

**GNSO Council Emergency Meeting 26 March 2012 at 16:00 UTC**

**Reason for abstention**

**Konstantinos Komaitis**, temporary alternate for **Joy Liddicoat**

First of all, I am against the substantial advice to these recommendations and haven't participated in the drafting team. One question that hasn't been answered, which I find pretty remarkably, is why the RPM's are not efficient and they're asking for more protection. Despite these issues, the substantial issues, these procedural flaws can also be identified in the process.

The idea that we need to push these recommendations and vote on them before the April 12th deadline - the drafting team was willing at least to bypass many procedural issues that constitute the court of eye institutional framework, so I am concerned that the drafting team did not even consider in detail the comments, did not even give consideration on them, so because I feel that this procedure will set a precedent and set a precedent within the processes, I will abstain from voting, thanks.