Prague and afterwards

- Prague: Expense Area Grouping is not the right tool
- Exploration of existing and alternative approaches and models:
 - Services approach
 - cost allocation method (EAG)
 - Value/benefit based approach
- Value based approach with draft in progress valuation

Initial value/benefit based model overview

| ICANN >> ccTLDs | ccTLDs >> ICANN |
|---|---|
| Root Zone Management | |
| Limited (Global) Policy development | Meeting Hosts, sponsorship of meetings and events |
| Ring fencing policy development - ccTLD responsibility - policy development | Bring competence: business sense, volunteer time of CEO's, operator of Internet Services |
| Global Platform for communication Networking Sharing and benchmarking Meeting other stakeholders | Contribution to Internationalisation - by number of members - by Geo Diversity - Regional Organisations |
| Influencing the Governance/ Strategy of ICANN (ccNSO appointed Board member selection) | Role of representatives (with RIR's and RO) of MSH model internationally: IGF, WCIT, ITU, |
| Platform for SSR: DNSSEC, IPv6 | Operator knowledge of implementing DNSSEC |
| Protection of Multi stakeholder Model | Increase strength of the MSH: global dimension, operators at local and regional level |
| Training opportunities | |





Conceptual Value Categories

Specific

- Easily Identifiable
- Direct support of the ccTLD's
- Benefit exclusively the ccTLD's

Shared

- Shared resources for shared benefit
- Not directly accountable to ccTLD's
- Tangible matters

Global

- Support of the Internet eco-System
- Support of the global infrastructure
- Subjective matters



No clear cut boundaries



