GNSO REVIEW OF THE GAC COMMUNIQUE

GAC Advice - Topic	GAC Advice Details	Does the advice concern an issue that can be considered within the remit of the GNSO (yes/no)	If yes, is it subject to existing policy recommendations, implementation action or ongoing GNSO policy development work?	How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO
1. Safeguards Advice	a. The GAC urges the NGPC to:	Yes		
Applicable to all new	i. publicly recognize these			
gTLDs and Category 1	commitments as setting a best			
(consumer	practices standard that all Registries			
protection, sensitive	involved with such strings should			
strings and regulated	strive to meet. In addition,			
markets) and				
Category 2				
(restricted				
registration policies)				
strings				

GAC Advice - Topic	GAC Advice Details	Does the advice concern an issue that can be considered within the remit of the GNSO (yes/no)	If yes, is it subject to existing policy recommendations, implementation action or ongoing GNSO policy development work?	How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO
	b. The GAC recommends:	Yes		
	i. that ICANN suggest to those			
	Registries for which such			
	commitments have not yet been			
	taken and for which contracts have			
	already been signed with ICANN,			
	that they review means and ways of			
	introducing such provisions in view			
	of the public policy concerns. This			
	could also help to raise confidence in			
	Internet-based commerce.			
	The GAC urges the NGPC to:	Yes		
	i. consider refining the PICDRP			
	and/or to consider developing a			
	"fast track" process for regulatory			
	authorities, government agencies,			
	and law enforcement to work with			
	ICANN contract compliance to			
	effectively respond to issues			
	involving serious risks of harm to the			
	public. Finally, with regard to the			
	GAC's Beijing Category 2 advice,			

GAC Advice - Topic	GAC Advice Details	Does the advice concern an issue that can be considered within the remit of the GNSO (yes/no)	If yes, is it subject to existing policy recommendations, implementation action or ongoing GNSO policy development work?	How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO
	The GAC urges the NGPC to:	Yes		
	i. provide greater clarity as to the			
	mechanisms for redress in the event			
	registrants believe they have been			
	unduly discriminated against.			
2. Protection of	The GAC will continue to work with	Yes		
Names and	interested parties to reach			
Acronyms for Inter-	agreement on appropriate			
Governmental	permanent protections for names			
Organisations (IGOs)	and acronyms for Inter-			
	Governmental Organisations. This			
	will include working with the GNSO			
	PDP Working Group on IGO-INGO			
	Access to Curative Rights Protection			
	Mechanisms; and with IGOs and the			
	NGPC.			

GAC Advice - Topic	GAC Advice Details	Does the advice concern an issue that can be considered within the remit of the GNSO (yes/no)	If yes, is it subject to existing policy recommendations, implementation action or ongoing GNSO policy development work?	How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO
3. Protection of	The GAC welcomes the steps taken to	Yes		
Names and	implement the NGPC resolution			
Acronyms for Red	adopted in Los Angeles on 12 October			
Cross/Red Crescent	2014. The GAC reiterates its advice to			
	the Board to pursue its consultations			
	in order to confirm permanent			
	protection of the Red Cross and Red			
	Crescent terms and names in the			
	current and future new gTLD rounds.			
4. Framework of	The GAC notes the work of the ccNSO	No		
Interpretation	FOIWG, and its efforts to provide			
Working Group	interpretive clarity to RFC1591. The			
(FOIWG) Report	GAC welcomes the FOIWG's			
	recognition that, consistent with the			
	GAC's 2005 Principles, the ultimate			
	authority on public policy issues			
	relating to ccTLDs is the relevant			
	government. As such, nothing in the FOIWG report should be read to limit			
	or constrain applicable law and			
	governmental decisions, or the IANA			
	operator's ability to act in line with a			
	request made by the relevant			
	government.			

GAC Advice - Topic	GAC Advice Details	Does the advice concern an issue that can be considered within the remit of the GNSO (yes/no)	If yes, is it subject to existing policy recommendations, implementation action or ongoing GNSO policy development work?	How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO
5. Accountability and	The GAC will write to the Board,	No		
Transparency	before the Buenos Aires meeting,			
	providing details of progress on			
	implementing relevant ATRT2			
	Recommendations, including those			
	that are completed.			
6. WHOIS	The GAC notes the receipt of a	Yes		
	comprehensive briefing provided by			
	the Board on the wide range of			
	WHOIS-related activities currently			
	underway across ICANN and the			
	community.			
7. Release of Two-	a. The GAC advices the Board to:	Yes		
Letter Codes and	i. amend the current process for			
Country Names at	requests to release two-letter codes			
the Second Level	to establish an effective notification			
	mechanism, so that relevant			
	governments can be alerted as			
	requests are initiated. Comments			
	from relevant governments should			
	be fully considered.			

GAC Advice - Topic	GAC Advice Details	Does the advice concern an issue that can be considered within the remit of the GNSO (yes/no)	If yes, is it subject to existing policy recommendations, implementation action or ongoing GNSO policy development work?	How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO
	b. The GAC further advises the	Yes		
	Board to:			
	i. extend the comment period to 60			
	days. These changes should be			
	implemented before proceeding			
	with pending and future requests. A			
	list of GAC Members who intend to			
	agree to all requests and do not			
	require notification will be published			
	on the GAC website.			

GAC Advice - Topic	GAC Advice Details	Does the advice concern an issue that can be considered within the remit of the GNSO (yes/no)	If yes, is it subject to existing policy recommendations, implementation action or ongoing GNSO policy development work?	How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO
8. Country and	a. The GAC advises the Board that:	Yes		
Territory Names	i. ICANN should work with the GAC			
	to develop a public database to			
	streamline the process for the			
	release of country and territory			
	names at the second level, as			
	outlined in Specification 5. The			
	database will inform whether			
	individual GAC Members intend to			
	agree to all requests, review them			
	case by case, or not agree to any.			
	The absence of input from a			
	government will not be considered			
	as agreement.			
9. International Law,	The GAC decided to establish a	No		
Human Rights and	Working Group on Human Rights			
ICANN	Issues and the Application of			
	International Law as these matters			
	relate to ICANN activities. The GAC			
	will also monitor community			
	developments and consider how any			
	GAC initiatives can complement any			
	such developments.			

GAC Advice - Topic	GAC Advice Details	Does the advice concern an issue that can be considered within the remit of the GNSO (yes/no)	If yes, is it subject to existing policy recommendations, implementation action or ongoing GNSO policy development work?	How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO
10. Public Safety and	The GAC agreed to establish a	No		
Law Enforcement	Working Group on Public Safety and			
	Law Enforcement.			