

ICANN – CCNSO - DRDWG

Report (DraftV1) for January 27th, 2011 – 14:00UTC

1. Present / apologies

Attendees:

Jaap Akkerhuis, expert invited by the Chair

Martin Boyle, .uk

Keith Davidson, .nz (Chair)

Eberhard Lisse, .na

Dotty Sparks de Blanc, .vi

Staffan Jonson, .se

Nigel Roberts, .gg

Bill Semich, .nu

Denzil West, .ms

ICANN Staff:

Bart Boswinkel

Kim Davies

Kristina Nordstrom

Bernard Turcotte

Apologies:

Erick Iriarte, LACTLD

Paulos Nyirenda, .mw

Oscar Robles, .mx

Suzanne Sene, GAC

2. Confirmation of agenda

2.1. Confirmed.

3. Confirmation of past meeting reports
 - 3.1. December 16th, 2010 – approved
4. Update on 4th Progress Report (published)
 - 4.1. Review of report by BT
 - 4.2. No changes requested.
5. Review of public comments on 4 published reports.
 - 5.1. Only 1 comment received
 - 5.2. K Davidson and NR will draft a response for consideration by the working group.
6. Finalizing the 4 reports - final edits.
 - 6.1. BB advised the group that some of the ISO3166 language had to be adjusted to be exact. This will not change the issues raised in the report or the recommendations.
 - 6.2. BT noted if the new text regarding unconsented re-delegations (revocation + delegation vs re-delegation) in the final wg report is accepted it will be integrated into the un-consented re-delegation final report.
 - 6.3. BT noted that there will be final edits to the 4 final reports after the public consultations close to clean them up for final publication.
 - 6.4. This was not objected to by any member of the working group present.

7. First review of the Final DRDWG Report

7.1. Section 2A – Minutes of ICANN Board meetings – subsection “Note”

7.1.1. The working group agreed that the Chair of the working group should write to the Chair of the ICANN Board and the President and CEO reminding them that he still has not received a reply to his request for an explanation regarding the minutes and providing the relevant text from the final working group report.

7.1.2. If no reply is received prior to the publication of the report the Note should remain in the report.

7.1.3. If no reply is received prior to the San Francisco meeting the working will recommend to the ccNSO council to lodge a complaint with the ICANN Ombudsman.

7.2. Section 2B1 Specific Findings regarding Delegation of ccTLDs

7.2.1. BS noted that there have been several delegations of ccTLDs in the last few weeks and that the report should note that its considerations do not go beyond 2010.

7.3. Section 2B3 Specific Findings regarding Re-delegation of ccTLDs without the consent of the incumbent operator – first subsection.

7.3.1. EL noted that the second paragraph should begin with “neither”.

7.4. Section 3 Findings and Recommendation regarding Retirement of ccTLDs – and – Annex G Documentation relating to Retirement of ccTLDs

7.4.1. JA noted that the wording regarding .su was incorrect and that it seemed unfair to

only mention .su when there are several other ccTLDs in a similar situation. JA agreed to provide BT with the relevant information in the next 12 hours.

7.5. General sentiment regarding the report

7.5.1. The Chair of the working group inquired if the working group members were generally satisfied with the draft final report pending then suggested edits. The participants were generally satisfied with the first draft of the report.

7.5.2. The Chair of the working group inquired if the participants would agree that the working group modify the report to include an additional recommendation to the ccNSO Council that it should urgently proceed with the first recommendation regarding the development of “Framework of Interpretation”.

8. Any other business

8.1. No other business

9. Future meetings:

9.1. Thursday February 3rd, 2011, 22:00UTC (focus on the final report with edits)

9.2. Thursday February 10th, 2011, 06:00UTC (focus on the 4 final reports with edits)

9.3. Sunday March 13th, 2011 ICANN San Francisco meeting (proposed).