GNSO Improvements – Opportunities for Streamlining & Improvements (see http://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-improvements-22aug13-en.pdf for full details)

Updated 16 January 2014

Proposed Improvement	Council Feedback	Possible Changes	Proposed Implementation	ATRT2 Recommendation		Proposed Next Step
1. Include proposed	Could be perceived as	- Draft charter to be	The GNSO PDP Manual		1)	Include draft Charter as
charter as part of the	handing over some of the	included as a	foresees that 'Upon			standard element in
Issue Report	responsibility of the GNSO	standard item as	initiation of the PDP, a			Preliminary and Final
	policymaking process to	part of the	group formed at the			Issue Report,
	ICANN staff	Preliminary and Final	direction of Council should		<u>2)</u>	Upon delivery of the
	What would happen if	Issue Report _▼	be convened to draft the			<u>Final Issue Report,</u>
	Staff does not recommend	 Consideration of 	charter for the PDP Team'.			submit draft charter as
	initiating a PDP? Would a	draft Charter to be	Applying the suggested			separate document for
	draft charter still be	included as an item	approach would not			Council review and
	provided?	of discussion during	contravene the GNSO PDP			consideration.
	Default should run in the	the Council meeting	Manual. As a result, should		3)	Include agenda item
	other direction – the	at which the Final	there be support from the			for GNSO Council to
	consent item would	Issue Report is	GNSO Council to try out this			decide whether or not
	remain 'form a DT', with a	considered. At that	approach, it may be			to form a DT (with
	draft charter included as	point the Council is	instructive to do it for the			default option being
	part of the Issue Report as	expected to decide	next PDP as a "trial run",			the formation of a DT)
	standard practice.	whether or not a DT	and if over time the Council		4)	Review in 6 – 12
		should be formed	believes that this approach			months the impact this
		(with the default	is helpful, it could be			approach has had on
		option being the	formalized in the GNSO PDP			the overall PDP
		formation of a DT)	Manual as one of the other			timeline and
			alternatives that could be			effectiveness.
			explored for the			
			development of a PDP WG			
			Charter.			
2. Intensity of PDP WG	Increased intensity may		A further breakdown could	The Board should provide	1)	Staff to gather further
meetings	not be possible due to		be made per PDP on how	adequate funding for		information on the
	workload and may prevent		many meeting hours it	face-to-face meetings to		duration of the WG

Deleted: Update Issue Report Request Form to include option to request draft charter as part of the Preliminary Issue Report

Deleted: ¶

Clarify when a new Issue Report is requested without completing the form, whether a draft Charter needs to be included in the Preliminary Issue Report or not

Deleted: Communicate that at the time of consideration of initiation of a PDP, any Council member may request for any reason to form a DT to develop the Charter instead of considering the Charter that was included in the Issue Report

Deleted: Council to specifically request inclusion of draft Charter at the time of the request of the Issue Report

Deleted: Treat c

Deleted: as consent agenda item – if anyone objects, without needing to specify a reason, the task of chartering will automatically move to a DT.

Proposed Improvement	Council Feedback	Possible Changes	Proposed Implementation	ATRT2 Recommendation		Proposed Next Step
	volunteers from		approximately takes for a	augment e-mail, wiki and		phase in number of
	participating if they are		PDP WG to deliver its Final	teleconferences for GNSO		hours.
	not able to meet for 2-3		Report. Based on this	policy development	2)	Review recent efforts
	hours per week.		information and specific	processes Such face-to-		that have worked on
			guidance from the GNSO	face meeting must also		an intensive schedule
			Council on when it expects a	accommodate remote		(IGO-INGO PDP)
			PDP WG to deliver its Final	participation, and	3)	Analyze the different
			Report, the PDP WG could	consideration should also		options that exist to
			develop its work plan and	be given to using regional		increase the intensity
			determine whether any of	ICANN facilities (regional		of meetings, including
			the above measures should	hubs and engagement		pros and cons of each
			be explored. Furthermore,	centers) to support		option
			the GNSO Council could	intersessional meetings.	4)	Based on this analysis,
			consider requesting under	Moreover, the possibility		Council to review
			the SO/AC Additional	of meetings added on to		which options it would
			Budget Requests additional	the start or end of ICANN		like to pursue further,
			funding to be used for PDPs	meetings could also be		if any.
			for which it is determined	considered The GNSO		
			that a F2F meeting outside	should develop guidelines		
			of ICANN meetings would be	for when such options		
			essential to the success of	may be invoked.		
			that PDP.			

3. Increase pool of PDP	In addition to many other	The Board and the GNSO	1)	Review existing
WG volunteers	efforts ongoing elsewhere	should charter a strategic	1)	outreach efforts and
wd volunteers	within ICANN that are			
		initiative addressing the		determine whether
	currently looking at	need for ensuring more		there are any actions
	engagement, training and	global participation in		the GNSO Council
	outreach, the GNSO Council	GNSO Policy development		should be taking to
	may want to consider	processes, as well as		increase the pool of
	whether there are any other	other GNSO processes.		PDP volunteers.
	measures it could undertake	The focus should be on	2)	Send invitations to
	to facilitate the	the viability and		each SG/Constituency
	incorporation and	methodology of having		Chair / Executive
	recruitment of new	the opportunity for		Committee to
	volunteers. Also, individual	equitable, substantive		encourage them to
	invitations to each	and robust participation		recruit for the
	SG/Constituency Chair &	from and representing:		particular PDP WG and
	Executive Committee could	a. All ICANN		asking them to make
	be sent inviting them to	communities with an		sure the SG/C is kept
	recruit for the particular	interest in gTLD		up to date with the
	PDP WG and asking them to	policy and in		progress of the group
	make sure the SG/C is kept	particular, those	3)	Set up a welcome
	up to date with the progress	represented within		webinar for new PDP
	of the group.	the GNSO;		WG members to
		b. Under-represented		provide them with an
		geographical regions;		introduction and tools
		c. Non-English speaking		to make participation
		linguistic groups;		easier and ensure their
		d. Those with non-		continued engagement
		Western cultural		in GNSO activities (first
		traditions; and		pilot to be launched
		e. Those with a vital		webinar was held
		interest in gTLD		shortly after BA with
		policy issues but who		the assistance of Mikey
		lack the financial		O'Connor)
		iack the illiantial		o connor,

	support of industry	
	players.	:11 66/6
4. Require WG	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	vith SG/C hether the
representative /		
participant / observer		f requiring at
from each SG/C and	but note that 'a Working least one	
possible liaison from	Group should mirror the represer	
SO/ACs		per SG/C pe would be
	1.5	
	community by having acceptable	
	,	to discuss
		AC Chairs
		assigning I' liaisons to
		P Working
	in a firm requirement for Group w	
		ed / an option
	SG/C this could be written to ensure	•
	into the GNSO WG engagem	
	Guidelines. Alternatively commun	ication.
	this could also be done in	
	the form of a commitment	
	by all SG/Cs – perhaps	
	solicited from the respective	
	SG/C leaders at the time the	
	Council votes to initiate the	
	PDP - to do their best to	
	provide at a minimum one	
	representative for each PDP	
	effort. Such a representative	
	would not necessarily be an	
	active WG member, but	
	could also serve as a silent	

		observer.			
5. Improved online tools & training	There should be at least the option for working group chairs to be trained not only in sharing capabilities, and moderating capabilities but also with respect to drafting agendas and, you know, conducting consensus calls and other complexities that might arise during the life circle of a PDP	observer. Several activities are being rolled out in this area over the next couple of months. The GNSO Council may want to review how those activities relate to PDP WG efforts and provide input on how such activities may be further improved / modified in order to contribute to the success of the PDP.	The Board should work with the GNSO and the wider ICANN community to develop methodologies and tools to allow the GNSO policy development process to utilize volunteer time more effectively, increasing the ability to attract busy community participants into the process and also resulting in quicker policy development.	1)	Review existing online tools & training efforts and determine whether there are any additional actions should be taken by the GNSO Council and/or provide input on how existing activities may be further improved / modified in order to contribute to the success of the PDP.
6. PDP WG Rapporteur		The GNSO PDP Manual does not prescribe how PDP WGs should conduct their work, so there would be flexibility to try out such an approach should a PDP WG determine that it would be beneficial to its efforts. Alternatively, the GNSO Council could encourage PDP WGs to consider this approach, if it is of the view that this could facilitate the PDP WG deliberations. If over time the Council believes that this approach is helpful, it could be formalized in the		2)	Staff to explore this option further by providing details of how this would/could work in practice (e.g. how would a rapporteur be elected, how would interaction with staff/WG go, etc.) Council to review staff feedback and decide whether or not to pursue this option further.

		GNSO PDP Manual as one of			
		the alternatives a PDP WG			
		could explore in developing			
		its Initial / Final Report.			
7. Professional	External facilitation may	The GNSO Council could	In line with ongoing	1)	Staff to gather further
	-		discussions within the	1)	information on
moderation /	not always be successful	consider making a request			
facilitation &	and would need to be	under the SO/AC Additional	GNSO, the Board should		potential costs of
involvement of experts	carefully assessed.	Budget Requests for	develop funded options		involving professional
		additional funding that	for professional services		facilitators and issues
		could be used if the Council	to assist GNSO policy		that would need to be
		determined that the	development WG. Such		considered should the
		involvement of a	services could include		Council decide to
		professional facilitator,	training to enhance work		pursue this option
		moderator or expert would	group leaders' and		further.
		be essential to the success	participants' ability to	2)	Council to review staff
		of that PDP.	address difficult problems		feedback and decide
			and situations,		whether or not to
			professional facilitation,		pursue this option
			mediation, negotiation.		further
			The GNSO should develop		
			guidelines for when such		
			options may be invoked.		
8. Organize workshops /		The GNSO Council could		1)	When a request for an
discussions at the		further consider how it			Issue Report is
outset		wishes to use this flexibility			received, Council to
		in the GNSO PDP Manual to			consider / discuss in
		encourage additional			close collaboration
		dialogue amongst the GNSO			with the requestor
		community and further			whether there would
		understanding of the issues			be value in organizing a
		involved at the outset of a			workshop / discussion
		PDP.			on the issue before
					formally considering

			the request.
9. Better data & metrics	The GNSO Council will need		1) Monitor the efforts of
	to monitor the efforts of the		the GNSO Metrics and
	GNSO Metrics & Reporting		Reporting Working
	Working Group closely.		Group closely
10. Explore flexibility in	Explore what options there	7.1. The Board should	Monitor the Board action
relation to public	are to reduce timeframe for	explore mechanisms to	on the ATRT2
comment forum	PDP related public comment	improve Public Comment	recommendations and
duration.	forums especially in those	through adjusted time	work with staff assigned to
	instances where no	allotments, forward	possible implementation of
	substantive comments are	planning regarding the	changes to explore options
	received during the Initial	number of consultations	to allow for additional
	comment period and where	given anticipated growth	flexibility on PDP related
	as a result, a reply period	in participation, and new	public comment forums.
	may be necessary, as well as	tools that facilitate	
	passing comments directly	participation.	
	on to the PDP WG	7.2. The Board should	
	responsible, instead of	establish a process under	
	requiring a staff summary.	the Public Comment	
		Process where those who	
		commented or replied	
		during the Public	
		Comment and/or Reply	
		Comment period(s) can	
		request changes to the	
		synthesis reports in cases	
		where they believe the	
		staff incorrectly	
		summarized their	
		comment(s).	