

AT-LARGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

EN

AL/ALAC/ST/0510/4
ORIGINAL: English
DATE: 20 May 2010
STATUS: FINAL

ALAC Statement

On Reconsideration Request 10-1 Recommendation

Introduction

By the Staff of ICANN

The attached statement on Reconsideration Request 10-1 Recommendation was drafted by Sebastien Bachollet, Vice Chair of the At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC).

On May 7th, <u>Sebastien submitted the draft statement</u> for review to the members of the ALAC, the leaders of the regional At-Large organisations, the ALAC liaisons and the members of the working group on the future structure, accountability and transparency of ICANN. On May 12th, the draft statement was also <u>published for comments on the At-Large website</u> and announced to the wider At-Large community.

On May 14th, the Chair of the ALAC asked the Staff to start a five-day online vote on the ALAC Statement on Reconsideration Request 10-1 Recommendation.

The online vote ended on May 19th and resulted in the ALAC endorsing the statement with 14-0. You may review the result independently under: https://www.bigpulse.com/pollresults?code=nKrBcJHDis5DaVKxBgzw

On May 20th, the Statement was submitted to the <u>public consultation on Recommendation</u> <u>on Reconsideration Request 10-1</u> on behalf of the ALAC with a copy going to the ICANN Board of Directors.

[End of Introduction]

ALAC Statement on Reconsideration Request 10-1 Recommendation

The At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) supports the proposed bylaw changes to shorten the time between the end of the Board meetings and the publication of the resolutions passed and of the preliminary report.

Unfortunately, the proposed bylaw changes did not take into account the following suggestion:

• In addition, it is specifically requested that the staff briefing papers that are provided to the ICANN Board in advance of their Board meeting be publicly posted on the ICANN website in connection with the proposed Agenda seven (7) days before a meeting of the Board.

The At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) strongly supports this proposition as it was stated in the *Joint ALAC-NCUC Statement on Transparency of Staff Documents*

Furthermore The At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) wants to emphasize:

- While ICANN deserves credit for creating public forums to receive public comment, ICANN has fallen woefully short of timely processing these comments;
- the need to have not only a Summary/Analysis of the comments of the public forum comments but also how and why a comment was taken into account by staff to amend the initial document.