. e-vote works for me
Darcy Southwell:Agree with Paul and Donna to try to move this along. A deferral to our next Council meeting doesn't seem to be warranted.
Wolf-Ulrich Knoben:agree with Donna

Michele Neylon:whichever works :)

Paul McGrady:Time flies when we are having fun

Donna Austin, RySG:Happy to second, although Michele has his hand up

Michele Neylon:I like to do it by voice

Michele Neylon:I'm odd that way

Michele Neylon:sorry

Michele Neylon:I support the comments / language as drafted

Marika Konings:I want to say 29 April, but I will double check now.

Berry Cobb:28 April

Marika Konings:yes, 28 April. Thanks Berry :-)

Michele Neylon:KPIs + metrics

Paul McGrady:I'm having trouble hearing

Michele Neylon:i can't hear him at all

Tony Harris:Cant hear

Berry Cobb:It might also help inform the Council of the current KPI dashboard. Goals 1.1 and 1.2 circle around global engagement. https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_progress&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=5eNn37-N0_8a6cyRC2JQeG8rXNlAsqZLssMVoOOcxGU&s=9Ba6xcGBR6fpudMjeMWzHF8hNUb7wMVa_D2vkEGsCOQ&e=

Berry Cobb:1.3 is Evolving Policy Development

Michele Neylon:flipping order of text = fine by me

Rafik:+1

Martin Silva Valent:+1 on Raafik and Steph

Donna Austin, RySG:flipping works for me

Darcy Southwell:That's fine with me.

Susan Kawaguchi:+1

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Nathalie, may I suggest the proxy voice vote to be in just two rounds ? No one is holding more than one proxy.

Michele Neylon:I'll second

Michele Neylon:Just trying to move things along

Stefania Milan:Thanks for the SSC for the speed, among others

Paul McGrady:5. The GNSO Council requests staff supporting the RDS-RT and application process to send a response to those applicants who did not receive endorsement (if any) for this RDS-RT, thanking them for their interest. The response should also encourage them to follow the RDS-RT work, and participate in Public Comments and community discussions and to apply for future opportunities within the GNSO Community as they arise.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Looks good to me, Paul.

Julf Helsingius:Accepted as friendly

Julf Helsingius:And definitely an improvement

Susan Kawaguchi:+1

Marika Konings:Michele, you are also happy with this?

Michele Neylon:sure

Julf Helsingius:Dropped off phone, but will continue using ac audio

Michele Neylon:I will need to drop at the top of the hour

Paul McGrady:Should we start with ICANN 59?

Paul McGrady:Its more time sensitive

Heather Forrest:Unfortunately I have a hard stop at exactly the hour

James Bladel:Thanks, Heather. Noted.

James Bladel:Just a note, closing the Q after Rafik because we have to get to Item #9 today.

Paul McGrady:+1 Donna

Stephanie Perrin:I agree with Donna that scoping narrowly is a goal here.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Dropped from phone bridge but will keep connected to AC.

Michele Neylon:I have to drop

James Bladel:Thanks, Michele.

Michele Neylon:bye all

Susan Kawaguchi:bye Michele

Paul McGrady:Should we invite them to meet with us in joBurg first?

Heather Forrester:I have to drop off now. Bye everyone

Paul McGrady:bye Heather!

Paul McGrady:CCDT's

Martin Silva Valent:I have to move from the office but I will continue on the bridge until the end of the call

James Bladel:Thanks, Martin. Acknowledged.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye for now then....

Donna Austin, RySG:Good job James

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Thanks all!

Darcy Southwell:Bye, all. Thanks so much!

Ben Fuller:Bye all

Rubens Kuhl - RySG:Bye!

Julf Helsingius:Thanks everybody!

Susan Kawaguchi:thanks all

Stefania Milan:Bye!

Rafik:bye

James Bladel:Thanks, everyone.