Adobe Connect - Chat Transcript from GNSO Council Meeting 5 June 2014

Marika Konings: Welcome to the GNSO Council Meeting of 5 June 2014

Brian Winterfeldt:Good morning, good afternoon, good evening everyone!

John Berard: audio in adobe?

Jonathan Robinson: Hi everyone. There is no audio in Adobe

Marika Konings: @Jonathan - no, for these calls there is a separate audiocast so community members can listen in as well.

VolkerGreimann:too bad... I like the adobe talk function

Marika Konings:We can check if we can run it in parallel with the audiocast for future meetings.

Glen de Saint Gery:please join the phone line

Brian Winterfeldt: I always do as Glen says! Dialed in. :)

David Olive:Good move Brian

Osvaldo Novoa:Hello, all

Gabriela Szlak:Hi there, I am trying to connect

Alan Greenberg: Jonathan, would it be possible to add a short item to the AOB on the Board compensation Public Comment?

Alan Greenberg: Will take under a minute.

Jonathan Robinson: @Alan. Yes. Pls remind me

Marika Konings: David Cake has joined the audio bridge

Avri Doria:s this was work that intiaties when i was last on council, it is good to see that it was useful and it is ending.

Alan Greenberg:7 years is a long time!

Marika Konings: @ Volker - I've added it to resolved 5

Marika Konings: whereas clause 5 (not resolved)

John Berard:voice vote?

John Berard:can we swap 7 with 8?

Thomas Rickert:hi all, I just joined!

Glen de Saint Gery:Dan Reed has joined the call but cannot be on Adobe as he is in his car

John Berard: Is it a nice car?

Marika Konings: It is open until 27 June

David Olive: Yes June 27

Marika Konings:https://www.icann.org/public-comments/enhancing-accountability-2014-05-06-en

David Olive: Thanks Marika

Thomas Rickert:thanks all for moving the agenda!

Bladel:But that process of expanding the list could change...?

Alan Greenberg: I don't think that a new process in addition to the UDRP/URS is a new concept. Round about 2006, there was actually a draft done of such a new UDRP-equivalent dispute process.

Avri Doria:Right, I am just trying to distinguish that it was not recommended by the previous PDP

VolkerGreimann:I think James has a point. What can be combined should be combined to get a better result

VolkerGreimann:breaking issues into chunks may lead to incompatible piecework Avri Doria:thanks. now i understand the answeres to my questions.

Avri Doria:oh, so the PDP WG could add to the lists? So the people in NCSG who worry about slippery slopes might be right.

Mary Wong: Just to confirm: the scope will be what has NOT been "reserved" at the second level at Spec 5 (which were IGO Full Names and General ECOSOC INGOs); this proposed PDP would cover IGO Acronyms and Special ECOSOC INGOs.

Bladel: That wasn't the effort I was proposing to merge.

Mary Wong: @Avri, not if the Council specifies the actual lists. Should those lists change b/c of new additions by ECOSOC, thats a problem to address if and when it happens.

Jonathan Robinson:@everyone back on now

Avri Doria:it isn't the ecosoc additons i am worried about as that is the same listt, it is the definitions of additonal lists b the pdp as i think I understood Thomas said was possible.

Mary Wong: @Avri I guess the Council can specify any lists, but that wasn't the intent. The IR rec is to just limit it to the existing lists.

Avri Doria:Perhpas i misunderstood what Thomas said the WG would be able to decide.

Jonathan Robinson: @James - what about an amendment to the motion to include pay attention to ongoing work

Mary Wong: @James it is in the Charter already

Bladel:yes, that would help

Marika Konings: It should be there...

Mary Wong: The specific sentence is: "It should track any ongoing discussions between the GAC and GNSO on resolving remaining differences between GAC advice and the GNSO recommendations on RCRC and IGO acronym protection."

Mary Wong:"It" referring to the Working Group

Magaly Pazello:my audio has gone

Magaly Pazello: I vote yes!

Magaly Pazello:not my audio... my mico is not working properly it is a problem from my end.

Yoav Keren: I was disconnected

Yoav Keren:calling in again

Yoav Keren:I vote yes

Klaus Stoll:As someone who participated in the NCSG discussion I second this Bladel:I agree with Avri & Klaus.

VolkerGreimann:there is no urgency, so I support Avri

Alan Greenberg:True. Since we can start recruiting people, it will cause no real delay.

Magaly Pazello:+1

Alan Greenberg: I am happy to scope out both parts and pass on to Avri.

Avri Doria: the point is, what happens if someone on the council is unhappy with the frienly even if the motioner is happy?

Bladel: I suppose they could propose an unfriendly, or vote against it?

Marika Konings:Bylaw changes would also need to be approved by the ICANN Board

Bladel: That would check against the motioner/seconder "forcing" the issue.

Alan Greenberg: @Marika - of course.

Alan Greenberg: The Spec 13 decision DID alter contracts, but the original PGP on new gTLDs was not a CP immediately altering contracts.

Marika Konings:Please note that the schedule on the screen is still in draft as there are a couple of slots that still need to be confirmed.

Marika Konings:Public comment forum on Strategic Plan closes on 27 June Marika Konings:Staff paper has already been published and public forum opened Marika Konings:See https://www.icann.org/public-comments/whois-conflicts-procedure-2014-05-22-en

Marika Konings:Amr is already a member of the IRT

Marika Konings:so he may be willing...

David Olive:Thank you everyone Se you in London