

Michele Neylon: Thanks, Keith. Michele for the record. So first off I'm not a morning person. I'm not a morning person at all so getting out of bed to do this call was quite painful. The final report represents thousands of hours of community volunteer time which sadly still could not result in full support from the Council. It's disappointing to all of those who participated in good faith to get this work completed under very tight deadlines.

The report is a result of many compromises by all who participated. While it's clear not every group got everything they wanted, included in those recommendations, is that not a good sign of success? We feel strongly that the positions of any one group should not take priority over another and we will point out our members would want any number of obligations this report contained to be removed, but despite the objections we voiced our support for the final product a sign of compromise and support for the entire multi-stakeholder model.

Given the objections of certain parts of the community, it's unclear how we can ask this group to carry on with the next phase of its work at the same pace as they were obliged to carry it out during the first phase. Given the unwillingness of others to participate and negotiate in good faith, how can we ask our reps to spend hours compromising on this work when it's clear others will simply wait until the last minute and withdraw their consent for hard-fought compromise? Why would anyone sign up for that? Thank you.